

EAST BETHEL CITY COUNCIL MEETING

MAY 21, 2014

The East Bethel City Council met on May 21, 2014 at 7:30 PM for the regular City Council meeting at City Hall.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Bob DeRoche Ron Koller Tim Harrington
 Heidi Moegerle Tom Ronning

ALSO PRESENT: Jack Davis, City Administrator
 Mark Vierling, City Attorney

Call to Order The May 21, 2014 City Council meeting was called to order by Mayor DeRoche at 7:30 PM. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Pledge of Allegiance

3.0 **Ronning made a motion to adopt the May 21, 2014 City Council agenda. Koller seconded.**

Adopt Agenda

Harrington requested to add Moonshine Whiskey 2 AM Liquor License as Agenda Item 4.0A; the supplemental bill list as part of Agenda Item 6.0A; and, an item for discussion under Agenda Item 7.0 D.1, Roads Commission, authorization for Staff to Prepare a Resolution that would address the County Roads conditions in the City.

Ronning amended his motion to include the additions; Koller accepted the amendment and continued his second to the motion. All in favor to adopt the agenda with amendments.

4.0 **Presentation**

4.0A

Public

Hearing on

On Sale/

Sunday

Liquor

License

The

Moonshine

Whiskey

Davis presented the staff report, indicating staff recommends the Council conduct a Public Hearing to take comments from the public regarding an On Sale/Sunday Liquor License for Skyota Properties #2, LLC, dba: The Moonshine Whiskey located at 21383 Ulysses Street NE, East Bethel, MN 55011 as required by East Bethel City Code, Article III, Intoxicating Liquors, Section 6-55. This was also published in the *Anoka County Union Herald*.

The Mayor will open the Public Hearing and provide an opportunity for public comments regarding this matter. When the comment period is completed, a motion to close the hearing should be offered followed by a second and a vote on the motion.

Once the hearing is closed staff is recommending Council consider approval of an On Sale/Sunday Liquor License for Skyota Properties #2, LLC, dba: The Moonshine Whiskey located at 21383 Ulysses Street NE, East Bethel, MN 55011 provided no reasons for denial come forth at the public hearing. All application materials and fees have been submitted for the On Sale/Sunday Liquor License. The Building Official has inspected the building and found there to be no issues with the renovations to date (May 16, 2014).

Approval of the License shall be contingent on the following:

1. Certificate of Liquor Liability be provided prior to issuance of the On Sale/Sunday Liquor License.
2. Approval of State Commissioner of Public Safety
3. Issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy

Staff recommends conducting the public hearing to receive comments on the On

Sale/Sunday Liquor License for the property previously described. At this time, I would like to recommend that the Mayor open the public hearing.

DeRoche, "At this time, I open the public hearing for The Moonshine Whiskey On-Sale/Sunday Liquor license. Any public comments, speakers?"

No public comment was offered.

DeRoche motioned to close the public hearing. Ronning seconded. All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

Moegerle, "I have a question and this is more to do with our policies. But, on Page 5 of this, it indicates, we are displaying his date of birth and social security number, which is now in a public record. Is this something that we should be doing? Vierling, "Surely not the social security number." Moegerle, "So will we redact the..." Vierling, "Redact it from the public record, yes." Moegerle, "And, I'm not sure that Page 5 is the only page on which that appears."

Moegerle motioned that the social security number be redacted from Page 5 of the packet and any other place it appears.

Ronning, "Is that an amendment to the motion?" Vierling, "No, there's no amendment, that's a primary motion."

Koller seconded.

DeRoche, "I believe it's on Page 7 also." Vierling, "Actually, you might want to adjust your motion not only for the social security number but for the Federal Tax ID number as well."

Moegerle amended the motion to also redact the Federal Tax ID number from any place it appears in the packet information. Koller seconded the amendment. All in favor, amended motion carries unanimously.

Moegerle, "With regard to the liquor license, the only substance, the only question I have is that he lives in Cross Lake. There's no requirement that he needs to be a resident here, but do you know what the plans are? Is he going to manage it from up there? Do you know the details of how that's going to be operated?" Davis, "He has given us none of those, and that's not a requirement of the license process." Moegerle, "I understand that. I just didn't know if you gathered that. Thank you. I call the question."

DeRoche motioned to approve an On Sale/Sunday Liquor License for Skyota Properties #2, LLC, dba: The Moonshine Whiskey located at 21383 Ulysses Street NE, East Bethel, MN 55011. Moegerle seconded. All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

The
Moonshine
Whiskey
2 a.m. Closing

Davis, "We have one other item that's an accessory to that." DeRoche, "Moonshine Whiskey until 2 p.m."

Davis indicated that as part of this license application, he has also applied for a 2 a.m. Liquor License for Skyota Properties #2, LLC, dba: The Moonshine Whiskey. Staff is recommending that the Council approve the 2 a.m. Liquor License for this address and this

application. All fees have been paid and the background check was performed on the owner by the Anoka County Sheriff's Department.

Approval of the License shall be contingent on the following:

1. Certificate of Liquor Liability be provided prior to issuance of the 2 a.m. Liquor License
2. Approval of State Commissioner of Public Safety
3. Issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy

Staff recommends approval of the 2 a.m. Liquor License.

Moegerle motioned to approve a 2 a.m. Liquor License for Skyota Properties #2, LLC, dba: The Moonshine Whiskey located at 21383 Ulysses Street NE, East Bethel, MN 55011. Ronning seconded. All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

4.0B Sheriff's Report

Commander Shelly Orlando presented the April 2014 Sheriff's Report.

DWI's: There were six DWI arrests in April. Three of the stops were made due to driving conduct. Two of the suspects refused to test. One arrest was the result of a male driving his vehicle in the ditch. When the deputy asked him why he was driving in the ditch, the male replied he didn't realize that he was. The suspect had a BAC level of .21. Two of the arrests involved property damage crashes. One was a single car crash where the driver was arrested for DWI and underage drink and drive. The second was a hit and run property damage where the deputy found the suspect at his residence. The suspect admitted to driving and striking another vehicle and was remorseful however became uncooperative when questioned about his alcohol consumption and ended up refusing to test.

