

EAST BETHEL CITY COUNCIL MEETING

November 2, 2011

The East Bethel City Council met on November 2, 2011 at 7:30 PM for their regular meeting at City Hall.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Bill Boyer Bob DeRoche Richard Lawrence
Heidi Moegerle Steve Voss (7:34 PM)

ALSO PRESENT: Jack Davis, City Administrator
Mark Vierling, City Attorney
Craig Jochum, City Engineer

Call to Order **The November 2, 2011 City Council meeting was called to order by Mayor Lawrence at 7:30 PM.**

Adopt Agenda **Boyer made a motion to adopt the November 2, 2011 City Council Agenda. Lawrence seconded.** DeRoche said he would like to pull item 8.0 A.2 Tim Christiansen IUP Renewal, table it. Boyer asked should we just table it when we get to it. DeRoche said that is fine. **All in favor, motion carries.**

Moegerle made a motion to reconsider the November 2, 2011 City Council Agenda to add item 7.0 A.1 EDA Marketing and Branding Recommendation. Boyer seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Hearing - Davis explained that the East Bethel Code of Ordinances, Chapter 74, Sec. 74-126 (b)
Delinquent provides for the collection of delinquent utility bills through the property tax system. East
Charges Bethel Code of Ordinances, Chapter 30, Sec. 30-105 provides for the collection of unpaid
Certifications emergency services through the property tax system in the county which the recipient of the
services owns property. These ordinances provide an opportunity for property owners that
are delinquent in payments to the City for utility services and for emergency services to
come before the City Council to explain their specific situation. This Public Hearing this
evening is that hearing required in the ordinances.

The Public Hearing must be conducted and property owners must be provided an opportunity to be heard before the final certification of delinquent amounts is forwarded to the County for collection with property taxes.

At its September 21, 2011 meeting, Council set November 2, 2011 as the Public Hearing date for individuals wishing to object to the delinquent charges being collected through the property tax system. All affected property owners have been notified via U.S. Mail of the opportunity to appear before the City Council on Wednesday, November 2nd. Requests to be heard at the Public Hearing as provided for by ordinance were accepted through October 14, 2011. As of this date, no property owners have notified the City of their intent to be heard before the City Council.

Two emergency service charges remain unpaid. These two unpaid amounts are for the fire department's response to motor vehicle accidents. These accidents occurred at Hwy 65 & Viking and at Hwy. 65 & 187th Lane N.E.

The original proposed certification list included fifteen properties. These property owners

were notified. Of these fifteen properties, four made payment in full to correct the deficiency.

Council has set the final certification date as November 16, 2011. Following adoption of the assessment roll on that date, the City will continue to accept payments until November 25, 2011. The final list must be provided to the County no later than November 30, 2011 (Minnesota Statute 429.061, Subd. 3 requires the City to certify its assessments to the county auditor by November 30).

The Delinquent Accounts were listed in your City Council packets.

Mayor Lawrence opened the public hearing. There were no comments.

Boyer made a motion to close the public hearing for the delinquent charge certifications. Moegerle seconded, all in favor, motion carries.

Boyer made a motion to certify the delinquent charges to the county no later than November 30, 2011 as outlined in our packet. Voss seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Heidi
Moegerle –
Service on
Planning
Commission

Lawrence explained we have some long overdue business to take care of. We always try to make sure that the people that serve on our committees get recognized. Lawrence said it is a tough job and when people do serve they need to be recognized for doing so. Ms. Heidi Moegerle had served the City of East Bethel as a Planning Commission member in 2010 and we would like to honor of her for her service to the City, it is a tough job and we thank you very much for doing so.

Used Oil
Recycling
Program –
East Side Oil
Company

Davis explained the existing oil recycle facility at 2761 Viking Blvd. NE was closed at the end of June 2010 due to a PCB contamination of the tanks. In addition to the cleanup of this site and litigation of the complaint of the vendor, staff has been exploring alternative means to restore this service.

East Side Oil Company (ESOC) has a program that can accomplish the goals of meeting a community's used oil recycling needs at no direct cost to the city. ESOC provides the above-ground storage container, signage, and transport of the used oil, all while being responsible for any material deposited in the storage tank. City staff would be responsible for keeping the area around the storage tank clean, checking tank levels, and requesting tank pumping, activities that were required with the previous arrangement with OSI. This proposed arrangement would provide an oil recycling facility while minimizing the City's risk and exposure in the provision of this service. The City Attorney has reviewed and modified the lease to address the City's concerns. The lease as amended by the City Attorney is attached.

A representative from ESOC is in attendance to present additional information and answer any questions. ESOC operates approximately 50 sites within Minnesota. Staff contacted the City of Plymouth, an ESOC lessee, and received a very positive recommendation for this service.

The existing oil recycle facility at 2761 Viking Blvd. NE was closed at the end of June 2010. Staff recommends approval of the lease and operational agreement with East Side Oil Company for the used oil recycling program.

Patti Carlson of ESOC said we were actually contacted by the City of Brooklyn Park, thinking we could help you with your services. The tank is at tailgate height. When we designed this tank we made it portable. We were looking for a more economical way to serve more cities in Minnesota. People don't want to travel more than 15 miles. Carlson said by you having a prior facility she can only imagine that people are still dropping off oil and that occurs at other sites that have shut down. This tank is a UL WL. We built this with the MPCA. She said on the face of the tank is an added piece of steel so if someone backs into it, it won't damage the tank itself. This was required by the State Fire Marshall. Carlson said there is also venting on the back of the tank as required. She said on the face of the tank there is a sign and all the signs are reflective. So they illuminate themselves. On the signs are all the regulations that the MPCA wanted to have.

Carlson said on the back of the tank are two vials, one is the level and one is the space between the inner wall and the outer wall. It is a requirement by the MPCA that once a month somebody walks out there and makes sure that little vial doesn't pop up. Carlson said if it pops up red, that means there is a breach in the tank. She said when we make a selection where these tanks are going to go; it becomes a partnership between ESOC and the City of East Bethel. If it pops up red someone has to notify us right away. We are not on site all the time; we do not drive out here unless we have other commercial accounts to service. Carlson said when the vial gets about half full we request that someone from the city notifies us so that we have enough time to route our trucks to be fuel efficient and service our accounts and we can pick that up and it doesn't get to the point that it overflows. Carlson said we did add a cover to it. She said it is on hydraulics. Carlson said the reason we put covers on is we do not provide garbage service. She said that we find that if you provide a dumpster they will leave it. If you don't they tend to not. Carlson said and on the tank itself it says, "Please take your garbage and containers this is not a dumpsite." It is just for used oil.

