City of East Bethel
City Council Agenda

Regular Council Meeting – 7:30 p.m.
Date: November 6, 2013

	Item		
7:30 PM	**1.0**	**Call to Order**	
7:31 PM	**2.0**	**Pledge of Allegiance**	
7:32 PM	**3.0**	**Adopt Agenda**	
7:33 PM	**4.0**	**Special Order of Business – Interview Park Candidates**	
Page 3-6	A.	Park Commission Interviews and Consideration of Appointment	
7:40 PM	**5.0**	**Public Hearings**	
Page 7-10	A.	Delinquent Utility and Emergency Services Charges	
7:50 PM	**6.0**	**Public Forum**	
8:10 PM	**7.0**	**Consent Agenda**	

Any item on the consent agenda may be removed for consideration by request of any one Council Member and put on the regular agenda for discussion and consideration

Page 14-19	A.	Approve Bills
Page 20-39	B.	September 4, 2013 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes
Page 40-68	C.	October 16, 2013 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes
Page 69	D.	Liability Coverage Waiver Form
Page 70	E	Final Payment- Pearson Brothers, Inc. - Sandy Drive Seal Coat Project
Page 71-72	F.	Pay Est. No. 1 – North Metro Asphalt & Contracting - Whispering Aspen Street Improvement Project
Page 73-76	G.	Pay Estimate No. 2- LaTour Construction - Whispering Aspen/Castle Towers Force Main Project
Page 77-84	H.	Pay Estimate No. 25- SR Weidema – Phase 1, Project 1 Utility Improvements

New Business

	8.0	Commission, Association and Task Force Reports
	A.	Economic Development Authority
	B.	Planning Commission
	C.	Park Commission
8:20 PM	D.	Road Commission
Page 85-89		1. Posting Speed on Lincoln Drive
	9.0	**Department Reports**
	A.	Community Development
8:25 PM	B.	Engineer
Page 90-93		1. Castle Towers Lift Station Bids
8:35 PM	C.	Attorney
Page 94		1. TEP Update
8:45 PM	D.	Finance

Page 95-107		1.	Cell Tower Contract
8:55PM	E.	Public Works	
Page 108-118		1.	Fleet Policy
Page 119-124		2.	Sewer Maintenance and Emergency Response Policy
	F.	Fire Department	
9:10 PM	G.	City Administrator	
Page 125-150		1.	Assessments for Municipal Utilities Project
Page 151-157		2.	2014 Budget Discussion

9:30 PM	**10.0**	**Other**	
		A.	Staff Report
		B.	Council Reports
		C.	Other

9:40 PM	**11.0**	**Adjourn**

City of East Bethel
City Council Agenda Information

* * * *

No Action Required:_____

William Zimmermann
230 186th Lane NE
East Bethel, MN 55011

October 21, 2013

City of East Bethel
2241 221st Avenue NE
East Bethel, MN 55011

To Whom It May Concern:

The recent opening for a Park Commission member caught my attention and interested me. I am writing this letter to let you know that I would be an excellent candidate for this position and would like to be considered.

I have only lived in East Bethel for approximately one and a half years, but my interest for parks has been lifelong. Along with my young family, I have always enjoyed exploring parks at every opportunity. We have visited many parks and enjoy biking, picnicking, playing, geocaching, hiking and many other family activities.

I know what it takes to maintain parks and keep them appealing to the community. I spent four summers during my college years working for the City of Little Falls in the Parks Department. I spent nearly all of my time working at the Pine Grove Park and Zoo maintaining the park.

I know that this is a challenging time for the City and I look forward to assisting the city by working on the Park Commission. I have enclosed with this letter, a copy of my professional resume. I feel that my many years of both working and exploring in various parks, will make me an excellent candidate for this position.

I would appreciate an opportunity to learn more about this commission. Please call me at (763) 502-0942 to arrange the next steps of this process.

Thank you for your time in considering my interest and qualifications.

Sincerely,

William Zimmermann

Enclosures (1)

William Zimmermann

230 186th Lane NE, East Bethel, MN 55011

Education

2010-2012 — **Anoka-Ramsey Community College**
Nearing completion of a Computer Network Security Certificate. Courses have included: wireless LAN's, Linux/Unix fundamentals and network security.

2001–2003 — **St. Mary's University of Minnesota**
Various continuing education courses including Information Ethics, Concepts of Database Management and Structured Programming, Principles of OS's, Networks, and Internet Design.

1996–1998 — **Dunwoody Institute**
A.A.S degree in Computers and Digital Systems, November 1998. Courses included Basic Electronics, Advanced Electronics and Circuits, Digital Electronics, Microprocessors, Project Research and Development, Programming in Assembly Language, MASM, C++; Communication Skills.