Thefts: There were ten thefts reported in April. Two of the reports involved financial transaction cards being fraudulently used. One was used in Sauk Rapids and one in Willmar. In both cases, the victim was still in possession of their credit card. There were two reported no pay thefts of gasoline. One was resolved, one no suspect information was able to be obtained. There was a report of a cell phone and \$10 cash stolen. The victim had allowed the suspect to stay with him and when she left he noticed the items missing. A male was arrested for burglary and theft after stealing 30 cartons of cigarettes from a local business. The male had stopped to gas up his vehicle and then went around the side of the business. A door was not locked and was partially open. The male seeing this, saw the cigarettes and decided to take them. The male, who had been to the business on other occasions, was caught on video surveillance. The male returned to the business approximately a week and a half later and was recognized by employees, who called 911. The male was located a short distance away and confessed to the theft/burglary, saying he made a bad choice. The dollar value of the cigarettes was \$2,200, making this a felony theft. One female reported she thought she had lost a diamond ring given to her by her grandmother, back in November. She went to a jeweler to see how much it would cost to make a replica and was told about \$2,500. She decided to go check pawn shops to see if she could find something similar, but not that costly. She located her ring in a pawn shop and found out it was one of her acquaintances who had pawned it in November. She bought the ring from the pawnshop and decided not to pursue charges against the suspect. Finally, there were two shoplifting thefts reported. Arrests were made in both incidents.

Burglaries: There were four burglaries reported. One was the business that had the cartons of cigarettes taken. One report involved items being taken from a truck and a house where

the owner had been found deceased approximately a month earlier. One report involved a gas can being taken from a garage. The last report involved items being taken from a detached garage when the door was left partially open due to snowfall.

Damage to Property: There were three reports of damage to property. The first report included an assault as well as a damage to property report. An unknown male became upset with a couple who were outside their trailer, talking. The male came from another trailer a few doors away, and began yelling and cursing at the couple to keep quiet. The male then left and an unknown female came out and started yelling at them. A short time later, the male came out carrying a large knife. The male then went to a car that was parked and broke the window out. Upon deputies' arrival, the male and female had left and no one would answer the door at the suspect trailer. The victims did not know these people. A brick was found that had been used to break the car window, which matched the bricks around a firepit at the suspect's location. This case is currently under investigation. The second report involved an acquaintance of the homeowner, who had driven his truck into a closed garage door. The male had some mental health issues and felt he needed to cause some damage for some indiscretions that he believed had happened. The male was located and arrested a few days later, giving a full confession. Finally, the third report involved a homeowner who thought her front window had been shot at. It turned out it was most likely a bird that had flown into the window.

5th Degree Controlled Substance: There were two arrests for 5th degree Controlled Substance. The first arrest involved a male that was stopped as he had a drivers license status of revoked and the deputy knew that when he saw him. Upon approaching the vehicle, the deputy could smell the odor of marijuana. The suspect advised his friend was smoking marijuana at the house and he must smell like it from that. The deputy did a search of the vehicle and found a substance that tested positive for methamphetamine. The substance weighed in at 3.1 grams. The second arrest came as the result of tail lights not working. Upon stopping the vehicle the driver had a cancelled inimical to public safety status for his drivers license. The deputy was conducting an inventory search and located .08 grams of methamphetamine.

Arrest Breakdowns:

Felony: 6

- 2– 5th degree Controlled Substance Arrests
- 1– Property Damage
- 1 -Violation of Order for Protection
- 2 – Burglary/Theft (same incident)

Misdemeanor: 13

- 1 – Possession Legend Drug
- 5 – Possession of Drug Paraphernalia (2 arrests were from same incident but separate people)
- 2- Shoplifting
- 3 – Possess Small Amount of Marijuana
- 2 – Fail to Stop at Accident

DeRoche, "What's a Legend Drug?" Orlando, "A Legend Drug is a drug that is illegal to possess without a prescription. Such as a muscle relaxant, some pain killers. They don't reach the level of controlled substances but are still illegal to possess." DeRoche, "Okay.

Anyone have any questions?"

Question asked off mic. Orlando, "April, the month of April."

Moegerle, "Thank you Shelly." DeRoche, "Thank you Shelly."

**5.0
Public
Forum**

Sharon Johnson, 20241 Highway 65, "Later on in the meeting, you're going to be addressing the issue of a Zoning Map Amendment. I request that you give approval of City staff's request for this amendment of the City Zoning Map. It's been noted that our property is the only property on the east side of Highway 65 for five miles that is zoned residential. The rest of those five miles are zoned some type of business, at different levels. Minnesota Fresh Farm, which we are owners of, is on property that has been operating as a farm for 80 years. We are very serious about farming. We want to elevate the farm, though, from agriculture to agri-tourism. This is going to benefit us, hopefully financially, but also the City as we bring people in for You-Pick, the farm stand, family activities, and weddings on the property. Currently, we're operating under an IUP that is due to expire at the end of next year. It causes some amount of uncertainty for the farm. It's hard for us to put a lot of money into the farm when we know that every two years, we're going to have to be requesting an IUP approval. So, I do request that you do the rezoning tonight. I do understand, I think, that you have to send it on to Met Council for their approval so I know that this is not the end of the 'game' but I hope that you guys keep 'moving the ball forward.' This uncertainty is really tough on us. Last week we had to put a contract down on a well. I really was considering whether I was going to do that well. Last week or wait until tonight, but we had to do it. We went forward. I haven't ordered signs yet because I don't know what the outcome of the meeting tonight is. So, again, I just hope you will take a close look at the zoning request from City staff tonight and go ahead and approve our rezoning. Thank you."

DeRoche, "Thank you Sharon."

Theresa Martin, 1130 233rd Avenue NE, "I have lived here 15 years with our family and I apologize, I didn't know that the Public Forum was going to be tonight. I just found out about the Liquor License on Sundays that you're going to evaluate for The Whiskey Moonshine Saloon. It said in the, when I researched it just two nights ago, it said that it was open to Public Forum whether you are for or against. I guess I would like to come in and stand for people who don't know about this and speak for people who are either at baseball or work right now and cannot be here, as against the Liquor License in East Bethel on Sundays. And so, City Council, I don't know how this benefits our City. My first thought was, I keep hearing Ham Lake, Ham Lake wants this, it benefits Ham Lake. The Chamber of Commerce, they will hold gambling on Sunday and that is their, that is another place for them to support prosperity in a community. To me, prosperity, gambling, drinking, I'm wondering how is that promoting prosperity. To me, that promotes poverty. It sucks people's money, it promotes divorce, it promotes death. People die from drinking. The police, they're going to be more busy because of more liquor being sold. I mean, they already do it six days a week throughout the City and now they want Sunday too. A day that should be set aside for families and rest. I'm just like, I have no, whatsoever, whatever you choose to do, you're the City, you're the ones who make the decision. I just live here and I can move.

I just left my son's baseball game to come here just because I feel a stirring in my heart that it's going to destroy people's lives and hurt people more so, and offend people more so by

having it than not. And that's your choice as a City. What you want to welcome in this City. I've heard also, you as a City Council would like to, I'm sorry I'm passionate about this, I didn't even expect to speak very much. You as a City want to promote people to come here and be welcome in this City. And look, as you drive down 65, which I do every day multiple times, what do I see on 65? I see liquor stores, liquor stores, I see a movie theater that seems run down but it's there, a family-owned business. I'm like, how do you, how in your moral standards or your values look at Whiskey Saloon as something that's beneficial for our City? How is it even honorable? It's like, that's all I see. And, to me, that doesn't help our City.