Carlson said a requirement by the MPCA is a barrel for filters, barrel for oil and a barrel for antifreeze. The requirements by the MPCA, any barrels that contains fluids, meaning the big tank, has to be registered and says used oil only on it. The barrel for filters says used filters only. And on antifreeze barrel it says used antifreeze only. Carlson said this is because they don't want people to mix; any commercial place has to do this as well. They always have to be covered so the filter barrel has a flip top; antifreeze has a funnel so it makes it easier for them to get into a 55 gallon barrel. Carlson said the only other thing we just now modified per the MPCA is we take the barrels and attach them to the tanks. This makes one less chance of their being a spill.

Carlson said there is a lock box on the tank itself for donations, 50 cents for filters, \$1.00 for each gallon of antifreeze or oil. She said we know there is an expense for people to go out and take a look at this tank, and if there is a minor clean-up. Carlson said if there is a major spill we expect a representative from East Bethel to call us right away so we can take care of it. She said but that funding goes to the city. Carlson said we don't provide the lock because we don't want to have access or risk.

Carlson said every city/county has their own vehicles and her recommendation is that you don't put the city's used oil in this tank. She said the difference between the tank; it is not a valued resource like somebody changing your own truck, because you know what is coming off your truck. Carlson said typically you should be paid for that oil. She would recommend that they provide on free lease a 550 or 265, 550 is a double wall, 265 is a single

wall, based on your fire code, based on whether it is on cement, put your vehicle oil in there and we would reimburse you. She said we are a recipient of the state contract as well. Carlson said she doesn't know what zone you are in, but you should be reimbursed a minimum of 65 cents per gallon. No charge for your filters and no charge for your antifreeze.

DeRoche asked what issues have you found. Carlson said typically garbage has been the biggest issue. She said we had a big problem with this in Montevideo, for some reason people thought it was a dumping ground. Carlson said this was privately owned. She said we didn't provide a dumpster and they didn't provide a dumpster. Carlson said they called me up and said it was overflowing with containers. She said finally someone left a backboard there saying this is a petroleum based product. Carlson said so finally she wrote a letter to the editor explaining that this was being provided to them by the city, by the Farmers Union Coop, something they were doing for the community and if they continued to do this, they would pull the tank. She said and it stopped.

Carlson said one time someone put something like a solvent in our tank in Melrose. She said the difference in our surfaces and others is we vacuum. So we take everything, sludge, everything that is down below. Carlson said so if we see something isn't quite right with our tank, we vacuum that thing down. And we clean it up. She said and all of ours is tested for different types of things we have to be in accordance for with the MPCA.

DeRoche said because our tank got contaminated, could have been someone driving down Hwy. 65 knew the tank was there and said lets dump it in there. He asked what is to prevent that happening with your tank? Carlson said "nothing". She said but this is the difference. Carlson said before you were paid for the oil in that tank. She said anytime that you sell oil, in a sense you are responsible to test that oil. The oil that comes in from the community can have water that comes in with it. Carlson said it may have gas in it. She said in our facility we have to go back and determine what it is, what we can do with the oil. Carlson said and if for some reason we are able to recover it and send it off it to asphalt sites, we put aside that funding and we build more sites. This is a way we give back to the community, it kind of self-funds itself.

DeRoche asked there is no means if someone contaminates it; you have the vial with the red indicator, no way to set it to go off? Is there any means or way to set that up so if it is contaminated you know? Carlson said what we plan to do, since we know about your prior situation, is that the tanks will be tested before they leave the site. She said our tanks are above ground and we can hold 1,500,000 gallons of storage. We don't want to take the risk of those 2,000 gallons of that being contaminated. But we are 1 of 5 hazardous waste providers in the State of Minnesota, so typically when people call and they have hazardous waste, they call us.

DeRoche asked with the lease of equipment? He noticed there is a certain monetary value is the equipment is damaged, lost or stolen. He said it says you carry insurance. DeRoche asked is the city to carry insurance against loss, theft or damage? Carlson said she thinks that is up to the city. We cover our own liability insurance. She said the reason we have the value on the lease is so we can attach it to our policy. Carlson said an insurance certificate would be given to you at that time. She said we are within the guidelines of the MPCA rules and a part of that requires us to have a certain amount of liability insurance on all of our tanks. Vierling said the city has its own premises liability with the League of Minnesota Cities (LMC). He said he would also like to note that we did confer with the LMC on this

particular lease before we proceeded and made further amendments. Boyer asked and Vierling has reviewed the lease and doesn't see any risk for the city. Vierling said we have reviewed it and have made adjustments to it, but we have also had the LMC risk people take a look at it as well and they are fine with it.

DeRoche asked related to the clean-up, is that minor clean-up the city does and major clean-up ESOC does. Carlson said we have never had major. She said what minor would be, there is a four foot whole that they need to hit and every once in a while if the city could wipe this, the front of the tank, because if you leave this, after a while it becomes an issue. Carlson said our staff every time they come out they will be cleaning up the tank as well. She said we need someone that is going to be around every once in a while to look out for the tank. Carlson said you would not necessarily be responsible for what is in the tank, or the tank itself, but to say this is the problem or the issue, and call ESOC.

DeRoche asked is this something that is going to be fenced in. Davis said it could be fenced in. He said with the way Carlson has shown it, it is out in the open. Davis said as far as monitoring goes, with the OSI arrangement, we had a scheduled whereby they came by every 7 to 10 days and pumped it unless we called them. He said with this arrangement we would have to let ESOC know when it needed to be pumped. So we would have to send somebody by there twice a week to check the tank and monitor the levels in it. Davis said we have people going by there every day. Carlson said the reason why we have our partner's do that is there is a MPCA guideline as well. That they want to make sure people are walking around this and looking out for it. Carlson said we have to fall under certain guidelines as well. She said we don't want to have a spill of any kind.