Employment

1997–Present — **Spring Lake Park School District,** 5,500-student district in Twin Cities northern suburbs
Technology Support Specialist

- Installed and manage a 8,000-device network including wireless networking.
- Monitor, support, and repair 60+ servers including email, student information system, and district website running on the Windows, Linux, and NetWare OS's.
- Troubleshot a wide variety of classroom electrical devices.
- Manage network firewall, web filters, and remote access.
- Designed and support IP card access and IP video surveillance systems.
- Responsible for accurate and consistent data back-ups.
- Support MAC, Windows, and iOS desktops, laptops, and tablets.
- Manage virtual server and virtual desktop system.
- Assist with phone system support and planning.
- Train teachers and other staff on the use of technology.
- Assist the coordinator with planning and managing the technology budget.
- Involved with creation and coordination of the district's technology plan.
- Key player in Technology HelpDesk operations and streamlining the staff support process.
- Currently assisting with a major upgrade to the district website to keep up with 21st century needs including teacher-parent communication tools and a variety of social media.
- Used to being on-the-job, on-call, doing whatever is necessary to keep systems running at all times.

1995–2007 — **Minnesota National Guard**
Radio Operator/Maintainer
Operated, installed, and troubleshot FM and AM radio sets. Instructed classes on global positioning system, radios, and proper radio communication. Received national secret security clearance.

1994–1997 (Summers) — **City of Little Falls**
Parks Department

- Maintained grounds; keeping them looking in tip-top shape.
- Conducted preventative maintenance on tools and equipment.
- Ensured safe and secure operation of all park attractions.
- Acted as a liaison to the community and park visitors.
- Fed animals and cleaned their cages.

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013
* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 5.0 A
* *

Agenda Item:
Public Hearing – Delinquent Charges
* *

Requested Action:
Conduct a Public Hearing for Delinquent Utility and Emergency Services Charges
* *

Background Information:
East Bethel Code of Ordinances, Chapter 74, Sec. 74-126 (b) provides for the collection of delinquent utility bills through the property tax system. East Bethel Code of Ordinances, Chapter 30, Sec. 30-105 provides for the collection of unpaid emergency services through the property tax system in the county which the recipient of the services owns property. These ordinances provide an opportunity for property owners that are delinquent in payments to the City for utility services and for emergency services to come before the City Council to explain their specific situation. The Public Hearing we are conducting tonight meets the requirements of the Ordinance.

The Public Hearing must be conducted and property owners must be provided an opportunity to be heard before the final certification of delinquent amounts is forwarded to the County for collection with property taxes.

At its October 2, 2013 meeting, Council set November 6, 2013 as the Public Hearing date for individuals wishing to object to the delinquent charges being collected through the property tax system. All affected property owners have been notified via U.S. Mail of the opportunity to appear before the City Council on Wednesday evening. Requests to be heard at the Public Hearing, as provided for by ordinance, were accepted through October 25, 2013. As of this date, no property owners have notified the City of their intent to be heard before the City Council.

The final list must be provided to the County no later than November 30, 2013 (Minnesota Statute 429.061, Subd. 3 requires the City to certify its assessments to the county auditor by November 30).
* *

Fiscal Impact:
Certification of delinquent charges will improve the City's opportunity to collect these charges.
* *

Recommendation(s):
Staff recommends that the public hearing be conducted on Wednesday, November 6, 2013 to provide an opportunity for citizens to be heard on their delinquent amounts. At the conclusion of the Public Hearing, Staff recommends approval of Resolution 2013-63 Final Certification of Delinquent Charges for Collection with 2013 Property Taxes.
* * * *

CITY OF EAST BETHEL
EAST BETHEL, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-63

FINAL CERTIFICATION OF DELINQUENT CHARGES FOR COLLECTION WITH 2013 PROPERTY TAXES

WHEREAS, East Bethel Code of Ordinance, Chapter 74, Sec. 74-126 (b) provides for the collection of unpaid utility bills through the property tax system; and

WHEREAS, East Bethel Code of Ordinances, Chapter 30, Sec. 30-105 provide for the collection of unpaid emergency services through the property tax system in the county which the recipient of the services owns property; and

WHEREAS, the attached list shows the delinquent amounts owed assuming a certification cutoff date of September 25, 2013 that reflects payments received through November 6, 2013; and

WHEREAS, certification will greatly improve the City's chances of collecting the relevant charges.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF EAST BETHEL, MINNESOTA THAT THE COUNCIL approves the attached, final certification list.

Adopted this 6th day of November, 2013 by the City Council of the City of East Bethel.

CITY OF EAST BETHEL

_____

Richard Lawrence, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____

Jack Davis, City Administrator

City of East Bethel
Past Due Amounts, Period Ending September 25, 2013

Utility Billing Accounts

Address	PIN	Name	Utility Due	Certification Charge	Interest 18% from 1/1/14 12/31/14	Total Certified
1142 243rd Ln	29-34-23-22-0124	Bender	2,179.83	70.00	392.37	2,642.20
1153 Pierce Path	29-34-23-22-0133	Demarais	970.73	70.00	174.73	1,215.46
24150 Whispering Cir	29-34-23-23-0185	Bergstrom	959.83	70.00	172.77	1,202.60
24235 Fillmore Cir	29-34-23-23-0170	Schuneman	127.79	70.00	23.00	220.79
			4,238.18	280.00	762.87	5,281.05

Emergency Services

19342 Rochester St	28-33-23-13-0005	Dilorenzo	$300	70.00	54.00	$424

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013
* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 7.0 A-H
* *

Agenda Item:
Consent Agenda
* *

Requested Action:
Consider approving Consent Agenda as presented
* *

Background Information:
Item A
Approve Bills

Item B
September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes
Meeting minutes from the September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting are attached for your review and approval.

