

EAST BETHEL CITY COUNCIL MEETING

November 3, 2010

The East Bethel City Council met on November 3, 2010 at 7:30 PM for their regular meeting at City Hall.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Bill Boyer Steven Channer Greg Hunter
Kathy Paavola Steve Voss

ALSO PRESENT: Douglas Sell, City Administrator
Tammy Schutta, Asst. City Administrator/HR Director
Rita Pierce, Director of Fiscal and Support Services
Mark DuCharme, Fire Chief
Jerry Randall, City Attorney
Craig Jochum, City Engineer

Call to Order **The November 3, 2010 City Council meeting was called to order by Mayor Hunter at 7:30 PM.**

Adopt Agenda **Paavola made a motion to approve the November 3, 2010 City Council agenda. Boyer seconded; all in favor, motion carries.**

Delinquent Charges Sell explained that East Bethel Code of Ordinances, Chapter 30 and Chapter 74 provides for the collection of unpaid emergency services and for the collection of delinquent utility bills through the property tax system, respectively. These ordinances provide an opportunity for property owners that are delinquent in their payments to the City for utility services and for emergency services to appear before the City Council. Notice to all individuals on the delinquent list has been provided inviting them to the public hearing this evening. The public hearing must be conducted and property owners must be provided an opportunity to be heard before the final certification of delinquent amounts is forwarded to the County for collection with property taxes.

At its September 15, 2010 meeting, Council set November 3, 2010 as the Public Hearing date for individuals wishing to object to the delinquent charges being collected through the property tax system. Details of the unpaid charges were provided with your agenda materials.

Council has set the final certification date as November 17, 2010. Following adoption of the assessment roll on that date, the City will continue to accept payments until November 26, 2010. The final list must be provided to the County no later than November 30, 2010 per Minnesota Statute 429.

Staff recommends the public hearing be conducted providing an opportunity for citizens to be heard on their delinquent amounts.

Hunter opened the public hearing to anyone that wanted to be heard regarding their delinquent charges. There was no one present. Hunter closed the public hearing.

Boyer made a motion to approve the delinquent charges for utility services and emergency services as presented. Channer seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Potentially Sell explained that the hearing requested by the animal owner relates to a dog bit incident

Dangerous
Dog – Angela
Norby – 554-
238th Lane NE

which occurred on September 29, 2010. The Anoka County Sheriff's office reported a child was bit by a Great Dane at 554 238th Lane NE.

The incident was unprovoked and it is now sufficient to issue a Potentially Dangerous Dog Notice pursuant to Chapter 10 of the City code. Staff has included a copy of the incident report from the Sheriff's Office. A review of city records indicates that the dog is currently licensed with the city. Sell handed out an e-mail from parent of child that was bit along with several pictures.

On October 22, 2010, Ms. Norby submitted a request for a hearing before Council. Pursuant to City Code Chapter 10, the owners must be granted a hearing before the City Council. The City Council pursuant to Section 10 has several obligations and options regarding this matter that include conducting the hearing and making a determination as to whether or not the potentially dangerous dog determination is sustained, modified or eliminated. If the potentially dangerous dog determination is sustained, Council is required to impose some of the requirements as outlined in Section 10 and in your agenda materials.

City Staff seeks a direction regarding the potentially dangerous dog determination in this incident pursuant to City Code Chapter 10, Animals, Article II. Dogs, Division 3 and recommends an administrative fee of \$65.00 in addition to any licensing, registration or impound fees that may be required.

Angela Norby of 554 of 238th Lane NE, East Bethel said she works with animals every day and she doesn't believe Moose is an aggressive dog. She said we have four (4) small children all under the age of eight (8) and they ride him all time, they are all over him. Norby said all the neighborhood children come over, small children and ride him. She said Sky has been over since this happened. Norby said Moose doesn't show aggression at all.

Hunter said he doesn't know where we are at with this. He said he has to be honest; he doesn't care if it is a shih Tzu or a great dane. Hunter said a little girl got bit and you don't have a good story to tell us. Norby said nobody saw it; this is the second time Sky has been bit by a dog. Hunter asked how old is the dog. Norby said he is two (2) now. Boyer asked are you saying the dog didn't bite the girl. Norby said she was bit, but Sky said the dog was licking her. She said when I pulled in to the driveway both dogs were by her, but we don't know why.