I would rather see some place like a pool hall for youth, for teens to come to. There's troubled youth in this City and they are hurting and where are their parents? Their parents are in the Saloon gambling or drinking, which is their choice, that's fine. But, even on Sunday? It's like, where's our standards? What do we uphold in this City? Is that what we promote? When I drive through other cities, that's not something that stands out, if I drive through another City. But, in East Bethel, when I drive through it, that's what I see. And, I see oppression and I see my neighbors who are sitting in their house and they have no life, no joy, all they do is go to the bar and gamble and drink. It's destroying people's lives and we're promoting it. I, basically, I'm against at least Sundays, no liquor license and gambling on Sundays."

DeRoche, "Well, Ms. Martin, it's, I can tell you're passionate. And, as a City Council, I guess for the first thing, it's already passed." Martin, "Right."

DeRoche, "For the second thing I would say, is that not everyone that goes to a bar/restaurant grill gets totally drunk, gets in trouble. I'm sure that if the 2 o'clock becomes an issue, then the City does have ways of dealing with that through the Sheriff, City Administrator, then it comes before Council. But, I guess I have a hard time putting something out of business that hasn't even opened yet. And, kind of giving them a bad rap as to this is what their business is, this is what their business represents. I don't think that that's their intention. Legally, they haven't done anything wrong. I understand from your moral standpoint, to you it's wrong. To some people, just absolutely say that nobody should ever drink. And, maybe that's the case. But, this isn't the forum, and maybe it sounds cold, but this isn't the forum where we can tell people, 'You can't drink here. You can't do this.' That's just not what it's here. So, I'm not quite sure what..."

Martin, "Right, and I understand. I mean, history from what was there before, they said the same thing. 'This is a family business and we're going to promote just great things.' And, we all know that didn't happen. What people say and their actions are two different things. Time will tell. I mean, time will tell, basically, and you'll see."

DeRoche, "Well, absolutely, but because...this kid does something wrong doesn't mean all the kids are going to do it. Right?"

Martin, "The standard of the Sunday, alcohol on Sunday, is that what our City residents want? Do all the other establishments sell alcohol on Sunday?" DeRoche, "Pretty much."

Martin, "Are the liquor stores open on Sunday?" DeRoche, "No." Martin, "Well, why not?" DeRoche, "That's State law." Vierling, "Sunday sales have to be in conjunction with the sale of food." Martin, "Okay."

Ronning, "If I may, all the negatives you spoke about are potential and no doubt about it. And, nobody wants to see something like that happen. There is a positive side of the, those are jobs that, excuse me, I don't know how many but there's probably two, three dozen jobs will come out of it. And, hopefully, it doesn't end up being a trap for somebody that can get trapped. The plus side of it is..."

Martin, "As a mother, I wouldn't want my daughter, 'Hey, go work down at the Saloon and bartend.' And it's like, yeah, so is that where we're going to send our kids for their first job?"

Ronning, "I've spoken with the family and they intend it to be more of a family atmosphere. I guess the 'proof is in the pudding.' Martin, "Okay, we'll see but I just wanted to make my statement." Ronning, "And, nothing you said that can't happen, it does happen."

Moegerle, "Thank you very much." DeRoche, "Yes." Ronning, "Thank you for your concern."

6.0 Consent Agenda

Item A Bills/Claims and Supplemental Bill List

~~Item B Meeting Minutes, April 23, 2014 Board of Review Recessed Meeting Meeting minutes from the April 23, 2014 Board of Review Recessed Meeting are attached for your review and approval.~~

~~Item C Meeting Minutes, May 7, 2014 Council Meeting Meeting minutes from the May 7, 2014 City Council Meeting are attached for your review and approval.~~

Item D Resolution 2014-17, Accepting Donation from Chops, Inc.
Staff is recommending adoption of a resolution to accept donation from Chops, Inc.

Item E Removal of Probation Status for Firefighter
Staff is recommending removal of probation status for firefighter.

Item F Approval of Generator Purchase
Staff is recommending approval of generator purchase.

Item G Pay Estimate #6, Whispering Aspens Force Main Project
Staff is recommending approval of Pay Estimate #6 for Whispering Aspens force main project.

Item H 1 Day to 4 Day Temporary On-Sale Liquor License for Alliance for Metropolitan Stability
Staff is recommending approval of one day to four day temporary On-Sale Liquor License for Alliance for Metropolitan Stability.

Item I Award Class 5 Contract
Staff is recommending award of Class 5 contract.

Moegerle, "I pull B and C." Ronning, "Which ones? B and C?" Moegerle, "Yes." Ronning, "Put me on the list with B."

DeRoche motioned to approve A, and D through I. Koller seconded. All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

Item B
Meeting
Minutes,
April 23,
2014 Board of
Review
Recessed
Meeting

Moegerle, "I pulled B because there were a lot of typos, a lot of spellings and those kinds of things. I have them written here for corrections. One was the word, well on Page 2, we have 'fist' for 'first,' we have 'falls' for 'fails,' 'would' for 'could.' Another page, non-sequester when the term is non sequitur, on and on and on. Page 4 we have 'Forrest'" with two r's and we know it's just one 'r.' There's another one on Page 4 where it says 'would hake inhabitable' the word is 'make habitable.' So, these really need to be proofread. Here we have 'looses grandfather' when it's 'loses grandfather.'

Moegerle motioned to direct staff to review the Meeting Minutes of April 23, 2014 Board of Review Recessed meeting and bring them back at the next Council meeting.

DeRoche, "Jack, were these submitted to you or any staff prior to this meeting?" Davis, "No, they were submitted to me. I did not proofread them."

Ronning, "I asked for B also. I've noticed some spelling, the substantive thing that I saw, was on Page 6 of 11 of those minutes. It has my name. The content is correct, but it was Tim Harrington that made the comments. They could correct that. I had some other things in mind too, but it's, I'll leave it go."

Moegerle, "So, is there a second on this one? To have it..."

Koller motioned to approve the Meeting Minutes of April 23, 2014 Board of Review Recessed Meeting with edits as suggested.

Moegerle, "I withdraw my motion."

Moegerle seconded.

Vierling, "There was no second to that first motion so it would be ruled as such. The motion then would be to accept, as corrected for grammar and the substantive comment on Page 6?" Ronning, "Yeah."