Lawrence said just to clarify you are accepting drain oil only from automobiles, correct? Carlson said used oil. She said used oil can have different faces. Carlson said there are different types of hydraulic oils that can go into the tank. She said no food oil, we get asked that. Lawrence said so it is hydrologic fluids on tractors and such when you change it out. He said the motor oil and such. Carlson said yes. DeRoche asked transmission fluid? Carlson said yes. She said that is why you never know why kind of oil you are going to get. Carlson said if it is a city vehicle you pretty much know it is not contaminated with water, there is less of a process to get it to be an on-spec oil in accordance to the MPCA. She said that is the only type of oil that a building that has an oil burner can burn. Boyer asked question of process, listed under public hearing, but staff is requesting action from Council, is it your expectation that we take action. Davis said if that is Council's desire. Boyer said he thinks it might be more appropriate to have the presentation under New Business.

Boyer made a motion to approve the lease and operational agreement for the Used Oil Recycling Program with East Side Oil Company subject to review by the city attorney. DeRoche seconded. Voss asked have we looked at where we are going to place this yet. Davis said not exactly on the site yet. He said he thought we would confer with the East Side Oil reps. Davis said ultimately if this goes in, he would envision that we would remove the structure where our existing facility is. He said and eventually locate it there; it would be accessible all the way around. It would be out of the way of the main stream of traffic. He would envision that the first location would be on the west side next to the highway, as far away from the building as possible.

Voss said part of reason he asks is signage. He said he assumes they don't all have this large sign on them. Carlson said yes they do. Voss said they do all have that sign. Carlson said and on the side of that sign it says, "Public Used Oil Drop site, this facility has been

brought to you by the City of East Bethel and East Side Oil Company". She said that illuminates on both sides as well. Voss said that is why we need to discuss the location of it. Carlson said her thought would be this? She is not part of East Bethel, but she is part of East Side Oil company. Carlson said if people already know where to take the oil, for recycling, because once you put it somewhere else, they will still bring their oil there. You move it and they are not fully aware of it, they will still leave their oil there. Voss said he agrees, we have had it there for 30 years, we are not going to move the location, but having it right by the highway with a huge sign that is lit up. He said that is all he was asking and we don't need to decide this tonight either.

Moegerle asked are we going to move the underground tank? Davis said eventually we will, we are waiting for the final clean up by OSI. He said they did the initial phase clean up and didn't test. Davis said so they are going to have to go back and do some more work on that. He said this is an operation that the LMC will cover under our insurance program though. Davis said hopefully we will have all the clean-up done in the next couple weeks. He said then after that, there will be the process probably in the spring time, of getting rid of that facility. Boyer asked what kind of time frame are we looking at before we could be up and running. Davis said he assumes we could be up and running in a couple weeks. Boyer said you could bring back a site approval to the next meeting. He said even if it ends up on the consent agenda. **Boyer amended his motion to make this subject to site approval by Council. DeRoche seconded the amendment; all in favor, motion carries.**

Public Forum

Lawrence opened the Public Forum for any comments or concerns that were not listed on the agenda.

Dan Butler of 20330 Austin Street NE said he sent an e-mail to Council (from his secondary e-mail) about a little bit of a tough time he has had personally with MidContinent. He said he is going to go back to a letter he received as a MidContinent prospective customer on September 27th. Butler said a three page letter was sent out explaining what we needed to do possibly about our billing set up, what was going to happen with our voice over internet, what was going to happen with our cable television and there were a couple other things. He said on the bottom of the third page was about a ½ inch to an inch of what to do with your e-mail address and he missed that. Butler said he did spend the better part of an afternoon, mid-October setting up his billing with MidContinent. He said he had to make three phone calls to get them to take his money. Butler said he thought that was rather bizarre but, what the heck.

Butler said so yesterday he had his e-mail address go down unexpectedly, because he didn't realize that on or about (we never got a hard date) that the e-mail address was going away. He asked if any Council Members had ever had this happen? Boyer said yes, two days ago. Butler said it is rather traumatic. He said he couldn't get an explanation from the tech so he asked for a supervisor. Butler said the supervisor said US Cable might be able to resurrect his previous e-mail. He said they sent me a body of a text which he sent to everyone.

Butler said that was about forty minutes of his life yesterday afternoon. He said and unfortunately he actually had one of the Council members on his speed dial. He always takes my call, and he got an earful also, so he apologizes for that. Butler said he figured he would send an e-mail out to all of you and then this morning he proceeded to get e-mail addresses set up so he can move forward with his new provider. He said that is a little bit of a bizarre situation too, because it seems as though they are so very busy answering calls in their service center, he was on hold for 18 minutes before getting a live person. Butler said that was just to get his e-mail that he had set up this morning at home to work on his mobile

device. He said then he also has a laptop that he can pick e-mails up on. Butler said this afternoon he spent an hour with somebody from MidContinent Cable trying to get the POP3 codes and the SMTP codes correct in that laptop so he can receive e-mail from our new provider. He said he was really excited about seeing all this, we got a new bigger company and that is all great. Butler said he watched the guy from US Cable say this is going to be great, this is a bigger company. He said then the guy from MidContinent was here and he said what was going on about MidContinent and US Cable.

Butler asked did anybody call anyone from MidContinent to find out how the transition was going to work. He said he is suspecting not. DeRoche said he did. He said you were pretty lucky. DeRoche said the first time he was on hold for thirty minutes and the second time he was on hold for even longer. He said then he called the CEO of MidContinent and got his voicemail and he got a call back about twenty minutes later. DeRoche said the CEO was on vacation but he hooked me up with the regional guy and we got some stuff straight. He said but, he has heard from other people just exactly what you are talking about. DeRoche said he got a call saying someone's cable bill went up \$45. He told them that can't be, and they told him they got new equipment. Well he had perfectly fine equipment before this. DeRoche said he is not quite sure what is going on there, but it was his understanding that Steve Johnson was going to be taking care of this stuff. Davis said he made some contacts with Johnson via e-mail today and asked him if someone from US Cable or MidContinent could be here tonight. He said apparently it was too short notice. Davis said if you like we not request, but insist that they be here at our next Council meeting. Voss said he thinks summons is a better word. Moegerle said she thinks you should tell them the love fest is over.