Item C
October 16, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes
Meeting minutes from the October 16, 2013 City Council Meeting are attached for your review and approval.

Item D
Liability Coverage Waiver Form
The City purchases its insurance from the League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust (LMCIT). A requirement of that insurance coverage is that each participating municipality must annually either affirm or waive its statutory limits of liability.

The statutory limits of liability for Minnesota cities are $500,000 for an individual claimant and $1,500,000 per occurrence. Cities can waive these limits by allowing an individual claimant to recover more than $500,000, up to the $1,500,000 occurrence limit or more if limits are waived and excess liability insurance is purchased. They may also waive the “per occurrence” limit and purchase excess liability insurance. Historically, East Bethel has not waived its liability limits and has chosen to purchase excess coverage, which increases the recovery amount to $2,500,000. The additional coverage costs roughly $8,124.00. Staff and the City Attorney recommend that the City continue this position for 2014.

Item E
Final Payment - Pearson Brothers, Inc - Sandy Drive Seal Coat Project

Pearson Brothers, Inc. has completed the Sandy Drive Seal Coat Project and has submitted all the required documentation to consider this project for final payment. The original contract amount for this project was $55,631.05. The final contract amount is $50,739.00. Staff recommends final payment of $50,739.00. Payment for this project will be financed from the City's Municipal State Aid Fund. Funds, as noted, are available and appropriate for this project. A copy of the final payment form is attached.

Item F

Pay Estimate No. 1 to North Metro Asphalt & Contracting for Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement Project

This item includes Pay Estimate No. 1 to North Metro Asphalt & Contracting for the Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement Project. All payments for this project will be made to Northern Escrow, Inc as directed by the bonding company and as approved by the contractor. This pay estimate includes payment for hydrant and valve repair, service installation, and concrete sidewalk and curb repair. Staff recommends partial payment of $121,706.83. A summary of the recommended payment is as follows:

Total Work Completed to Date	$ 128,112.45
Less Retainage	$ 6,405.62
Total payment	$ 121,706.83

Payment for this project will be financed from the City's Street Capital Fund, through funds that are collected from the developer for street improvements in accordance with the Developers Agreement, and from excess bond proceeds. Funds, as noted, are available and appropriate for this project. A copy of Pay Estimate No. 1 is attached.

Item G

Pay Estimate #2 to LaTour Contracting for Castle Towers/Whispering Aspen 2013 Forcemain Project

This item includes Pay Estimate #2 to LaTour Construction, Inc. for the Castle Towers/Whispering Aspen 2013 Forcemain Project. This pay estimate includes payment for turf establishment, erosion control, clearing and grubbing, dewatering and forcemain construction. Staff recommends partial payment of $614,735.88. A summary of the recommended payment is as follows:

Total Work Completed to Date	$ 815,825.15
Less Previous Payments	$ 160,298.01
Less Retainage	$ 40,791.26
Total payment	$ 614,735.88

Payment for this project will be financed from the bond proceeds. Funds, as noted above, are available and appropriate for this project. A copy of Pay Estimate #2 is attached.

Item H

Pay Estimate #25 (Final Payment) to S.R. Weidema for the Phase 1, Project 1 Utility Improvements

This item includes the final payment to S.R. Weidema for the construction of the Phase 1, Project 1 Utility Improvements. This pay request includes payment for previously withheld amounts for unresolved pay items and release of the retainage from 2.5% to 0%. The total recommended payment of $362,175.85 to S.R. Weidema includes a direct payment of $19,135.93 and a release of $343,039.93 from the Contractor's escrow account at TCF Bank. A summary of the final payment breakdown is as follows:

Contractor Payment Summary			
	Totals to Date	Less Previous Payments	Amount Due this Estimate
MCES	$7,307,078.11	$7,110,481.05	$196,597.06
City	$4,441,419.15	$4,327,159.35	$114,259.80
County	$1,992,235.72	$1,940,916.72	$51,319.00
Total	$13,740,732.98	$13,378,557.12	$362,175.86

Payment for this project will be financed from the bond proceeds and MCES and County proceeds in accordance with the approved agreements. Funds, as noted above, are available and appropriate for this project. A copy of Pay Estimate #25 is attached. Staff recommends that a direct payment in the amount of $19,135.93 be made to S.R. Weidema.

The direct payment is summarized as follows:

MCES	$14,277.03
City	$ 3,307.00
County	$ 1,551.90
Total	**$19,135.93**

Staff further recommends that Council authorize staff to prepare the documents to release the remaining retainage of $343,039.93 from the TCF Bank escrow account to S.R. Weidema.