All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

Item C
Meeting
Minutes,
May 7, 2014
Council
Meeting

Moegerle, "I pulled C. This one I noticed that we're missing the column on the left where it indicates what the topic is. I would ask that be put in. We transfer into the Planning discussion and it's not notated in there. It's kind of hard to follow in that transition. So, I would ask that to be added. Then, I think Mr. DeRoche may want a correction on Page 30 and it's the fifth paragraph: 'DeRoche, "Correct. That's exactly why I'm looking for a new spot.' I think that was Mr. Valder that said he's looking for a new spot for his business. I thought I'd bring that to your attention to see if that, my memory of that exchange is correct. And, that would be the only substantive correction that I would have."

Moegerle motioned to approve the May 7, 2014, Council Meeting Minutes, as corrected to add the topic column on the left and to change Page 30, fifth paragraph to indicate: 'Valder, "Correct. That's exactly why I'm looking for a new spot.' Ronning seconded. All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

7.0

Commission, Association and Task Force Reports

New Business7.0A
Planning
Commission
7.0A.1
Zoning Map
Amendment

Davis presented the staff report and request to consider a Zoning Map Amendment to the official Map of the City of East Bethel. The City Council is asked to consider the approval for the rezoning of three different, separate boundaries of land noticed as follows:

- Contiguous Boundary of Land west of Ulysses Street NE, South of 189th Avenue NE, referenced as the Classic Commercial Park.
- Contiguous Boundary of Land east of Highway 65 and North of Klondike Dr NE, referenced as Minnesota Fresh LLC
- Contiguous Boundary of Land North of Viking Boulevard NE, at the intersection of Viking Boulevard and Isanti Street, referenced as three commercial properties at this location

The zoning for the first property referenced as Classic Commercial Park is B-3. The proposed change is to Light Industrial. The existing zoning for Minnesota Fresh LLC is R-2 and proposed to be changed to B-3. The zoning for the three properties at the intersection of Isanti Street and County Road 22 are R-1 and R-2 respectively with the proposed change to B-3.

Attachments in your packet provide information on the request and the PIDs of the effected properties and location maps of these areas. Staff requests City Council approval of the Zoning Map Amendment as presented in the packet, to the official Map of the City of East Bethel.

Final approval of the Zoning Map Amendment to the official Map is contingent upon the final approval of the Land Use Amendment to the City of East Bethel's 2030 Comp Plan of the Metropolitan Council. The 2030 Comp Plan amendment will be submitted to the Metropolitan Council for review upon final approval by City Council of this proposal.

Moegerle motioned to approve the Zoning Map Amendment to the official Map contingent upon the final approval of the Land Use Amendment to the City of East Bethel's 2030 Comp Plan of the Metropolitan Council. Koller seconded.

DeRoche, "I guess what I'd like to add to that is, I would also like to see us pursue, as our discussion was in the Work Meeting for the other businesses on 22 that are currently in business and have been for quite a while. I know a couple of them probably have zoning issues. I would like Council to direct staff to do that, to pursue that."

DeRoche motioned to direct staff to pursue the rezoning of other businesses on County Road 22 pursuant to Council's discussion at the Work Meeting. Koller seconded.

Vierling, "That would be a follow up motion. You need to deal with this one first. But, you can always then have a motion afterwards to do as you suggest. DeRoche, "I can't have that one, huh?" Vierling, "Do you want to do it as an amendment to that motion?" DeRoche, "Well, these are going to pass. It would just be an amendment to follow up with the other businesses."

Moegerle, "I think we should keep it separate."

DeRoche, "Jack, what would you prefer?" Davis, "It makes no difference to me. It's probably cleaner to keep it separate."

Second motion declared out of order.

DeRoche, "All right. So, any more discussion on the first motion to accept the Zoning Maps as presented?"

All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

Vierling, "It's okay to make that motion now."

DeRoche, "Some of the businesses are grandfathered-in; however, if they sell, the owner sells it, then it's no longer the case. I know Plow World was one of them and there were a couple others that Jack and I had talked about. I would like to direct staff to look at some of these other businesses, Go for it Gas is, I think, another one. Right Jack? Davis, "Right." DeRoche, "And, come back with this."

DeRoche motioned to direct staff to pursue the rezoning of other businesses on County Road 22 pursuant to Council's discussion at the Work Meeting. Moegerle seconded. All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

7.0B
Economic
Development
Authority
7.0C
Park
Commission
7.0C.1
Capital
Improvement
Plans
(2015-2019)

None.

Davis presented the staff report for the 2015-2019 Capital Improvement Plans (CIP), indicating the City of East Bethel Parks Commission adopted a Parks and Trails Capital Improvements Plan for 2015-2019 at their May 14, 2014 meeting. This Plan identified capital projects that are recommended for consideration for City parks.

A significant portion of the funding required to complete a number of these projects are generated by the park and trail dedication fees charged as a part of developers' agreements. Minimal funds from these fees are anticipated through the remainder of 2014 and into 2015.

Projected revenues in the Plan are based on the assumption that the City of East Bethel will provide a minimum of \$50,000 per year to the Parks Capital Fund and that the City will continue to collect at least \$2,500 in park dedication fees and \$500 for trail dedication fees from plats of new development.

The trails section of the Parks CIP provides for trail development projects. Trails projects will depend on direction from the City Council. A trail extension at John Anderson Park has been proposed that would allow access to this Park from an adjoining neighborhood and further expand the areas connected bike trails and residential streets. The estimated cost of this project is \$40,000 and would be paid from the existing balance in the Trail Capital Fund if approved by City Council. It is recommended that consideration of this project be placed on hold until after the approval of the 2015 City Budget.

Commitment to this Plan requires the dedication of resources only for 2015. Projects beyond 2015 are identified and prioritized by the Parks Commission to provide Council with recommendations for improvements for this period. Commitment to the 2015 projects

is required as part of the 2015 budget process, which will be finalized this year. Projects beyond 2015 will be addressed in future budget years. This provides the necessary lead time to prepare plans, specifications and presentations before Council for the following year's improvements.

Staff is recommending approval of the 2015-2019 Parks and Trails CIP and the projects as listed for 2015 implementation.

Harrington motioned to approve the 2015-2019 Parks and Trails CIP and the projects as listed for 2015 implementation. Moegerle seconded.

Harrington, "I just want to, to let you guys know, I stopped by Booster Park to look at the skateboard. That skateboard area has seen its better days. It needs it bad, it needs new skateboard equipment up there."

DeRoche, "Anybody else?"

Ronning, "Just to reiterate, the expenditures estimated at \$125,000 for 2015 and projected revenues from General Fund transfers estimated at \$50,000. Sometimes we go through these numbers fast enough that, 'What'd they say? What'd they say?'" Davis, "That's correct, the balances are, we have two funds. We have a Parks Capital Fund, which has, I think, \$86,000 in it. And, a Park Acquisition and Development Fund which has \$26,000 in it. Those would be used to pay the balance of this. The monies in the Parks Acquisition and Development Fund are provided by dedication fees and they can only be spent on parks projects."