Butler said maybe they were too busy with calls. He said he was just taken aback by the whole thing. Butler said going back to the billing issue, that was part of his issue. He said the bills didn't match up. He had to straighten that out to give them his money. Butler said you are obviously all aware of issues with MidContinent and the transition. He said he guesses due diligence or hindsight is 20/20. Lawrence said he has heard a lot of complaints about MidContinent not getting the job done and you are on the real short end of the wait time. He said he has heard of wait times up to a couple hours. Lawrence said they keep coming back on saying, "You are in the queue, we will get with you." He said one gentleman waited hours and hours to get back on and they never came. Butler said the question would be raised "what are our legal options on something like this." Boyer said he will raise this during Council Reports, but this isn't on the agenda so we really can't take any action. Moegerle said and the franchise agreement comes up again. She said when we were having that hearing she Googled MidContinent and complaints did not come up. Moegerle said she imagines that has changed now. Butler said he changed his billing and got that all set up and he received a postcard, and it is talking about a bunch of different things, nothing about e-mail on here. He said he also asked the supervisor in the tech support area, "Did it occur to you to get the e-mail addresses from US Cable and send an e-mail out to all customers?" Butler said he told him that they don't have the e-mail addresses. He said he told them his e-mail address, as part of US Cable was part of the assets they bought. If you didn't have the foresight to get the e-mail addresses of all the customers who had e-mail and be courteous enough to send them an e-mail, that would have been a good idea. Butler said that the supervisor told him he was right.

There were no more comments so the Public Forum was closed.

19, 2011, Work Meeting; D) Resolution 2011-54 Accepting Donation from Patricia Regan; E) Resolution 2011-55 Declaring Surplus Property 1981 Grumman Utility Truck; F) Change Order #3, Project 1, Phase 1, Utilities. Voss seconded. Boyer pulled item G. DeRoche pulled item H for discussion. Moegerle said she has her usual spelling and grammar changes to the meeting minutes. **All in favor, motion carries.**

G – Oak Glen Estates – Warranty Letter of Credit (LOC)

Boyer asked has the developer performed all the work? Jochum said he is in the process. Boyer asked then why would we release the LOC if he has done all the work yet? Jochum explained that it will only be released once he is finished. Vierling explained that the other issue you have is the LOC is scheduled to expire on November 18th, so if the work is not done by November 18th then we are going to have to pull it and take action on November 17th. Jochum said or require them to put in a cash escrow. Vierling said or an extension on the LOC. Boyer said again, why are we releasing this? Voss said if he is not done, can't we just table this to the next meeting. Jochum said this is on here in case he doesn't finish, it gives staff the authorization to pull it. He said if he does finish, then we will let it expire. Voss asked if it expires on the 17th? Vierling said it expires on the 18th. Voss said and our next meeting is the 16th, so we have another meeting before this expires. He asked is it critical to have action tonight? Jochum said no, but then we can give the bank a heads up. Jochum read from his write up: If the developer fails to make the necessary corrections the city would contract with an outside contractor to make the necessary corrections and pay for it from the LOC proceeds. Boyer said he doesn't have a problem with that; he is unsure what you want us to do here. Davis said the other thing in there is we have given him until November 11th to complete the work. Boyer asked and if he doesn't have the work done, by the 11th? Voss said then we have already given them the approval to pull the LOC. Boyer asked so you are seeking our approval to do that? Jochum said correct. He said otherwise we could bring it back to the next meeting.

Voss made a motion to have staff proceed with provisions on page 46 of packet, Oak Glen Estates – Warranty Letter of Credit (LOC). Lawrence seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Res. 2011-56 Approving Raffle Permit for St. Francis Blue Line Club with No Waiting Period

DeRoche said he just has a question on the raffle permit for St. Francis Blue Line Club. He asked are those the people that rent the Ice Arena? Davis said yes, they are part of the youth hockey organization, that is correct. DeRoche asked does part of the proceeds go to help the kids in East Bethel? Davis said St. Francis includes East Bethel on this.

Boyer made a motion to adopt Resolution 2011-56 Approving Raffle Permit for St. Francis Blue Line Club with No Waiting Period. DeRoche seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

EDA – Marketing and Branding RFPs

Moegerle said the EDA met today regarding the marketing and branding proposals we received. She said there was agreement by the EDA that the following companies be invited to interview for that business: SVP Design Consulting, Studio 106 Inc. and Sharp Creative (Landform). She said there was divided discussion in regard to Ady Voltedge. This is the one out of Madison, Wisconsin. Mike and I were interested. She said also Julie Lux was interested and Brian indicated he was interested in this company; however that was not what passed the EDA. Moegerle said so if you would like to interview four, she would indicate that might be the fourth that might be interviewed by the Council. She said but the first three were submitted to Council to interview by the EDA. DeRoche asked if there was any particular reason there were just three and not four? Boyer said there was concern, one that since they are not from this area, our money spent on researching this area. He said that was

one of the concerns raised. Moegerle said to counter that, this is someone that would be coming in with a fresh prospective to East Bethel. She said the other thing is that the interview process is information gathering. Moegerle said and when the interview process goes through they would be presenting ideas. She said she doesn't want to bring in someone that isn't absolutely going to be considered. Moegerle said the other three are local. Sharp Creative (Landform) doing the COR in Ramsey. Studio 106 is out of East Bethel. SVP, not finding an address but they are also local. She said it might be an interesting prospective to gain from the outside. Moegerle said she throws that out because there were four people interested from the EDA in Ady Voltede. She said two of the four were not present at the meeting. Boyer said in fairness we don't know if they would have changed their mind.

DeRoche said he doesn't know what it is going to hurt to at least interview them. Moegerle said they would be coming from Madison, Wisconsin. Boyer said that would be their choice. If they want to come, they come. Moegerle said the question is whether or not to put the invitation to them. DeRoche said the EDA was put together to come up with ideas for economic development, correct? He said if four out of the seven were interested he doesn't see a problem with it. As long as they don't come here thinking they are going to get picked just because they are coming from Madison. Voss asked is this an EDA activity or Council activity. Davis said this is a Council activity to recommend which firms to interview. Voss said because we don't even have this on our agenda tonight. Davis said what the recommendation was that came out of the EDA was to set up a meeting with Council to interview these applicants. DeRoche said it wasn't intended to be discussed tonight, at the EDA it was do we hold two separate meetings, or do we hold a meeting with the EDA and Council together and kill two birds with one stone.

Boyer said if you want it on the agenda, the meeting is set for the December 14th so we can certainly put it on the next agenda. Voss said he that the discussion was do we want to add another company to be interviewed. He said and now he is hearing do we want the interviews to be a meeting together or two separate meetings. Moegerle said when she added this to the agenda it was to discuss the EDA recommendation with regard to this which was to come before the Council and make the decision on who to invite to be interviewed. She apologized if she wasn't quite clear on that. Voss said you are asking Council whether we should add this fourth entity into the interviews with only the two Council Members that were part of the discussions, that were there at the EDA. Moegerle said it is her understanding from the planner that all Council Members received a copy of these packets. She said she is advising you that the EDA made this decision on the three. Moegerle said she is advising you on the additional fact that there were four people interested in the other. She said it passed the EDA for their final recommendation. Moegerle said it is here at Council so that Council can make the decision to act on the EDA recommendation.