Voss said Tabitha sent us an e-mail and she states her concern about the dog and states that she is concerned the dog is potentially dangerous. He said it is not often we get comments from the parents of the kids that are bit. Voss asked have your kids gotten bit. Norby said no, and her two year old was jumping on his back tonight. She said she wouldn't keep him if she thought he was aggressive, this is her line of work and she wouldn't keep him if she thought he was aggressive.

Hunter asked aren't you even a little bit concerned. Norby said she has seen aggressive dogs and she doesn't think he is. She said she didn't see what happened and she doesn't know why it happened. Norby said nobody saw it, so we don't know if it was provoked or unprovoked. Hunter said we are sitting here and we have actions that we have to have you take, we can make you put him down. Norby said if you deem him dangerous she is going to have to put him down.

Boyer said what is troubling to him is great danes are good size dog and it is hard to see how

a great dane is going to feel threatened by a five (5) year old dog. Paavola said these pictures aren't playful; this is the worst they have seen. Channer said one of the comments in here is they feel unsafe with the dog around and his ability to roam freely. He asked does the dog roam around. Norby said he can go half way around her yard, down to the swamp and half way down our driveway, not to the road, we have invisible fencing.

Voss said to him at least two conditions have to be imposed, #2 warning signs identifying that there is a dangerous dog at the property and #3 the tag identifying the dog as a dangerous dog, it did happen and at the very least folks need to understand that there is a dangerous dog at this property. He said but we can't stop people from going there. Voss asked how far is the invisible fencing from the road. Norby said about ten (10) car lengths from the road. She said it is not the line that is the base, they can't jump it and be free, and they get continually shocked for a mile. Voss said and you said she has been back at the house, how many times. Norby said just once. She said she was going to take a picture when she was there, but she didn't.

Voss made a motion to determine that the great dane named Moose owned by Angela Norby at 554 238th Lane NE be determined to be a potentially dangerous dog and requirements 1-8 be imposed but items 1 & 4 be stayed as follows as long as there is no repeat offense in the next one year from this date: 2) That the owner post the front and the rear of the premises of with clearly visible warning signs, including a warning symbol, a copy of which will be furnished by the City, to inform children that there is a potentially dangerous dog on the property in the manner specified in Minnesota Statutes, Sec 347.51 in the case of a dangerous dog. The owner must pay a reasonable fee to cover the cost of the warning symbol; and, 3) That an easily identifiable, standardized tag identifying the dog as potentially dangerous and containing the uniform dangerous dog symbol must be affixed to the dog's collar at all times as specified in Minnesota Statutes, Sec. 347.151 for a dangerous dog; and, 5) that if the dog is outside the proper enclosure, the dog must be muzzled and restrained by a substantial chain or leash (not to exceed six (6) feet in length) and under the physical restraint of a person 18 years of age or older. The muzzle must be of such design as to prevent the dog from biting any person or animal but will not cause any injury to the dog or interfere with its vision or respiration, and; 6) That all dogs deemed potentially dangerous by the City Council be registered with the City within 14 days after the date the dog was so deemed and provide satisfactory proof thereof to the City Administrator; 7) That the dog must have a lifetime license and be up to date on rabies vaccination; 8) That the owner must allow a compliance official on the owner's property to conduct a site inspection within 14 days of determination of potentially dangerous dog by the City Council. Paavola seconded. Boyer said he doesn't think this goes far enough, this is the worst dog he has seen in the City and he won't vote for it. He said he appreciates some of the things that Norby said she has tried to do with the dog. Boyer, nay; Channer, Hunter, Paavola, Voss, aye; motion carries.

Public Forum Hunter opened the Public Forum for any comments or concerns that were not listed on the agenda.

George Cossette of George Repair 18611 Highway 65 NE, East Bethel said the Nelson Trucking Company building has come up for sale and he has met with the realtor and the City Planner, Stephanie Hanson and the Building Official, Larry Martin. He said they suggested he come to City Council and inform them of his potential plan and talk about a

CUP. Sell said he has talked with Mr. Cossette and the property he is referring to is zoned B3 and the business expansion he would like to do is not permitted in B3. He explained that Cossette is asking to modify the zoning B3 so he could expand his business on this property.

Cossette said he would clean the property up. He said he has been here since 1970 and he has done a lot of different things his. Cossette said his current business is boat repair. He said mostly he has done auto body and boat repair. Cossette said he buys boats from insurance companies and fixes them up and trailers and his business is growing and bulging at the seams. He said his current facility does not provide the space to do this. Cossette said the Nelson Trucking building would provide a place to move next door and expand.