Ronning, "And this has no impact on services to the City or anything?" Davis, "No, the funds are there and available. And, two of these projects, as Tim mentioned, the skateboard facility at Booster West is heavily used. It's at least 10 to 12 years old and if we don't do something there, there could be some safety issues involved. The other is the refurbishing of the outdoor rink at the Ice Arena. That facility needs new boards, surface leveled, new lights. We have an overhead power line that needs to be put underground as it is a safety issue. These projects are more 'needs' and not 'wants.'"

Koller, "I have one question on the bottom, park irrigation, \$65,000? What are we doing right now for irrigation?" Davis, "Nothing there. There have been requests for use for soccer fields. That would be the ideal place to put that. We would have to drill a well, extend power, and irrigate approximately four to five acres there."

Koller, "That's a lot of money. How much will it cost monthly to run the irrigation?" Davis, "The electrical cost, I don't have any real figures on that. It would be run for a period of probably four months out of the year, four to five months. We could come back, we could get that to you. We can base it on facilities we do currently irrigate and what their costs are and prorate that out for the acreage."

Koller, "Sounds like an awfully healthy investment for..." Davis, "Well, and here again, mind you, that is an estimate and these estimates are on the conservative side. So, the project cost could be less, it would not be more. Again, it's meant to address the demand for soccer. It is growing again and even though we could probably generate a little revenue by renting these out, it certainly would not be enough to cover these expenses. But, it is something that's becoming an increasing demand that we're getting more requests on."

Koller, "Okay."

DeRoche, "Well, I don't, I guess I don't see a problem with it. Parks kind of took a hit for about the last year or so as we were trying to figure out how we were going to pay for the sewer and water project, the levy, making budget cuts. Parks and trails kind of took a hit so I'd like to put some money back into it." Davis, "As Bob has said, the transfer to the parks has been reduced from \$100,000 a year to \$50,000 a year. We actually had a moratorium on parks projects for 2014. We've done nothing at all in light of our attempts to solve our sewer bond financing payments. We do have to do a few things just to kind of keep up with what we have. I think these are all very worthy projects. There are some more projects there that are listed in the Plan over five years. These will be evaluated at the beginning of next year. We actually moved some of them down the list and some may actually fall off the list because these will be scrutinized very tightly as we analyze their impact and their need for future development."

Harrington, "I just have one more question. On that repair, the outdoor hockey rink, is there still room for that casual rink? We had talked about that." Davis, "There would be room to put another rink out there. Actually, three or four years ago we did have two rinks. We just graded the gravel parking lot, banked the snow up, and flooded it once the ground froze. But, there is room enough for another rink on the gravel surface out there."

DeRoche, "Any more discussion?"

All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

7.0D
Road
Commission

Davis, "We missed this one, it was added to the agenda as a discussion of condition of County roads and direction from the Council to consider staff to draft some type of resolution to the County."

7.0D.1
Authorization
for Staff to
prepare a
Resolution
that would
address the
County Roads
conditions in
the City

DeRoche, "Just tell them we have bad roads?" Davis, "Well, I think the point is, does this Council want to go on record noting which County roads we have that are in bad condition and let the County know? I think it's important that we do so, so at least we're on record of making this recommendation to the County that certain County roads in the City, particularly County Road 74, which is 221st Avenue; County Road 26 which is 237th; County Road 15, which is East Bethel Boulevard; and, probably County Road 68 going south into Ham Lake, are in dire need of more than just pothole patching." DeRoche, "Sounds good."

Moegerle motioned to authorize Staff to prepare a Resolution that would address the condition of County Roads in the City including County Road 74, which is 221st Avenue; County Road 26, which is 237th; County Road 15, which is East Bethel Boulevard; and, County Road 68 going south into Ham Lake. Ronning seconded.

DeRoche called a recess at 8:20 p.m. The meeting was reconvened at 8:23 p.m.

DeRoche, "All right, now that we're all back, any more discussion?"

Harrington, "I got an e-mail back. I think everybody here's received one that County Road 74, 221st, and County Road 15, Anoka County designated them as candidates for overlay for next year. So, that's a start. We get 237th and it's too late to get that one in but at least they'll hopefully get something done on 74 and 15 next year. I think the Lake, from 22 to

Lexington is supposed to be overlaid this year.”

DeRoche, “Yeah, I talked to Doug Fisher about a week ago and that’s what he had told me it was. Any other discussion?”

All in favor, motion carries unanimously.

8.0 None.

Department Reports

8.0A
Community
8.0B None.

Engineer
8.0C None.
City Attorney

8.0D None.
Finance

8.0E None.
Public Works

8.0F Fire Inspector DuCharme presented the April reports of Fire Department. “We responded to 46 calls, had quite a few medicals during the month of April, and there were actually 32 related medical calls that we were on. We also were out and got into 12 businesses for inspections. One thing to note that we did assist Oak Grove in April on a mutual aid fire, helped them put that out. We also had three vehicle accidents. A couple things that I’d like the Council to know. One, burning permits are available now. The DNR did lift the burning restrictions in our area so as of right now, people can go to either the Fire Wardens or here at City Hall and obtain a fire permit. Remember, that would be for pile burns and that type of thing. Burning, actually with the permit, is really from 6 o’clock at night to 8 o’clock in the morning. It’s for night time burning. The reason for that is that’s when the higher humidity is and also less of a chance of bothering neighbors with smoke and things like that. Rec fires are okay and as we’ve said before, rec fires should be no more than three feet wide and not any higher than three feet high. Rec fires are not burning leaves and grass clippings.

On the medical part, I did want to note that we that we, it shows we did have six canceled events that the Fire Department was canceled en route. But of those six, four of those were still transported. So, it has been the history, most of our medical calls, we are assisting Allina, the ambulance company, in transporting the patients to the hospital. Can I answer any questions?”

Moegerle, “Could you tell us about the grass fires? I noticed one that was on 65. Was that a cigarette? Or, how did, how are we having grass fires?” DuCharme, “Unfortunately, many of the grass fires along the highway right-of-way are not easily discovered as far as cause and origin. That’s usually the DNR that investigates that. Although we are pretty well trained in that. That particular fire, it’s just one of those things. We know it wasn’t lightning so, you know, most likely it was caused by something that was discarded. Now, we’ve had a very wet period. Of course everybody knows that with multiple inches of rain,

but one thing I try to remind people of is a lot of what we call the ‘fuels’ of grass fires, it really only takes an hour or two for that to dry out before we’re back into the fire issue again. So, it looks like next week it’s going to warm up here, throughout the week, and those days that it isn’t going to rain, there’s a good chance of burning. Incidentally, I think Cedar Creek, the University of Minnesota, now I’ve had questions of why they are able to burn. I just want to remind the Council that our jurisdiction doesn’t go over there. However, they have a highly trained crew over there for their prescribed burns and the other burning that they do over there.”