Voss said he would prefer to go by what the EDA recommended, that is what we had the commission set up for. Lawrence said the only reason they didn't get put in was because they (Julie & Brian) weren't here. He said but they did e-mail in their concerns. Lawrence said he thinks it has some valid point, these people read their stuff. He said they are on the EDA. Lawrence said they sent their information in and it is good to look at that. Boyer said there is an assumption there is that they don't change their mind during discussion. Lawrence said the assumption is that they sent in their opinion. Boyer said the assumption is that they didn't participate in a discussion where they could have changed their mind. Moegerle said there is also an assumption that if someone went to the effort of making an e-mail it has some weight. Voss said he is talking about superseding a process that was set up. He said you are suggesting basically a proxy vote to make a decision. Voss said that is just

it, if other EDA members weren't there and afterwards sent in an e-mail with their vote. Moegerle said she is just providing context. She said it is the Council that makes the decision, that is how we set up the EDA. Moegerle said she is providing context, you have had the opportunity to review these. Voss said but it wasn't Council's position to select who was being interviewed. He said it was never presented to Council that way. Voss said it was EDA was selecting the firms to be interviewed. Moegerle asked if this should be approved by Council. Davis said it can be approved by Council or not. He said it is up to Council the way it is set up. Davis said if Council wants the EDA to conduct the interviews and make a recommendation to them then that would be the practice that is followed. He said it has generally been the practice with the Councils he has been around here that anything that has a substantial contract, Council participates in the selection or interview process. Voss said right. He is just responding to the statement that we should have already reviewed it and selected who we wanted interviewed. He said that wasn't our process, that was EDA's process. Moegerle said she did not say that. She said it was available to you, it is your choice what you choose to read. She is not choosing that for you. Boyer said in all fairness we all have plenty to read every week and we don't need to go out of our way to look for more. He said let's just vote on this, it is fairly apparent what we are going to do. Voss said he would suggest it is EDA's activity and Council would be there to watch the interviews. Moegerle asked is that consistent with the EDA By-Laws? Vierling said Council needs to make the financial decisions.

Boyer made a motion to extend an invitation to SVP, Studio 106 Inc., Sharp Creative and Addy Voltage for a joint EDA and Council meeting on December 14, 2011 at 6:00 p.m., EDA will decide on the process and run the meeting. Moegerle seconded. Boyer and Voss, nay; DeRoche, Lawrence and Moegerle, aye; motion carries.

Mary Kelly –
IUP/Farm
Animals –
22051 Durant
Street NE

Davis explained that Mr. and Mrs. Kelly are requesting an IUP for the keeping of domestic farm animals. The request is for the keeping of up to four (4) horses and a combination of goats, sheep, chickens, and pheasants or quail; not to exceed animal units per acre of pastureland as regulated in East Bethel City Code Section 10, Article V. Farm Animals.

East Bethel City Code Section 10, Article V. Farm Animals, requires that no animals that are regulated by the code can be kept on a parcel of land located within a platted subdivision or on any parcel of land of less than three (3) acres (130,680 square feet). The 20-acre parcel is not located within a platted subdivision.

The 20-acre parcel has approximately two (2) acres of wetlands and ten (10) acres of open pastureland with an existing barn. City Code has a limit on the number of animals per parcel. Horses require one (1) acre of pastureland per horse while the animal units for goats/sheep (2 per acre) and chickens or pheasant/quail (100 per acre) require less acreage. Pasture land is defined as land with vegetation coverage used for grazing livestock. Pasture growth can consist of grasses, shrubs, deciduous trees or a mixture, not including wetlands.

The property owners are in the process of fencing pasture land for the horses and other animals. The fencing must be completed prior to the animals occupying the property.

City staff has conducted a site inspection. The property meets the requirements set forth in City Code for the keeping of farm animals.

Planning Commission recommends approval to the City Council of an IUP for the keeping of up to four (4) horses and a combination of regulated animals so as long the combination

does not exceed animal units per acre of pastureland as regulated in East Bethel City Code Section 10, Article V. Farm Animals. The IUP shall be granted for Mary Beth and John Kelly for the property located at 22051 Durant Street NE, East Bethel, PIN 12-33-23-22-0003 with the conditions as listed in your write up.

Voss made a motion to approve the request for an Interim Use Permit (IUP) for Mary Beth and John Kelly at 22051 Durant Street (PIN 12 33 23 22 0003) for the keeping of up to four (4) horses and a combination of regulated animals with the conditions as follows: 1) An Interim Use Permit Agreement must be signed and executed by the property owners and the City; 2) Property owners must comply with City Code Section 10. Article V. Farm Animals; 3) Permit shall expire when: a) The property is sold, or b) Non-compliance of IUP conditions; 4) Property owners shall have thirty (30) days to remove approved domestic farm animals upon expiration of the IUP; 5) Property will be inspected and evaluated annually by city staff; 6) Conditions of the IUP must be met no later than January 2, 2012. IUP will not be issued until all conditions are met. Failure to meet conditions will result in the null and void of the IUP; 7) Property owner must complete a Request for Change of Animal Units form available from the Planning Division. This form is intended to keep staff updated as to the number and type of regulated domestic farm animals kept on the property. The form will be kept in the address file. Boyer seconded. Lawrence said he had the opportunity to sit on the Planning Commission meeting on this item and the neighbors showed up and said yes, we want them. He asked you also plan to work with distressed animals also. Mary Beth said yes. Lawrence asked you will make the limit four horses, correct? Mary Beth said yes. **All in favor, motion carries.**

Mary
Hendrikson –
Administrative
Subdivision/
Lot Line
Adjustment –
2859 221st
Avenue NE

Davis explained that East Bethel City Code Chapter 66, Subdivisions, allows lot boundary line adjustments where the division is to permit the adding of a parcel of land to an abutting lot. Administrative subdivisions do not require a public hearing; therefore, City Council is the only review body for the land use request.

Ms. Henrikson's existing parcel is 29 acres in size. She is requesting an adjustment of the northern property line by moving it to the south and adding the parcel of land to Mr. Henrikson's abutting property.