Voss asked would you be building any new structures. Cossette said no, we would just go in and clean the place up and use the current structure. He said he is not here tonight to get an okay, just to get a consensus. Cossette said then he could find out what paperwork he needs and then move forward. Voss said he likes the idea and he would like to see the property cleaned up. He said you also need to recognize in 6-8 weeks a different Council will be sitting up here. Voss said for me, I would continue in the process you have started. Hunter said you have been a great business owner and he thinks it is great you want to expand. Sell said the City Planner will be in touch with you.

There were no comments so the public forum was closed.

Consent
Agenda

Voss made motion to approve the Consent Agenda including: A) Approve Bills; B) Meeting Minutes, October 20, 2010, Regular CC Meeting; C) October 13, 2010, City Council Work Meeting; D) Approve 2:00 AM Liquor License – Fat Boys Bar & Grill; F) Seasonal Snowplow Driver-Public Works. Channer seconded. Boyer asked to have Item D) Approve 2:00 AM Liquor License - Fat Boys Bar & Grill pulled for separate discussion immediately following the consent agenda. **Voss amended his motion to pull item D) Approve 2:00 AM Liquor License - Fat Boys Bar & Grill to be discussed separately. Channer seconded the amendment, all in favor, motion carries.**

Boyer said he understands that we have approved the 2:00 AM licenses in the past. Sell said his understanding is this is a state license and there isn't really anything we can do about it. Boyer said he wanted to pull it because we have noise complaints about this business so why approve them to be open later. Hunter said if there are noise complaints then they can be dealt with by city ordinance.

2:00 AM
Liquor
License Fat
Boys

Voss made a motion to approve the 2:00 AM Liquor License for Fat Boys Bar and Grill. Hunter seconded. Boyer and Channer, nay, Hunter, Paavola and Voss, aye; motion carries.

Ordinance 27,
Second Series,
An Ordinance
Amending
Appendix A,
Zoning of the
East Bethel
City Code

Sell explained that at the August 18, 2010 City Council meeting, staff was directed to explore the possibilities of allowing a place of worship in the City Center (CC) zoning district. "Place of Worship" is defined as "a building, together with its accessory buildings and uses, where persons regularly assemble for religious worship and which is maintained and controlled by a religious body organized to sustain public worship."

After discussion it was recommended to make "Place of Worship," as defined in the city zoning regulations, as an interim use permit (IUP) in the CC district. Attachment 1 is Ordinance 27, Second Series, that reflects the proposed amendment.

Also as part of the changes to the CC district, staff is proposing an amendment to allow Essential Services – Governmental as a permitted use. The proposed amendment would allow uses such as water supply or distribution system(s) and sanitary sewage disposal systems. Current definitions were provided in your agenda materials.

At the September 28, 2010 Planning Commission meeting, Commission members discussed the existing language pertaining to home occupations and its' interpretation as it related to a specific request for an IUP.

Appendix A Section 10.18 Home Occupations reads “a home occupation shall occupy no more than 50 percent of the floor of the structure. This shall include offices of professionals, home beauty shops, and other such occupations than by custom are an accessory use.” Past application limited any home occupation to 50 percent of the structure that the home occupation could occupy.

Planning Commission and Mr. Voss indicated that they thought this may be a misapplication of the intent of this section of the Zoning Ordinance. Based on the discussion, it was indicated that the intent of the code was directed towards home occupations in a principal structure but does not regulate home occupations in an accessory structure. Planning Commission, by consensus seemed to indicate it favored home occupations in an accessory structure having an ability to use the entire square footage of the accessory structure for the business.

The proposed language would read “The area set aside for the home occupation in the principal structure shall not exceed 50 percent of the gross living area of the principal structure.” In addition, language would be added that reads: “The area set aside for the home occupation in attached or detached accessory structures or garages shall not exceed total accessory structure space allowed on the property of the home occupation.”

Planning Commission recommends approval of Ordinance 27, Second Series, An Ordinance Amending Appendix A. Zoning, of the East Bethel City Code. Further, that City Council directs publication of Ordinance 27, Second Series.