* * * *

Payments for Council Approval November 6, 2013

Bills to be Approved for Payment	$346,244.85
Electronic Payments	$26,171.08
Payroll City Council - October 15, 2013	$2,145.74
Payroll Fire Department - October 15, 2013	$8,067.76
Payroll City Staff - October 24, 2013	$32,587.31

Total to be Approved for Payment	$415,216.74

City of East Bethel
November 6, 2013
Payment Summary

Department	Description	Invoice	Vendor	Fund	Dept	Amount
215-221st 65 Service Rd	Architect/Engineering Fees	32199	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	402	43125	$1,802.87
Arena Operations	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	615	49851	$5,735.28
Arena Operations	Gas Utilities	387776910	Xcel Energy	615	49851	$108.67
Arena Operations	Refuse Removal	535020	Walters Recycling, Inc.	615	49851	$289.76
Arena Operations	Repairs/Maint Machinery/Equip	11948	Blaine Lock & Safe, Inc.	615	49851	$259.15
Building Inspection	Electrical Inspections	100113	Brian Nelson Inspection Svcs	101		$1,324.50
Building Inspection	Motor Fuels	2282719	Lubricant Technologies, Inc.	101	42410	$479.51
Building Inspection	Printing and Duplicating	21912	Do All Printing.Com	101	42410	$240.47
Building Inspection	Small Tools and Minor Equip	332373310-143	Nextel Communications	101	42410	$25.64
Building Inspection	Surcharge Remittance	18061003051	MN Dept Labor & Industry	101		$2,634.24
Building Inspection	Telephone	332373310-143	Nextel Communications	101	42410	$21.73
Central Services/Supplies	Information Systems	11 2013	Midcontinent Communications	101	48150	$1,278.00
Central Services/Supplies	Legal Notices	31391	ECM Publishers, Inc.	101	48150	$92.25
Central Services/Supplies	Office Supplies	14406	Norseman Awards	101	48150	$48.09
Central Services/Supplies	Office Supplies	674055404001	Office Depot	101	48150	$57.33
Central Services/Supplies	Office Supplies	676469228001	Office Depot	101	48150	$30.64
Central Services/Supplies	Office Supplies	676469316001	Office Depot	101	48150	$38.60
Central Services/Supplies	Office Supplies	676500757001	Office Depot	101	48150	$13.22
Central Services/Supplies	Printing and Duplicating	1028A	Print Plus, Inc.	101	48150	$63.59
Central Services/Supplies	Telephone	11387303	Integra Telecom	101	48150	$222.88
City Administration	Telephone	332373310-143	Nextel Communications	101	41320	$9.29
City Administration	Travel Expenses	103013	Jack Davis	101	41320	$206.23
City Administration	Travel Expenses	101713	Karen White	101	41320	$9.37
City Clerk	Office Supplies	676500713001	Office Depot	101	41430	$22.42
Engineering	Architect/Engineering Fees	32204	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	101	43110	$891.85
Finance	Office Supplies	676500713001	Office Depot	101	41520	$34.25
Fire Department	Broker Fees	V04495	Brindlee Mountain Fire App.	701		$5,000.00
Fire Department	Clothing & Personal Equipment	140977	Aspen Mills, Inc.	101	42210	$145.70
Fire Department	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	101	42210	$667.24
Fire Department	Gas Utilities	387776910	Xcel Energy	101	42210	$84.00
Fire Department	General Operating Supplies	438950	Ham Lake Hardware	101	42210	$33.63
Fire Department	General Operating Supplies	439471	Ham Lake Hardware	101	42210	$11.73
Fire Department	Motor Fuels	2282719	Lubricant Technologies, Inc.	101	42210	$762.82
Fire Department	Motor Fuels	2282720	Lubricant Technologies, Inc.	101	42210	$357.20
Fire Department	Personnel Advertising	140480	Aspen Mills, Inc.	231	42210	$26.77
Fire Department	Personnel Advertising	028-395823	Batteries Plus	231	42210	$92.66
Fire Department	Personnel Advertising	232760	Foremost Promotions	231	42210	$443.52
Fire Department	Personnel Advertising	5937856Y	NFPA	231	42210	$508.64
Fire Department	Personnel Advertising	1011A	Print Plus, Inc.	231	42210	$162.45
Fire Department	Refuse Removal	535020	Walters Recycling, Inc.	101	42210	$44.06
Fire Department	Repairs/Maint Machinery/Equip	152023	Clarey's Safety Equipment Inc.	101	42210	$427.50
Fire Department	Safety Supplies	II10017492	Allina Health System	101	42210	$642.33
Fire Department	Safety Supplies	81241008	Bound Tree Medical, LLC	101	42210	$1,274.59
Fire Department	Telephone	11387303	Integra Telecom	101	42210	$139.33
Fire Department	Telephone	332373310-143	Nextel Communications	101	42210	$42.59