Ms. Henrikson's existing 29 acre parcel will then be reduced to 9.71 acres. Mr. Henrikson's existing 0.8 acre parcel will increase to 19.3 acres. Attachment #3 is a survey that reflects the lot line adjustment.

The City Attorney has reviewed the proposal and has requested the following:

1. The owners to supply an informal drawing identifying the location of the current well and septic systems on each lot and backup location for septic replacement when needed,
2. Survey of the existing parcels and lot lines, and
3. An ownership and encumbrance report identifying fee owners, lien holders and easements, prepared as to each existing lot of record.

The administrative subdivision meets the requirements set forth in city code and meets the policies adopted as part of the East Bethel Comprehensive Plan; therefore, staff suggests City Council consider approving the subdivision.

City Staff is recommending approval of the Administrative Subdivision that would allow a

lot line adjustment for the properties known as 2859 221st Ave., PIN 03-33-23-34-0004, and 22309 Bataan St., PIN 03-33-23-31-0002. The parcel known as 2859 221st Ave. NE, East Bethel, will decrease in size from 29 acres to 9.71 acres. The parcel known as 22309 Bataan St. NE, East Bethel, will increase in size from 0.8 acres to 19.3 acres. The approval shall be contingent on the conditions as listed in the write up.

Boyer made a motion to approve the request for an administrative subdivision to allow a lot line adjustment for Mary Hendrickson for the following properties at 2859 221st Ave. NE (PIN 03 33 23 34 0004) decreasing in size from 29 acres to 9.71 acres and 22309 Bataan Street NE (PIN 03 33 23 31 0002) increasing in size from 0.8 acres to 19.3 acres with the following conditions: 1) The owner is to supply an informal drawing identifying the location of the current well and septic systems on each lot and backup location for septic replacement when needed; 2) Submit a survey of the existing parcels and lot lines; 3) Submit an ownership and encumbrance report identifying fee owners, lien holders and easements, prepared as to each existing lot of record. This information can be identified on the existing survey; 4) Certification from the surveyor must be submitted stating that all lot corners have been set; 5) New property description must be reviewed and approved by City Engineer prior to the signing of the parcel deeds; 6) Deeds and survey shall be recorded at the Office of the County Registrar of Titles no later than February 2, 2012. Failure to promptly record this transaction will void the administrative subdivision. Moegerle seconded.

Boyer said wants to raise one issue. He asked you do realize there would be a certain advantage to you to have a 10 acre parcel as opposed to 9.71 acres. Mr. Hendrickson said there is a house and garage on it now. Boyer said he understands but the reason he brings it up is you could do a metes and bounds division if it was a 10 acre parcel. He said he is only bringing this up because otherwise he is going to say it can't be divided in the future. Mr. Hendrickson said for that one, but how about the 19.3 acre one. Boyer said but you are never going to get four parcels out of 19.3. He said so if you did 19.01 and you would in essence have an extra lot. Mr. Hendrickson said it is agricultural we are not looking to subdivide; we are just splitting into two lots for mom and myself. Voss said there is another factor that goes in there and that is frontage. He said with this parcel B, even if they had 10 acres, they couldn't subdivide it because it needs 300 feet of frontage. Boyer said we have granted a lot of variances for road frontage over the years. Voss said he was going to bring it up also. He said if parcel A was 20 acres you would have 4 lots. Two ways to subdivide, metes and bounds, and subdivide. Just want to make sure you know about it. **All in favor, motion carries.**

Tim
Christiansen –
IUP Renewal/
Home
Occupation –
1507 205th
Avenue NE

Boyer made a motion to table the request for an Interim Use Permit (IUP) for a home occupation for Tim Christensen at 1507 205th Avenue NE (PIN 17 33 23 43 0010) until the next Council meeting. Moegerle asked what is the point, what do you want to achieve by tabling this. DeRoche said he has some problems with renewing an IUP when the original hasn't even been met yet. He asked how do you renew something that shouldn't have even taken effect. DeRoche said this was passed last November or December and all the licensing and paperwork was to be done by January 20th and that hasn't been done yet. He said to his knowledge the licensing for an automobile dealers license or a hazardous waste from Anoka County hasn't been issued still as of this morning. DeRoche said in the original IUP stipulations, if these items weren't met by January 20th, the IUP was to become null and void. He said he would suggest until the licensure is gotten (if it can be, and the information he has gotten is this isn't going to happen per the county) he thinks this needs to be put on the back burner or make it null and void and he can resubmit for a new one when

the items are in place.

Boyer withdrew his motion. Moegerle said she is looking at the October 26th letter and from the attorney and it says the application license is in process and will be filed in the very near future. She said however #10 of the conditions of the IUP from November 17th says Mr. Christianson must submit a MN Vehicle's Dealers license to the City of East Bethel and obtain a City of East Bethel Automobile Dealer's license. Each license is to be renewed on an annual basis. A copy is to be submitted. Finally it says a copy filed and IUP conditions must be met no later than January 20th, 2011. Moegerle said so if he hasn't gotten it by October 26, 2011, he certainly hasn't met the requirements by January 20th, 2011, so there never was an IUP. DeRoche said we are renewing something that didn't exist. Voss asked so what are we doing, are we tabling this? He said he is confused as to what is going on here. Boyer said we could table this for 30 days and if he hasn't done anything we could act on it. Voss asked don't we have to act on this by November 17th? Vierling asked the date of the application. Davis said he thinks Voss's point is critical. He said it has to be acted on by November 17th. Voss said that is the date of the original IUP. Moegerle said our point is there never was one. Voss said this is what staff is supposed to present, he is hearing this from two different Council Members. DeRoche said it is right in the minutes. Davis said he would suggestion you give staff direction to investigate this and bring it back to Council on November 16th for denial or approval.

Boyer made a motion to table the request for an Interim Use Permit (IUP) for a home occupation for Tim Christensen at 1507 205th Avenue NE (PIN 17 33 23 43 0010) until November 16, 2011. Voss seconded. Lawrence said with that tabling we should be saying that he should be supplying the required documentation. Davis said that is part of what staff will get. **All in favor, motion carries.**

Ordinance 32,
Second Series,
Amending
Chapter 14,
Buildings and
Buildings
Regulations &
Summary of
Ordinance 32,
Second Series,
Amending
Chapter 14,
Buildings and
Buildings
Regulations

Davis explained that Staff has revised City Code, Chapter 14 Buildings and Building Regulations to eliminate redundancies and conflicts with other sections of the city ordinances. The revision also provides for appeal processes, permit fee refunds, and the addition of electrical inspections. The revisions to the ordinance are included in the attachments for your review. The City Attorney has reviewed the revisions and forwarded changes.