Harrington, “Mark, you did say earlier that you can burn during the day but you have to have a variance?” DuCharme, “Yes I did. You can talk, or call, the Cambridge office of the DNR and ask for a variance. We do not give the variance, that comes right from the State or the Minnesota DNR. If there are reasons to do that, that’s common sense, the DNR will allow that. Much like, you know, sometimes prescribed burns, land owners will need a prescribed burn done and they’ll get a variance for that too, for the daytime.”

DeRoche, “Anybody else have any questions? Comments? Thanks Mark.” DuCharme, “Okay, thanks very much.”

8.0G
City
Administrator
8.0G.1
Ice Arena
Contract

Davis presented the staff report, indicating the Council is asked to consider approval of a contractor for the Ice Arena Management Contract

The City of East Bethel managed and operated the City Ice Arena with City Staff until 2006. From 2006 to 2008 the City contracted with the National Sports Center for management services for this facility. The National Sports Center declined to exercise their option to extend their contract at the end of the 2008 season. As a result, the City solicited other management proposals for operation of the facility and awarded a contract to Gibson Management Company, LLC for a one-year period. The contract with Gibson Management was extended in 2009 to 2011 and another extension was granted in 2011 to 2014.

City professional service agreements are evaluated, generally, every five years and additional requests for services are solicited to ensure that the City is receiving the best value for both the cost and benefit that is being offered. The Request for Proposal (RFP) was advertised in the *Anoka Union*, the City Website, Minnesota Ice Arena Managers Association. There were three submittals for the Management Services Contract:

- 1.) Rink Management Services Corporation;
- 2.) North Metro Community Association; and,
- 3.) Gibson Management, LLC.

Rink Management Services Corporation is a national company that manages approximately 40 sites and 30 rinks throughout the United States. They currently manage the rink in Vadnais Heights and their representative lives in Blaine.

North Metro Community Association withdrew their proposal prior to the meeting so they are no longer under consideration.

Gibson Management, LLC is the current management contractor of the City Arena. They have managed our facility since 2008.

The City Ice Arena was able to post a positive fund balance for the first time in 2013. The goal of the City, at minimum, is to operate this facility with no taxpayer subsidies. This

position was made abundantly clear to all those who have submitted RFP's.

Aside from the outsourcing of the management of the Arena to an independent contractor as we have done since 2006, the City could consider the following alternative options:

- The facility could be leased outright for the total operations; or,
- The City could hire a contract manager and operate the facility under the umbrella of the City.

The major issue with leasing is protecting the City's investment in the facility and establishing responsibilities for maintenance of the equipment. Directly contracting the management as a City function, while a consideration, could create a position and role that has the potential to expand well beyond its anticipated purpose and produce an additional level of management and possible expense.

The City Council continued discussion of the proposals and options at a Work Meeting on May 14, 2014. Although no formal actions could be taken, the Council is inclined to consider awarding a contract for a period of one year, offer a base contract fee with incentives for revenue sharing beyond targeted amounts for ice hour sales, advertising and dry floor events. A one-year contract would provide City Council the opportunity to evaluate the performance of the management of the Arena without being locked into a longer-term agreement. The agreement could be extended upon satisfactory execution of the contract.

At this time, staff recommends that Council consider approval of a contractor to provide the management services for the City Ice Arena and direct staff to negotiate a contract for these services for Council consideration for approval at the June 4, 2014 City Council Meeting.

DeRoche, "Any suggestions? Ideas? Thoughts?"

Davis, "I'll add a couple things. I have talked with both of the submitters of the RFP, Gibson Management and Rink Management. They both indicated that they would be willing to negotiate their fee. Gibson Management has indicated they would be acceptable to a one-year contract. Rink Management said they would have to have a two-year contract. I've also told both parties that we would consider making the St. Francis Youth Hockey Association as part of a management committee to work closely with both the contractor and the City as their input is valuable. They're also our biggest customer. So, both parties are willing and open to negotiation on the contract. Gibson Management seemed to be more open to all the requirements that we had. Rink Management, they had a few more restrictions that we would have to work out with them."

DeRoche motioned to direct staff to negotiate a contract with Gibson Management. Harrington seconded.

Moegerle, "Yeah, I, you made the motion before I could make a motion for Rink Management. I think we have had many years of seeing what Gibson Management could do. I think that when we sent out this RFP we indicated, perhaps the history, but I think we were talking about and anticipating a three-year contract. I think to change from three years to one year is pretty steep. I think that the two year, that Rink Management suggests, is a good compromise. I know that Gibson has made some changes here recently but they've had since 2008, I believe, to make those changes. I like to give people second chances but I just think that Gibson has not taken our concerns with this seriously. And, I generally think

this is time to have new blood in. So, I will be opposing that motion.”

DeRoche, “Any more discussion on the original motion?”

Ronning, “Yeah, I guess I share the, shared with more than a couple that we’ve been disappointed in the past. And, I believe we’ve had discussion about getting out of the business completely. I would be in favor of a one year because as far as I’m concerned, it’s an annual review. If we aren’t doing much better, make a gun range, indoor basketball, or something.”

Harrington, “One of my concerns with Rink Management is I don’t know if they’ve been inside the Arena. You know, what it looks like. If they’re going to say, ‘You need to stick \$50,000-\$100,000 into this Arena.’”

Moegerle, “They did say in the minutes that they had been in there. Isn’t that correct?”

Harrington, “I just don’t want the City getting stuck, you know, with \$50,000 to \$100,000 bill if they say we need to upgrade things inside the building. At least with Gibson, we know what we’ve got. It’s one year.”

Moegerle, “And, I guess we just ask you. Do you think we need to put \$50,000 in?”

Randy Peak, with Rink Management, (off mic), “You know, everything as far as capital improvements or what should be added would be brought to the City Council for approval. It wouldn’t be something that we said ‘do this or else.’ It would be, here’s our recommendation and here’s the reasons why, you know, what actions need to be taken.”

Moegerle, “And, North Metro said there were capital improvements that were, I think, desperately needed. ‘Desperately’ I think is what I remember, but I’m not sure.”