City of East Bethel
November 6, 2013
Payment Summary

Department	Description	Invoice	Vendor	Fund	Dept	Amount
General Govt Buildings/Plant	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	438220	Ham Lake Hardware	101	41940	$20.82
General Govt Buildings/Plant	Bldgs/Facilities Repair/Maint	10756	Betz Mechanical, Inc.	101	41940	$1,174.73
General Govt Buildings/Plant	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	101	41940	$1,276.39
General Govt Buildings/Plant	Gas Utilities	387776910	Xcel Energy	101	41940	$300.77
General Govt Buildings/Plant	Park & Landscape Services	9412	Great Northern Landscapes, Inc	101	41940	$85.00
General Govt Buildings/Plant	Refuse Removal	535020	Walters Recycling, Inc.	101	41940	$32.02
MSA Street Construction	Architect/Engineering Fees	32198	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	402	40200	$292.60
MSA Street Construction	Architect/Engineering Fees	32200	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	402	40200	$2,421.20
Park Capital Projects	Bldgs/Facilities Repair/Maint	824714	GameTime	407	40700	$41,893.12
Park Maintenance	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	440359	Ham Lake Hardware	101	43201	$23.65
Park Maintenance	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	41646	Menards - Forest Lake	101	43201	$50.35
Park Maintenance	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	37115	Menards Cambridge	101	43201	$29.76
Park Maintenance	Bldgs/Facilities Repair/Maint	2013303	Minnesota/Wisconsin Playground	101	43201	$700.00
Park Maintenance	Clothing & Personal Equipment	1182752951	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43201	$19.46
Park Maintenance	Clothing & Personal Equipment	1182764242	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43201	$19.46
Park Maintenance	Clothing & Personal Equipment	1182775513	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43201	$19.46
Park Maintenance	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	101	43201	$655.95
Park Maintenance	Equipment Parts	2-54333	Lano Equipment, Inc.	101	43201	$48.07
Park Maintenance	General Operating Supplies	440410	Ham Lake Hardware	101	43201	$42.54
Park Maintenance	General Operating Supplies	36274	Menards Cambridge	101	43201	$82.21
Park Maintenance	General Operating Supplies	260602	S & S Industrial Supply	101	43201	$4.83
Park Maintenance	Motor Fuels	2282719	Lubricant Technologies, Inc.	101	43201	$653.85
Park Maintenance	Motor Fuels	2282720	Lubricant Technologies, Inc.	101	43201	$686.93
Park Maintenance	Park & Landscape Services	9536	Great Northern Landscapes, Inc	101	43201	$136.00
Park Maintenance	Park & Landscape Services	9537	Great Northern Landscapes, Inc	101	43201	$340.00
Park Maintenance	Park/Landscaping Materials	17157	Bjorklund Companies, LLC	101	43201	$1,743.40
Park Maintenance	Park/Landscaping Materials	1335408	Cemstone Products Company	101	43201	$694.69
Park Maintenance	Park/Landscaping Materials	66363523	John Deere Landscapes	101	43201	$197.77
Park Maintenance	Telephone	11387303	Integra Telecom	101	43201	$51.07
Park Maintenance	Telephone	332373310-143	Nextel Communications	101	43201	$72.03
Payroll	Insurance Premiums	11 2013	Dearborn National Life Ins Co.	101		$1,174.74
Payroll	Insurance Premiums	5280109	Delta Dental	101		$41.55
Payroll	Insurance Premiums	5280109	Delta Dental	101		$41.55
Payroll	Insurance Premiums	5280109	Delta Dental	101		$869.50
Payroll	Insurance Premiums	32893433	Medica Health Plans	101		$783.73
Payroll	Insurance Premiums	32893433	Medica Health Plans	101		$9,582.82
Payroll	Insurance Premiums	11 2013	NCPERS Minnesota	101		$112.00
Payroll	Union Dues	10 2013	MN Public Employees Assn	101		$483.00
Planning and Zoning	Escrow Reimbursement	100813	Cathryn Erickson	946		$300.00
Planning and Zoning	Escrow Reimbursement	100813	Donald Wargin	101		$500.00
Planning and Zoning	Escrow Reimbursement	102913	Matthew Saarloos	948		$500.00
Planning and Zoning	Escrow Reimbursement	100813	Randolph E. Anderson	950		$300.00
Planning and Zoning	Escrow Reimbursement	100813	Stacie Arneson	101		$300.00
Planning and Zoning	Escrow Reimbursement	100813	Tom VanElsberg	945		$300.00
Planning and Zoning	Professional Services Fees	735	Flat Rock Geographics, LLC	101	41910	$637.88