Staff recommends adoption of Ordinance 32, Second Series Amending Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances for the City of East Bethel by Modifying Sections 14-19, 14-20, 14-21, 14-22 and 14-23.

Boyer said he thought we had adopted these changes, that they automatically rolled in (State Code Changes). Vierling said he doesn't think they can automatically roll in. He said in many respects it is a housekeeping matter. Vierling said this particular item came before you two months ago and there were question on it. He said there is no particular crisis if this doesn't happen tonight. Lawrence said unfortunately he did not have enough time to review this; it came to him pretty late.

Lawrence made a motion to table Ordinance 32, Second Series Amending Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances for the City of East Bethel by Modifying Sections 14-19, 14-20, 14-21, 14-22 and 14-23 and Summary of Ordinance 32, Second Series Amending Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances for the City of East Bethel until the next meeting. DeRoche seconded. Voss asked for a redline version with the changes. **All in favor, motion carries.**

Pay Estimate #6, Phase 1, Project 1, Utilities

Jochum explained that the major pay items for this pay request include removals, sanitary sewer, discharge piping, and watermain construction on Ulysses Street north of 187th Avenue, restoration on Ulysses Street south of 187th Avenue and other miscellaneous items. Two separate payments will be made. One payment will be to S.R. Weidema and the other will be to the escrow account established at TCF Bank. We recommend partial payment of \$612,614.42. A summary of the recommended payment breakdown is as follows:

Contractor Payment Summary			
	Totals to Date	Less Previous Payments	Amount Due this Estimate
MCES	\$2,529,249.05	\$2,231,700.04	\$297,549.01
City	\$1,985,928.53	\$1,701,493.83	\$284,434.70
Total	\$4,515,177.58	\$3,933,193.87	\$581,983.71
Escrow Payment Summary			
	Totals to Date	Less Previous Payments	Amount Due this Estimate
MCES	\$133,118.37	\$117,457.90	\$15,660.47
City	\$104,522.55	\$89,552.31	\$14,970.24
Total	\$237,640.92	\$207,010.20	\$30,630.71

This estimate includes payment of \$581,983.71 to S.R. Weidema and \$30,630.71 to the escrow account for a total of \$612,614.42. Payment for this project will be financed from the bond proceeds. Funds, as noted above, are available and appropriate for this project.

Staff recommends Council consider approval of Pay Estimate #6 in the amount of \$612,614.42 for the Phase 1, Project 1 Utility Improvements.

Boyer made a motion to approve Pay Estimate #6 to S.R. Weidema in the amount of \$612,614.42 for Phase 1, Project 1, Utility Improvements. Lawrence seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Auditing RFPs

Davis explained that HLB Tautges Redpath, LTD has been providing audit services to the City for the past seven years. The last agreement was to provide auditing services through the year ending December 31, 2010. HLB Tautges Redpath LTD has provided outstanding professional service to the City.

On August 17, 2011 City Council approved the solicitation of bids for professional auditing services for the years ending 2011, 2012 and 2013. The RFP notice was posted on the League of Minnesota Cities website and bids were accepted through September 30, 2011. Eight quotes that provided the information requested were received.

Abdo, Eick & Meyers, LLP submitted the low quote for auditing services for the next three years totaling \$57,765 which is \$12,735 less than the highest quote received. The City paid \$24,000 for the 2010 audit. Abdo, Eick & Meyers, LLP provides auditing services to 96 cities in Minnesota. Their governmental clients include the cities of Isanti, St. Francis and Wyoming, and also include larger cities such as Mankato, New Hope and Elk River.

The fee for auditing service for 2011 will cost \$19,066.

Staff recommends award of the auditing services to Abdo, Eick and Meyers, LLP and

approves a letter of engagement for 2011 auditing services.

Boyer made a motion to award the city auditing services to Abdo, Eick and Meyers, LLP and approve a letter of engagement for 2011 for auditing services in the amount of \$19,066. Lawrence seconded. Voss asked was there reference check and background check done? Davis said yes. He said and Pierce has experience working with this firm in Isanti. **All in favor, motion carries.**

Res. 2011-26
Amending Fee
Schedule

Boyer made a motion to table Resolution 2011-26 Amending Fee Schedule. Moegerle seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Contract for
Electrical
Inspection
Services

Boyer made a motion to table the contract for Electrical Inspection Services. Moegerle seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Sylvan Street
Vacation

Davis explained that the City of East Bethel has five platted but undeveloped City streets that connect East Front Boulevard to Coon Lake (see attached map). Two of these streets, Center Street and one unnamed street, provide storm water drainage for East Front Boulevard and are locations for storm sewer culverts that discharge into Coon Lake. The other three streets, First, Lake and Sylvan Streets, appear to serve no other function other than points of pedestrian or recreational vehicle access to Coon Lake. The East Bethel Fire Department has no plans to utilize these streets to access Coon Lake for a water source for fire fighting incidents.

Sylvan Street is the street that is being petitioned for vacation. Sylvan Street varies in width from 21.6' at its intersection with East Front Boulevard to 57.2' at its termination at Coon Lake. The street is approximately 300' in length and features a gradual rise in elevation from East Front Boulevard to mid-way along its length before sloping off to the lake. The total street right of way is approximately 0.25 acres. The City Attorney has advised staff that platted City streets can not be sold but must transferred to the adjoining property owners if a vacation is approved.

City Council provided the petition to the DNR. We have received a response from the DNR regarding this matter. Their assessment of the vacation is that it is not in the public interest and would promote no public benefits to match the value of the current public resource. Their review and comment on this request does not create a right of intervention on behalf of the DNR or prevent the City from proceeding with the proposed vacation. Council, as a matter of course would want to consider the DNR's position, should this matter proceed.

If Council proceeds with the vacation request the earliest date a public hearing could be scheduled that would be concurrent with a City Council meeting is December 7, 2011.

This platted but undeveloped street is rarely if ever used for lake access by the general public, possesses little benefit for a drainage easement and is not deemed necessary for fire equipment access to the lake.