Davis, “I don’t think anybody denies that there are needs for capital improvements. And, being in the ‘black’ for the first time in 20 years in this facility will now permit us to entertain some of those things beyond the normal maintenance and operating budgeted stuff. I think the concern that Tim mentioned, and it’s one that I had too, that Rink Management may have been in our facility but they never requested a tour to go over all the little intricacies involved in running that. I’m sure they have experience and probably, they may have forgotten more than we know about operating a facility but, each facility has its own little issues and I’m not sure that you are aware of all of those. I don’t want to get into a situation like Tim mentioned where we enter into a contract with someone and they come back and say, ‘Look, we weren’t aware of this and we need to invest ‘X’ number of dollars in order for us to execute our portion of the contract.’ Whether this is the case or not, I don’t know. But, I just don’t want to get into that situation.”

Moegerle, “That could be covered contractually, couldn’t it?” Vierling, “Effectively, no. Practically, no, I don’t think so.”

Koller, “We keep bringing it up that it was in the ‘black’ for the first time. But, wasn’t it in the ‘black’ because we dumped some money from the cell tower into it?” Davis, “That’s true.” Koller, “So, it really wasn’t in the ‘black’ we just dumped more money in.” Davis, “Well, there was a subsidy that made it go in the ‘black’ and that’s why we’re saying from now on this thing has to be self sufficient because those cell tower revenues will be directed

elsewhere. There is nothing to subsidize the operation of this. When we say 'in the black' too, we do meet operating expenses. In the budget, I think we've got \$72,000 for depreciation, which is money we owe ourselves. If we operate, only generated \$160,000 there still wouldn't be any City funds that would have to go to support the facility. But, we wouldn't generate any funds for future repairs and maintenance projects either. So, it's important that we generate those monies to keep this facility afloat as we move forward into the future. But, you're correct Ron, the income from the cell tower lease has been plowed back into the Arena since the cell tower was erected. That's primarily the reason we are in the 'black.'"

Ronning, "If I recall the numbers, I think we missed the mark by about \$19,000. Are you able to comment how bad the, well, it didn't make anything at all before." Davis, "I think you're right. If you deducted the cell tower lease payments from our budget, we would be approximately \$19,000 to \$20,000 short of breaking even."

Ronning, "Is that better, or worse, than in the past? Do you know?" Davis, "It's improved each year. That debt has gone down not only because of cell tower leases but because of increases in revenue. Even though the revenue for ice sales has declined the last three years, we still have been able to make positive gains. But, without the cell tower lease payments, what we have now, we wouldn't be in the 'black.' And, that's why we have to do a look at a whole restructuring of how we are running this. That's why we need to integrate St. Francis Youth Hockey Association as part of a management committee. That's why we need to increase our ice sales time or add sales, capitalize on what we are going to do with dry floor event sales and lower the fees for the management contract. So, we are trying to look at it from both a revenue and expense side. And, as we mentioned too, to do things to make this building more energy efficient. We spent \$53,000 last year on gas and electric bills. So, part of everything going forward would be to lower costs and increase revenues. I think that the facility does have a chance to be able to operate at a breakeven position. And, if it isn't then we'll have to evaluate its use in the future.

DeRoche motioned to call the question on the original motion. Ronning seconded.

DeRoche, Harrington, and Ronning-Aye; Koller and Moegerle-Nay, motion carries.

8.0G.2
Personnel
Policy

Davis presented the staff report, indicating the City Personnel Policy has had no major revisions since 2008. In order to comply with changes in regulations relating to Equal Opportunity, Harassment and Drug and Alcohol Free Workplace situations and to correct other deficiencies in the Policy, the attached modifications are submitted for your discussion.

The Policy was submitted to the City Attorney for comment and they provided the attached red-line copy. The attached clean copy includes the City Attorney's recommendation and staff additions to update other aspects of the document.

This update is necessary to remain current with all new employment regulations and requirements.

Staff requests discussions of the revisions, additions and deletions to the City Personnel Policy and direction for an action on this matter.

Moegerle motioned to table consideration of a City Personnel Policy until the City

Council passes a Code of Conduct for itself.

Moegerle, "I think that the City Council shouldn't be imposing a Code of Conduct on employees if we don't have our own Code of Conduct. And, of course, the existing one would stay in effect.

Motion fails for lack of a second.

DeRoche, "I would; however, table it to be able to do some more reading. Is there an actual copy, Jack, that has the red lines instead of the black? Because with the black going through everything, you just can't really see what it is." Davis, "I can print that out in color if it's required." DeRoche, "Could you?" Davis, "Yes."

Ronning, "Is it on-line available too." DeRoche, "Well, if it's on-line, you can do, the red line is?" Davis, "Yes." DeRoche, "Well, that's fine."

Moegerle, "Other than that, I think it looks very good. I haven't checked it for spelling or punctuation.

DeRoche motioned to table consideration of a City Personnel Policy to allow more time for discussion. Koller second.

Moegerle, "Actually, I think it's self explanatory and I think it looks good."

DeRoche, "There is no discussion. It's over. We voted." Vierling, "There's a motion on the table and a second on that." Moegerle, "There wasn't a vote." Vierling, "They're waiting for the vote."

DeRoche, Harrington, Koller, and Ronning-Aye; Moegerle-Nay, motion carries.

9.0 Other

9.0A

Staff Reports

Davis, "I have nothing to add."

9.0B

Council

Report –

Member

Ronning

Ronning, "I don't really have anything. The weather's changed, the holiday is coming, you maybe would say, 'Be careful on the lake this weekend.'"

DeRoche, "Yeah, a lot of boats. They got patrols out there Shelly?" Orlando, "You betcha." DeRoche, "A lot of boats."

Council

Member

Harrington

Harrington, "I've got a couple things. Is there an update on 185th and Laurel, and Lincoln? Where that project's at? Is it still on for this year?" Davis, "The City Engineer will make a presentation on where we are with all of our projects at the June 4th meeting. We had a discussion on that particular project last week and it was emphasized there needs to be a rush on getting those right-of-way agreements completed, signed, and executed. He's working on that. There's one final little issue, with a wetlands issue at the curve at Laurel and Lincoln, which should be taken care of within the next week or two. But, Craig will be here at the next meeting and give us an update on all the projects, roads and forcemain and water and sewer."

Harrington, "Secondly, last week at our Roads Commission meeting, we had Mn/DOT in.

They're trying to give us some ideas on how to relieve the traffic on 187th. There's a lot more things we've got to look at. Mn/DOT does not want any more entrances onto Highway 65. There was talk of a light. I think the light, they want them two miles apart instead of one mile. So, I don't know where we're going to go. We need to find some way to relieve that traffic over there."