City of East Bethel
November 6, 2013
Payment Summary

Department	Description	Invoice	Vendor	Fund	Dept	Amount
Police	Professional Services Fees	231652	Anoka County Treasury Dept	101	42110	$203,896.00
Recycling Operations	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	439949	Ham Lake Hardware	226	43235	$1.58
Recycling Operations	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	37115	Menards Cambridge	226	43235	$43.55
Recycling Operations	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	226	43235	$129.25
Recycling Operations	Gas Utilities	387776910	Xcel Energy	226	43235	$26.72
Recycling Operations	Refuse Removal	535020	Walters Recycling, Inc.	226	43235	$276.60
Recycling Operations	Reimbursement	102113	Cedar East Bethel Lions	226		$655.55
Sewer Operations	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	37681	Menards Cambridge	602	49451	$209.41
Sewer Operations	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	602	49451	$997.29
Sewer Operations	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	602	49451	$102.86
Street Capital Projects	Architect/Engineering Fees	32201	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	406	40600	$5,944.20
Street Capital Projects	Professional Services Fees	10550	City of Coon Rapids	406	40600	$2,293.30
Street Maintenance	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	36065	Menards Cambridge	101	43220	$59.76
Street Maintenance	Bldgs/Facilities Repair/Maint	1182752951	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43220	$5.70
Street Maintenance	Bldgs/Facilities Repair/Maint	1182764242	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43220	$9.80
Street Maintenance	Bldgs/Facilities Repair/Maint	1182775513	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43220	$5.70
Street Maintenance	Cleaning Supplies	2662563	Dalco	101	43220	$108.93
Street Maintenance	Clothing & Personal Equipment	1182752951	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43220	$18.92
Street Maintenance	Clothing & Personal Equipment	1182764242	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43220	$18.92
Street Maintenance	Clothing & Personal Equipment	1182775513	G&K Services - St. Paul	101	43220	$18.92
Street Maintenance	Conferences/Meetings	101213	MN Fall Expo	101	43220	$225.00
Street Maintenance	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	101	43220	$1,592.99
Street Maintenance	Equipment Parts	F-232770131	Allstate Peterbilt North	101	43220	$41.40
Street Maintenance	Equipment Parts	F-232900039	Allstate Peterbilt North	101	43220	$49.78
Street Maintenance	Equipment Parts	439567	Ham Lake Hardware	101	43220	$4.79
Street Maintenance	Equipment Parts	2136142	MacQueen Equipment, Inc.	101	43220	$529.03
Street Maintenance	Equipment Parts	2136174	MacQueen Equipment, Inc.	101	43220	$139.06
Street Maintenance	Equipment Parts	1927471021	Rigid Hitch Inc.	101	43220	$84.97
Street Maintenance	Equipment Parts	PC001504138	Ziegler Inc.	101	43220	$71.83
Street Maintenance	Gas Utilities	387776910	Xcel Energy	101	43220	$21.38
Street Maintenance	Lubricants and Additives	1539-249308	O'Reilly Auto Stores Inc.	101	43220	$8.00
Street Maintenance	Lubricants and Additives	1539-249348	O'Reilly Auto Stores Inc.	101	43220	$29.90
Street Maintenance	Motor Fuels	2282719	Lubricant Technologies, Inc.	101	43220	$283.33
Street Maintenance	Motor Fuels	2282720	Lubricant Technologies, Inc.	101	43220	$1,703.59
Street Maintenance	Motor Vehicles Parts	3245062	Auto Nation SSC	101	43220	$332.19
Street Maintenance	Motor Vehicles Parts	C241155370:01	I State Truck Inc.	101	43220	$61.75
Street Maintenance	Motor Vehicles Parts	1539-246577	O'Reilly Auto Stores Inc.	101	43220	$28.64
Street Maintenance	Motor Vehicles Parts	1539-250238	O'Reilly Auto Stores Inc.	101	43220	$16.22
Street Maintenance	Refuse Removal	535020	Walters Recycling, Inc.	101	43220	$250.98
Street Maintenance	Safety Supplies	9273499005	Grainger	101	43220	$11.48
Street Maintenance	Safety Supplies	9276278059	Grainger	101	43220	$19.31
Street Maintenance	Street Maint Materials	129350	City of St. Paul	101	43220	$611.30
Street Maintenance	Street Maint Materials	131015	Commercial Asphalt Co.	101	43220	$120.50
Street Maintenance	Street Maint Materials	36573	Menards Cambridge	101	43220	$18.15
Street Maintenance	Street Maint Materials	71038177	North American Salt Co.	101	43220	$5,633.72

City of East Bethel
November 6, 2013
Payment Summary

Department	Description	Invoice	Vendor	Fund	Dept	Amount
Street Maintenance	Street Maint Materials	71041877	North American Salt Co.	101	43220	$5,692.38
Street Maintenance	Street Maint Materials	BL0000001163	TrueNorth Steel	101	43220	$441.63
Street Maintenance	Telephone	11387303	Integra Telecom	101	43220	$51.07
Street Maintenance	Telephone	332373310-143	Nextel Communications	101	43220	$175.26
Water Utility Capital Projects	Architect/Engineering Fees	32202	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	433	49405	$470.92
Water Utility Capital Projects	Architect/Engineering Fees	32202	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	433	49405	$470.92
Water Utility Capital Projects	Architect/Engineering Fees	32203	Hakanson Anderson Assoc. Inc.	433	49405	$14,622.67
Water Utility Operations	Bldg/Facility Repair Supplies	441481	Ham Lake Hardware	651	49401	$7.66
Water Utility Operations	Electric Utilities	102213	Connexus Energy	601	49401	$1,047.94
Water Utility Operations	Gas Utilities	101613	CenterPoint Energy	651	49401	$35.26
Water Utility Operations	Gas Utilities	101613	CenterPoint Energy	601	49401	$15.40
						$346,244.85

City of East Bethel
November 6, 2013
Payment Summary

Department	Description	Invoice	Vendor	Fund	Dept	Amount
Electronic Payments						
Payroll	PERA					$5,835.65
Payroll	Federal Withholding					$5,676.16
Payroll	Medicare Withholding					$1,761.76
Payroll	FICA Tax Withholding					$7,532.86
Payroll	State Withholding					$2,290.61
Payroll	MSRS/HCSP					$3,074.04
						$26,171.08

EAST BETHEL CITY COUNCIL MEETING

September 4, 2013

The East Bethel City Council met on September 4, 2013 at 7:30 PM for their regular meeting at City Hall.

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Bob DeRoche Tom Ronning	Ron Koller	Heidi Moegerle
MEMBERS EXCUSED:	Richard Lawrence		
ALSO PRESENT:	Jack Davis, City Administrator Mark Vierling, City Attorney Craig Jochum, City Engineer		

Call to Order

The September 4, 2013 City Council meeting was called to order by Acting Mayor Moegerle at 7:30 PM.