Voss made a motion to adopt Ordinance 27, Second Series An Ordinance Amending Appendix A, Zoning of the East Bethel City Code and direction to publish Ordinance 27, Second Series. . Boyer seconded. He said he seconded for the purpose of discussion. Voss asked is a principal structure defined as home. Randall said yes. Boyer said the language he is struggling with is “The area set aside for the home occupation in the attached or detached accessory structures or garages shall not exceed total access structure space allowed on the site of the home occupation.” Randall said these require Interim Use Permits (IUPs), so you can set the maximums. Voss said this is limited by another section of code. Boyer asked why does it say allowed. Sell said he thinks the language is referring to property where there is no accessory structure, it is limiting it. Voss asked if you have a structure that is grandfathered in that is twice the size that is allowed now, then it is limited to what is allowed now. Sell said you get to a use what is allowed now. Voss said then why not take out “allowed on the site of the home occupation.” Sell said he thinks this change is okay. Voss said if structure was built 20 years ago, they can use what is there now. He said also insert If they have space they can use what they have. Take out allowed and insert the before attached. **Voss noted that his motion is amended to adopt with changes as noted. Boyer second stand with the changes noted; all in favor, motion carries.**

East Front
Blvd. Storm
Water Project

Sell explained that the Anoka Conservation District (ACD) proposed a plan to construct a storm water pretreatment structure on City right of way at the north end of East Front Boulevard to treat runoff to Coon Lake. The project would include a concrete sediment trap inlet with two concrete weirs to increase water retention in a ditch along East Front Boulevard prior to discharge to Coon Lake. This project was initiated by Mr. Doug Tierney, 4616 Viking Blvd., as a request of ACD to design infrastructure improvements that would improve the water quality of storm water runoff to Coon Lake. Details are provided on pages 53 through 58 of your agenda materials.

ACD developed a proposal and presented this project to Coon Lake Improvement Association (CLIA). The Association endorsed the project and recommended it be presented to the City of East Bethel for consideration and funding.

If implemented, the facility would be located in the City right of way which would require maintenance by the City that includes monthly cleaning of the inlet structure; annual cleaning of the weirs; and, annual maintenance of up to 200' of ditch section to ensure proper operation of this facility. The cost for this maintenance is estimated to be in the range of \$600-800 per year.

Funding for this project, as initially proposed, was from the City. The Coon Lake Improvement Association may be willing to cost share a portion of the project. No contacts have been made with CLIA or ACD for this project to identify cost sharing. The estimated cost of this project is \$4,260.00

The Roads Commission discussed this project at their September, 2010 meeting. While the Roads Commission recognizes that this may be a worthwhile project it, appears to be a water quality issue rather than a roads responsibility. The Roads Commission is of the opinion that this project would be better addressed through some type of storm water utility district throughout the community.

Staff is seeking direction from Council on this matter.

Doug Tierney of 4616 Viking Blvd. NE said we also have a lot where they were digging in front of it, and we have a couple concerns about 19534 East Front Blvd. NE. He said in 1982 when the road was put in, in front, the neighbor filled it in with dirt and it has been dug out about three (3) times. Tierney said if the City doesn't stop people from doing this we are going to have problems. He said in the last election everyone talked about not spending money we don't have, why spend money on concrete when treated timber will do. Tierney said he tried to get the Building Official to work with him for a year, he finally got a lawyer a few weeks ago and it was done in a few days. He showed council a picture of inlet. Tierney said this is designed to hold water. He said for 12 years he has done water quality readings, went to conferences on his own dime, he has \$300 of books that he bought on his own. Tierney said he has knowledge on this, he thinks it would be good for East Front Blvd.

Tierney said as in the past, he had cleaned out East Front. He said in 1982 we paid to have road put in. Tierney said the mayor at the time, Wayne Anderson was only going to allow him one vote, but he got lippy and got two votes. Hunter said you made a comment about concrete and treated lumber, what is going to last longer. Tierney said they did something like this at St. Anthony Falls, and they resurfaced it with treated timbers, but the previous

ones had lasted 30 years and they weren't treated. He said he is conservative and would like to get the most bang for our dollars. Tierney said he would like to see his son to get his lot some day. He said he would use lumber.

Voss asked what is our standard for a weir. Jochum said our standard is concrete. He said it changed in 2002. Jochum said a lot times the treated lumber will separate and you will get gaps and that defeats the purpose. Hunter said he would like to see the difference in the cost and what is the life of either or. Tierney said when he had the Public Works Manager out he said when it is totally covered call him and he would come out and clean it out. He said so I just dug it out. Tierney said there is a planter with ornamental brick and sand and stuff and it is all in road right of way. He said the Anoka Conservation District (ACD) said they could dig in our right of way to make room for the water. Voss said he knows this area, he lives on the road and has seen it after you clean it out, it looks amazing.