While the DNR does not support any findings of public benefit for this vacation, the DNR indicates in their response to this request that should the shoreline be allowed to return to an undisturbed state this would better support clean water and fisheries habitat goals for the lake. Therefore, staff recommends the process of vacation of Sylvan Street as prescribed by

Statute 412.851 proceed with the condition that shoreline of the vacated street be returned to and maintained in an undisturbed state as approved by the DNR and that a public hearing for this matter be scheduled for December 7, 2011.

Boyer said he thought that was rather selective reading by staff of the DNR comments. He would like to read some of the DNR comments into the record. "The unvacated right of way clearly has both precedent use for access to public waters. We do not advocate any kind of development or improvement of this site, but as it stands it has potential for passive recreation, walking, canoe or kayak access and shore fishing. We cannot precisely predict your needs for access on Coon Lake, but once this valuable land leaves public ownership, it is gone for good." Boyer said he personally does not read that as being in favor of the vacation. Davis said he emphasized the DNR did not advocate this. Moegerle said she thinks it is interesting the DNR does not plan on attending the public hearing. She said they object, but not enough to say anything in person. Moegerle said if they really cared they would be here. She said it's kind of damning with faint objection. Boyer said he doesn't see any reason to give this up. Voss said we already made provisions for those homeowners to continue to use their property. Moegerle said they have a license. Vierling said the one property owner that applied. He said you have basically five areas they are looking at. Vierling said you have one property owner that has a license in the one area. Boyer said just think what it would cost you to buy that lake frontage back.

Davis said this is an issue that was brought up last year; he was not trying to omit the DNR comments. He said the emphasis is that the DNR did not see any public benefit from this. Boyer said if we vacate it, it will go to the homeowner and we cannot tell the homeowner what to do with their property either. Vierling said you can vacate with conditions in terms of imposing conditions on it. Voss said he is not sure we needed the DNR comments anyways, it was expected.

Vierling said basically there are two actions in front of Council. One is you do have a petition in front of you from the set of property owners (where the license is) that want to proceed to complete the vacation. He said so at this point and time, regardless of the DNR comments, because of the application we will proceed at their expense of course to complete the application to set it up for public hearing. Vierling said the other four ROWs that were an issue are certainly your call in terms of whether or not you want to instruct staff to move forward on a vacation proceeding. He said the difference being the one that was petitioned by the property owners that would be a simple majority vote by the Council, if it comes up in front of you. For the other four it would require a four/fifths vote if it comes up in front of you. Voss asked on this petition for vacation we are required to have a public hearing on it. Vierling said absolutely. Voss said you may want to convey to the property owners the sentiment tonight. Vierling said the other thing the property owners may want to know is there is a cost to go forward with the petition. Davis said the property owners are in attendance tonight.

Boyer made a motion to schedule the public hearing for vacation of Sylvan Street for December 7, 2011. Voss seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Council
Reports -

Boyer said he had an opportunity to talk with Vierling about this MidContinent situation. Maybe he could outline a logical first step. Vierling said Council has already invited them to the next meeting. He said if the Council felt there was default under the terms of the Franchise Agreement they could send over a notice of default with that and deal with that at the same time at the next meeting. Vierling said those would be the only two avenues you

would have in front of you. He said he doesn't have the ordinance in front of him, but he suspect there is a cause for default. Voss said he was literally in the middle of e-mailing someone and all of a sudden it just stopped. He said and he knew it was coming. Voss said it is just perplexing not only from the fact why these two companies didn't do a half way better job, but even a decent job communicating. He said the e-mail exchange Davis had with Johnson was arrogance at the highest degree. Voss said to make matters worse, when Butler mentioned the letter, he remembered getting the letter. He said he tried to set it up the day he got the letter. Voss said and they said we can't do it yet, we are not expecting to get the information from US Cable for a week. He said he thought "well then why did you send me the letter if you aren't ready." Voss said so he set it down on his desk. He said his neighbors said they knew for some reason.

Boyer said what he would like to do is schedule on next agenda, a discussion for consideration of notice of default and make them aware that is what we are going to talk about it. Moegerle said she has the ordinance and the cause of revocation. She read it out loud. DeRoche said he believes he spoke with Davis when they went through the transition. He said and the cable went out on Friday and didn't come back on until Tuesday. DeRoche said that is when he contacted the CEO and they said you did receive a letter. He said he told them he didn't receive a letter and they should have streamed it and done a better job. DeRoche said he told them you should have notified everyone before you did it that the equipment wasn't going to work and when Johnson and other gentlemen stood up and said there would be no changes in bills and programming, everything was going to go swimmingly. He said he got new equipment. DeRoche said he made a couple calls after he got a couple nasty calls saying he didn't pay enough on his bills. He said he made a couple calls to Davis and he is sure he called Johnson, and Johnson never did call me back. DeRoche said after being on hold 3 times for a half hour to an hour, unfortunately he doesn't have any patience.

Boyer said he doesn't care what we call this, just want to shoot something up their bow and have them here to answer people's questions. Moegerle said revocation procedures say we can have a public hearing after notice and demand after 14 days written notice. DeRoche asked didn't that gentlemen stand up and say the service was going to be different and to put our faith in MidContinent? Voss said that transition in Cable TV, he doesn't have their phones, but it all came back. He said this whole thing with e-mail is a totally different level because you are talking about people being able to watch entertainment, this is business transactions, this is everything else. Voss said think about how many e-mails you have. Now he can't get in there to change the accounts he has. Boyer said he is curious about the phone. Voss said they offer VOIP. Boyer said could there have been people that loss total phone service and couldn't call 911. Voss said that transition would have happened over a month ago. Voss said he doesn't know why the e-mail had to change at all. DeRoche said he knows there were service issues with US Cable prior to the transition. He hopes that doesn't continue. Davis said we will contact them and get their attention.

Boyer said burning permits are available again.

Council
Reports –
DeRoche

DeRoche said it is getting around that time; the lakes are going to get a thin layer of ice. No ice is safe ice, stay off.

Council
Reports –

Moegerle said she is really interested in what is going on with SRWMO and those. She said we have meetings in our packets, but we never hear what is going on with them, except they

Moegerle

want money. Moegerle asked what are we getting for our money? Voss said before we used to get the minutes of all the board meetings. Davis said we do have a bit of information on the CARP grant that will be coming out in your Friday Update.

Adjourn

Boyer made a motion to adjourn at 9:15 PM. Voss seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Attest:

Wendy Warren
Deputy City Clerk