Adopt Agenda

Moegerle made a motion to adopt the September 4, 2013 City Council agenda with the addition of 9.0 C per Minnesota Statute 13D.05 subd. 3.c to consider the offer for the purchase of real property. Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Resolutions Recognizing 2013-2014 East Bethel Royalty

Moegerle, "Richard could not be with us tonight. He is having heart surgery at 5:00 a.m. in the morning. With his guidance, he asked me to take over. He did ask that everyone give me a little bit of slack because this is one of the few times I have been Acting Mayor. In addition, he hopes to return in two weeks, but it may be up to 12 weeks. And, he has given me a serious charge. He has asked me to make sure that order and respect are the hallmarks of this City Council during his absence and beyond. And if we can move forward, one of the things we will be doing is we will be dealing with issues on a rotating basis. Each Council person will have an opportunity to speak and we will just go round robin. So, let's proceed with the meeting."

Davis explained that the East Bethel Scholarship Pageant organizes and sponsors the annual Scholarship Pageant where individuals compete to represent the City of East Bethel as an Ambassador for a twelve month period. At this time we would like to recognize those four people who are in the audience.

Moegerle, "We have Resolution 2013-46 Recognizing the 2013-2014 East Bethel Royalty. Which states: Whereas, the East Bethel Scholarship Pageant organizes and sponsors the annual Scholarship Pageant; and Whereas, the individuals recognized through this competition represent the City of East Bethel as an Ambassador for a twelve month period by appearing at numerous City festivals and celebrations and other official functions; and Whereas, the City of East Bethel is appreciative of the time and effort these pageant winners devote to representing the City. Now therefore, be it resolved by the City Council of East Bethel, Minnesota that: Ms. Heidi Holthus is hereby recognized as Miss East Bethel and an Ambassador for the City for the next year. Be it further resolved by the City Council of the City of East Bethel that: the City Council hereby expresses it thanks and appreciation for the time and effort Ms. Heidi Holthus will devote to representing the City for the next twelve months. Adopted this day by the City Council of the City of East Bethel.

DRAFT

Moegerle, "We also would like to proceed with Resolution 2013-47 A Resolution Recognizing East Bethel Royalty for 2013-2014. Now therefore, be it resolved by the City Council of East Bethel, Minnesota that: M**s.** Erika McDonough is hereby recognized as Princess and an Ambassador for the City for the next year. Be it further resolved by the City Council of the City of East Bethel that: the City Council hereby expresses it thanks and appreciation for the time and effort Ms. Erika McDonough will devote to representing the City for the next twelve months."

Moegerle, "Resolution 2013-48 is a Resolution Recognizing Junior Princess Rachel Wiederhold is hereby recognized as Junior Princess and an Ambassador for the City for the next year. Be it further resolved by the City Council of the City of East Bethel that: the City Council hereby expresses it thanks and appreciation for the time and effort Ms. Rachel Wiederhold will devote to representing the City for the next twelve months."

Moegerle, "Resolution 2013-49 A Resolution Recognizing Ms. Krisdi Knutson as Little Miss and an Ambassador for the City for the next year. Be it further resolved by the City Council of the City of East Bethel that: the City Council hereby expresses it thanks and appreciation for the time and effort Ms. Krisdi Knutson will devote to representing the City for the next twelve months.

Koller made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-46 A Resolution Recognizing East Bethel Royalty for 2013-2014 Miss East Bethel Heidi Holthus, Resolution 2013-47 A Resolution Recognizing East Bethel Royalty for 2013-2014 Princess Erika McDonough, Resolution 2013-48 A Resolution Recognizing East Bethel Royalty for 2013-2014 Junior Princess Rachel Wiederhold and Resolution 2013-49 A Resolution Recognizing East Bethel Royalty for 2013-2014 Little Miss Krisdi Knutson. DeRoche seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Preliminary Levy and Budget

Davis explained that as a result of budget discussions conducted at Council work sessions in July and August, City Council has agreed in principle that the preliminary property tax levy for 2014 be set such that funds are available to accomplish the goals and objectives they have identified.

The proposed 2014 General Fund budget is $77,502 more than the 2013 budget or an increase of 1.6% which is matched by a projected increase in revenues for the General Fund for 2014 in the same amount.

A General Fund levy of $4,114,317 is necessary for 2014, which is a $9,000 less than the 2013 General Fund Levy or a 0.2% decrease from 2013 to 2014.

To service existing debt, a market based debt levy of $146,425 is required to meet the debt service requirements for the 2005A Public Safety Bonds issued for the fire station and the weather warning sirens and a tax capacity based debt levy of $180,000 is required to meet the debt service requirements for the 2008A Sewer Revenue Bonds.

Due to the debt service requirements for the 2010A and 2010B bonds for the Municipal Utilities Project, debt service levies of $490,000 and $300,000 have been incorporated for 2014 for repayment of interest on these bonds. Without this obligation, the total levy for the City would have been $4,440,742 or a 0.3% decrease.

However, due to the 2010 A & B bond payments due in 2014, the total property tax levy

DRAFT

amount proposed becomes $5,230,742 or an increase of 17.5% over last year's levy.