Tierney said has a catch basin in front of his road and he has had to clean it out four (4) times. He said the main reason he dug it out is because he would get ice in the winter and ice would hollow out in the nighttime. Voss said he liked the project and there are plenty of areas around the lake that would benefit around the lake from storm water projects. Sell asked would Council like us to come back with more information. Voss asked have they done the calculations of the expected improvements and designs. He said is torn on the cost of down the road. Voss said how do we deal with the maintenance costs. Sell said with a storm water utility. Voss said but then aren't you improving the lake for everyone. He said he certainly appreciates that CLIA is interested in this.

Steve Olson president of CLIA introduced himself. He said because this is in the City right of way and because of the retaining wall the resident made, we would like to see action taken for it be put back the way it was in the first place. Olson said then maybe we could work on more weirs. He said our board supports the program and cost sharing and we have some money to do that. Hunter asked do you support the south side of the lake.

Tierney said this last summer they had one lake where a young girl was swimming in the lake and she contracted something and died right away. He said the stuff going into the lakes after a heavy rain storm, they were making a statement that lots of things like green algae there is a health hazard to it, it was in the Minneapolis paper. Sell said there was an incident at a county park after a rain storm. Hunter said these types of designs don't do the type of filtering that you might be looking for. Tierney said according to ACD and their design it will get the prosperous. Hunter said the information they are showing us it doesn't get the phosphorus.

Josh Sturman of 226 Elm Road said he is a member of CLIA, Educational Committee and he was on the City web site and looking at the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). He said he printed it out, but he didn't get to go through it totally, it looked like it was a little outdated. Sturman said it looks like there is a fair amount of infrastructure in the city. He said there were some objectives the City was supposed to meet when this passed and he would like to help with that. Sturman said he has some resources at the University, Dr. Baker, and some others. He said he would be willing to contact them and see if they would put together a plan. Sturman asked are there other materials available on the web site. Jochum said there has have been ongoing work on the SWPPP. He said we can compile information for you, but you will not see a more current date than the one you have there. Jochum said there is an annual report done each year. He said the program is behind, but that is because of funding. Sturman said we could help and coordinate efforts.

Channer said we need to look at the encroachment issue. Hunter said why don't we look at what we can do, and share this with our friends to the south so maybe we can coordinate this and do together. Paavola said yes, do this with Coon Lake. Hunter asked isn't Coon Lake still fairly clean. Tierney said it has improved. He said at the fall meeting he makes a report. Tierney said CLIA got a grant and he has been on the east basin since 1968 and he hasn't seen Steamboat Bay look so clean as it does this year. He said it is so nice to go out there and see native plants out there. Tierney said we are striving to get better. Sturman said it is worthwhile to note that the lake sits at the top of the watershed and you need to look at Columbus also. He said it is an opportunity to properly manage a lake.

Olson asked what is the proper form to get everyone together, ACD, City Engineer, CLIA, the City representatives, etc. Sell said he will take care of that.

Pay Estimate
No. 1 – 2010
Improvement
Projects

Sell explained that a copy of Pay Estimate No. 1 to Rum River Contracting for the 2010 Improvement Projects was included with your agenda materials. The major pay items for this pay request include constructing the Booster Park West Parking Lot and paving 5th Street. Staff recommends Council consider approval of Pay Estimate No. 1 in the amount of \$189,333.79 for the 2010 Improvement Projects.

Boyer made a motion to approve Pay Estimate No. 1 to Rum River Contracting for the 2010 Improvement Projects in the amount of \$189,333.79 for the 2010 Improvement Projects. Channer seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

2011 Proposed
Fee Schedule

Sell explained that staff reviewed the 2010 fee schedule and is suggesting several changes to include the time basis for charging penalty fees for delinquent utility billings; charges for research and document retrieval work; charges for after hours and weekend burials; license fees for massage establishments and individuals; change in definition of motor vehicle for Automobile Dealer licenses; and re-inspection fees.

Staff requests direction regarding the proposed 2011 Fee Schedule. The final Fee Schedule will be provided for consideration on December 1, 2010.