Ronning, "As a resident, I was attending that meeting and it really seemed they were upset over something else. And, it's just like, go do something unmentionable because we aren't talking to you." Davis, "We had previous meetings with Mn/DOT regarding this and their policy on traffic lights has been consistent throughout. Their automatic response is, 'No.' I do think that getting a light at that intersection is going to be an uphill battle. Unfortunately, many of the standards that Mn/DOT uses to justify those are measured with fatalities, which is very tragic in my opinion. But, it's something that we're going to continue to work with. We actually have a meeting set up with one of the property owners on the east side of 65 to discuss his needs and requirements for access, next week. And with that, we'll keep discussing this with Mn/DOT and anybody else that will listen to us. Keep in mind, too, that Mn/DOT did approve a traffic light at that intersection in 2002 or 2003 and the only reason it wasn't installed was a project for a grocery store was withdrawn in the eleventh hour and, therefore, the traffic light project went away. So, it's also indicated on all of our future transportation maps that it's an intersection for signalization. If that's not possible, we'll be looking into other alternatives too, like a service road to connect to 22 on that side. And, if Mn/DOT is adamant about no traffic lights, then they should make sure we get full funding from a Cooperative Agreement Grant. We might have to agree to no traffic light if they'll give us \$700,000 or \$800,000 for a service road. So, we have some discussion with them on several other options."

Ronning, "As a watcher, personally I'd say it looked like their feelings were hurt. But, they were upset, clearly, about that Johnson Street project. They went back to that thing a number of times and then it was after the Johnson Street comment, it was 'No Johnson Street, no nothing.' Anything that was brought up was, 'No.' Am I mis-stating that Tim?"

Harrington, "No. I asked for an entrance off 185th and he, 'No.'"

DeRoche, "Well, we're not going to be in a big discussion about that whole thing tonight." Ronning, "Yeah."

Council
Member
Koller

Koller, "I really don't have much at all." DeRoche, "Well 'much at all,' what have you got?"

Koller, "I was supposed to go to the Booster meeting, which was at the same time as our meeting, so, I missed that." Ronning, "Me too."

Koller, "I got Sunrise Watershed coming up and Planning & Zoning coming up. So, I'll have something to say at the next meeting."

Mayor
DeRoche

DeRoche, "Well, for your information, construction on 65 in Spring Lake Park and Fridley is scheduled to begin June 11, 2014. The project will have significant traffic impacts so please adjust your schedule if you're going to travel in this area. Jack, I think you and I were, do they have anything on line yet as to some kind of a...have you heard anything Shelly?" Orlando, "I have not." Davis, "On the Anoka County website, under the Highway Department, they should have their detour plans listed there. I don't know precisely what

they are but if you are traveling in that direction, I would check with that because that's going to have a significant impact on traffic going north and south on 65 in that area."

DeRoche, "Last Thursday I had the opportunity to go over to the Lino Lakes Detention Center, Juvenile Center. It was kind of a lunch and meeting with the Anoka County Department of Corrections. Interesting place. A lot of vendors and colleges, trade schools had booths and everything set up for the kids. They had a couple Marines there, the kids were talking to them. A couple of the fire fighters. You could actually kind of see some of the kids 'light up' a little bit to see that there is something out there. And, from what I'm getting out of the folks through the different committee meetings, they really do try to work with kids. And, you know, it's not just 'all kids are bad.' Some kids are in a bad situation and have never been given an opportunity to pull out of it. So, didn't seem like a real good place. We didn't go to the Department of Corrections part but the juvenile and the secure and non-secure part didn't look like a very fun place to be. I'm sure, and they said they sometimes get ten, eleven kids in there on a Friday night. Whether they're down at the park and being stupid, or whatever, parents are gone. They bring them in, give them two-three days in there, and then they don't see them any more because it kind of gives them a little, a reality. Anybody watches any prison shows where they have the steel tiers and you walk up, and the little blocks, that's reality in there. There's not much in the rooms. Cement bed, little stainless steel toilet. So, it's good to see that they're doing stuff. I was really happy. I had a good time talking to the vendors and asking them, 'Well, you know, some of the kids come out of here, how do they get their record expunged if it's not too bad? Is it a stigma attached to then? Well, you've been in Lino Lakes so now you're no good?' And, there are a lot of programs out there to help these kids out. And, if anybody needs help, I'll drop some literature off here, that we can kind of guide it. Or, if you know some parents that would like some information, I think it would be good for them.

Other than that, lakes, you know, will build up with traffic again. I'm glad to hear that there are some patrols out there again because there are way too many people on the lake with boats that probably, maybe shouldn't have them. You know they look like fun, people spend \$50,000-\$60,000 on a boat, don't realize boats don't just stop. And, by law, you cannot create any kind of a public safety hazard or nuisance. But yet, you'll see boats buzzing the docks within 20-30 feet. Not realizing, maybe, somebody's out there swimming. So, if you're out there doing it and you get stopped by the Anoka County Sheriff, good for you.

The only other thing I've got, other than that, you know the last time I said I went to the Mayors' Conference, got to know some people, and I've had a chance over the last couple weeks to hook up with some of the Mayors that I talked to. Sent information back and forth. I thought it was a worthwhile trip. I really did."

Council
Member
Moegerle

Moegerle, "Can I have Council Reports?" DeRoche, "Oh, I'm sorry." Ronning, "Missed one"

Moegerle, "My name is Heidi Moegerle and I've been on the City Council for three years and five months.

And, I wanted to let you know very good news is that the Forest Lake voters rejected the \$176 million levy to update the buildings. So, yesterday was the election day and it was exciting that Coon Lake Beach was abuzz about the tax levy and voting. So, that was just an excellent sign for the November election. And, that's really great.

I also attended the Roads Commission meeting and think it's worthwhile for you to read the minutes of that when it comes out to see just exactly what Anoka County had to say and, I'm sorry, the State had to say about the funding and frontage/backage roads, just so you have that accurately. Not that it was mis-stated here, but just so you have the full picture. There is a vacancy on the Roads Commission so if there is someone who would be interested in being on the Roads Commission, that's something you might want to consider.

Anoka Parks and Recreation has brought out their summer schedule so there are a lot of fun things out there to do.

So, that's all I have. Have a good holiday."

Ronning, "What was the levy for?" Moegerle, "Buildings. It was for all kinds of building repair, maintenance." Ronning, "\$170-some million?" Moegerle, "\$176 million, and I've heard it as much as \$188 million, but it's hard to tell."

DeRoche, "\$188 million plus \$10 million to refinance what they had and about another \$100 million in interest for the money they were borrowing. It was about \$387 million."

Moegerle, "The *Pioneer Press* said today \$176 million but \$188 million was also a number that was used." Ronning, "A lot of money, one way or another." Moegerle, "But, just for the Forest Lake School District."

9.0C
Other

10.0
Adjourn **Moegerle made a motion to adjourn at 8:53 p.m. Ronning seconded; all in favor, motion carries unanimously.**

Submitted by:
Carla Wirth
TimeSaver Off Site Secretarial, Inc.