Boyer made a motion to adopt the proposed 2011 Fee Schedule for purposes of discussion. Hunter seconded. Hunter asked are we having a lot of after hour's burial. Sell said we do have some in the later afternoon. He said we will just charge this on the weekends. Hunter said this is not something that you schedule for them. Sell said no, this is something the funeral director and family request. He said if we can accommodate them we do. Hunter said so you are just trying to recoup the costs. Sell said we try to encourage them to do this before 1:00 pm in the afternoon that way our maintenance staff can finish this before their day ends. Channer said for the data retrieval charge is the only cost or is this also for paper. Pierce said this is just for retrieval, the copies would be additional. **All in favor, motion carries.** Sell said the final version of the 2011 Fee Schedule will come back before you on December 1st.

MCES
Cooperative
Services/
Construction
Agreement

Sell explained that there are two parts to this agreement. The first deals with the construction activity. Under the terms of this portion of the agreement, the City will work cooperatively with MCES in the construction of utility infrastructure. This will include the construction of MCES infrastructure such as interceptors, lift stations, recycle water piping, etc. The City has included the design and specifications for interceptors that will belong to and maintained by MCES. The economies of doing all the pipe work, City and MCES,

under one construction contract is the most practical and economical for both parties.

Under the agreement, MCES must approve the final plans and specs for their share of the work. These plans and specs have been provided to MCES and approval is eminent. MCES will advance the City \$50,000 to reimburse the City for design work performed on their behalf and will continue to reimburse the City for costs incurred for final design, construction, easement/land acquisition and certain legal costs. Payment to the City for costs incurred will be within 30 days from the date of the invoice to MCES from the City. The total investment by MCES in this shared construction agreement is estimated at \$8.1 million. This does not include the Waste Water Treatment Facility.

The second section of the agreement relates to cost sharing of the capital costs for the facilities MCES constructing or paying to have constructed through the cooperative construction agreement. Under this portion of the agreement, the City will be required to pay to MCES \$2.2 million in lateral benefit for interceptors that provide lateral benefit to customers. The repayment is over time as provided for in Exhibit D to the Agreement. The amounts to be paid are at \$3,400 per ERU. This level of fee is predicated on the total amount to be repaid, \$2.2 million, over 30 years at an interest rate of 3%. Schedule D reflects the number of ERU's necessary to meet the debt service MCES has incurred for this project to repay for this lateral equivalent benefit.

The entire agreement has been reviewed by the City Attorney and Engineer. Comments were provided to MCES and incorporated into the final document. With the approval of this agreement, the City and MCES will jointly move forward with construction activity as the City will be in a position to award contracts for construction of the facilities identified in the Plans and Specifications.

Staff is recommending approval of the Construction Cooperation and Cost Sharing Agreement with Metropolitan Council Environmental Services.

Hunter asked if City Attorney Randall reviewed this and our City Engineer. Randall said yes he did and the engineering firm working on the project, Kreg Schmidt from Bolton & Menk also reviewed it.

Boyer made a motion to approve the Construction Cooperation and Cost Sharing Agreement with Metropolitan Council Environmental Services. Voss seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

- | | |
|---|---|
| 2011 Contract with Anoka County Sheriff | Sell said we are working on getting Sheriff Andersohn before the Council with the contract and now that the election is over, we are going to ask him to bring the Sheriff election Stuart. Channer said he thinks Stuart finally gets to go back to work; he couldn't work during the election. Randall said it was the same with the county attorney. |
| Ordinance 27 | Paavola said she wants to go back to one item and make sure we gave direction to publish Ordinance 27. Staff said yes, the motion included direction to publish Ordinance 27, Second Series. |
| Fire Dept. Meeting Changes | Channer said at the Fire Department Meeting last Monday and the Fire Chief is looking to change the monthly meeting to quarterly meetings. He said that would then allow for make up of trainings and allow the eight (8) new fire fighters get more training. Channer said he thinks this is a really good idea. |

Fall Town Hall Meeting Hunter said he wants to remind everyone that the Fall Town Hall Meeting is scheduled for November 18, 2010 at 6:00 pm at the East Bethel Community Center.

Planning Comm. Opening Hunter said with the election, there will be an opening on the Planning Commission since Moegerle will have to step down. Sell said we will have several vacancies in our commissions at the end of the year and Ms. Schutta will have something on the November 17th agenda for your consideration to address these vacancies.

Adjourn **Boyer made a motion to adjourn at 8:45 PM. Paavola seconded; all in favor, motion carries.**

Attest:

Wendy Warren
Deputy City Clerk