There are still opportunities to reduce the impact of the bond deficit for 2014 and these include but are not limited to the following:

1.) The potential to refinance the 2010 A & B Bond issuances;
2.) Confirmation of connections to the system for 2014;
3.) Transfer of General Fund balances at an amount to be determined to subsidize the deficit;
4.) Additional reductions to the City Budget;
5.) Assignment of special assessments for properties in the sewer to the debt service; and/or
6.) Assignment of other rents and royalties to the debt service.

Staff and Council will be considering all of the above alternatives prior to the adoption of the final budget in December 2014. These alternatives have not been finalized at this time due to negotiations with vendors and developers, completion of hearings and/or final analysis of contractual and fiscal impacts on the General Fund.

For purposes of setting the preliminary budget, staff recommends that Council consider the worst case option for the 2010 A & B Bond deficit with that being the assumption that there will be no connections to the system in 2014, there will be no bond refinancing and that there will be no transfer of General Funds to decrease the levy.

The preliminary budget, that must be submitted to Anoka County by September 15, 2013, can be reduced but not increased prior to the adoption of the final budget in December of 2013. Even though the preliminary tax statements that will be issued to City residents in November will indicate the maximum tax increase proposed, Staff and Council will have additional time to examine alternatives to minimize this increase and impact of rates created by the bond deficits for the Municipal Utilities Project.

A special meeting on October 10, 2013 and the Town Hall Meeting on November 21, 2013 will be dedicated to explaining and discussing the final budget.

Staff recommends adoption of the preliminary levy and budget and submission as such to the County by Resolution on or before September 15, 2013 and approval of the following resolutions: Resolution 2013-50 Set Final Levy & Budget Date, Resolution 2013-51 Set the Preliminary Levy & Budget 2014, Resolution 2013-52 Set the Preliminary EDA Levy & Budget 2014 and Resolution 2013-53 Consenting to the HRA No Tax Levy for 2014.

Moegerle made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-50 Setting the Final Levy & Budget Date for December 4, 2013 at 7:30 p.m. Ronning seconded. DeRoche, "This is not for the EDA Levy, correct?" Davis, "That is correct, that will be a separate resolution." DeRoche, "For the sake of this one, the sewer and water project went forward." Moegerle, "We are setting a date." DeRoche, "I have the floor, I am allowed to discuss, correct?" Moegerle, "We are talking about a date, not the history." DeRoche, "We are talking about setting a date for the budget. And whatever history is behind that, I think needs to be on the record." Moegerle, "There is plenty on the record. In fact, staff has been directed and will be preparing a one page history of this. It will also be on the city's website. Again, we are here to do the city's business which is to set a date."

DeRoche, "Exactly, and I am going to make the residents aware of how many meetings

have gone on and have much opportunity there is for the public to be involved in the budget discussions. And, it is not my intention, even after tonight to gut the city just to make these payments. Just because certain people passed the sewer and water project, in 2010 and also in February of 2011is when it was actually put back on the record. A lot of people on staff have gone through and tried to figure out how these payments are going to be made and how we can cut more. And, I think residents need to be made aware that if we start cutting any more, the services are going to go down and people are going to suffer. The general levy itself did go down. It is the sewer and water bonds that are making this tax increase. And I invite anyone to show up at the September meeting and the October 10th meeting at 6:30 p.m. where there will be a little bit more in depth report on this. I think this budget is very important. A lot has gone into it. I have been at every budget meeting and I think we are at a point now where the City is going to just have to face the music. It was the decisions made in 2010 and reaffirmed in 2011 that put us in this spot."

Moegerle, "Ron, what comments do you have? The issue is about setting the date for the levies." Koller, "I really don't have any." Ronning, "Are we talking about Resolution 2013-50?" Moegerle, "Yes, setting the final levy and budget date. This is just that we are complying for setting the final date for our levy." Ronning, "I disagree, the attachments are five separate and individual attachments and they are not all lumped into one chunk." Moegerle, "That is right and shortly we will be talking about the preliminary levy and budget, but the resolution before us now is for 2013-50, setting the dates." Moegerle, "I have nothing. Tom, what about the dates?" Ronning, "I am addressing these as individual. Are you saying it is your position that they are not individual and that they are lumped into one? And, they will be voted on as one?" Moegerle, "No, not at all." Ronning, "Then why are you limiting discussion?" Moegerle, "I am limiting discussion to the resolution which is 2013-50, setting the final levy and budget date. That is what we are talking about, that is the issue. We are not setting the preliminary levy at this time. It is on page 18." Ronning, "I have it. This is probably one of the worst things you have to face when you do this sort of job. Try to make the best of it, for all of us." **All in favor, motion carries.**

For the purposes of discussion only, Moegerle made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-51 Set the Preliminary Levy & Budget 2014. DeRoche for the purposes of discussion I will second. Koller, "We have been discussing this for months. I think we are stuck on this point. It is on this paper in white. It is on the website. We are going to have to raise taxes. We just don't have a choice. The water and sewer project which happened before any of us were in here, should never have been done."

Moegerle, "While I think this has been a herculean task by staff, I think more could be done. Undoubtedly the taxes are going to have to go up. I don't think it has to be 16.5%. But, I do realize it will be in double digits. Yes, this is something we all wanted to avoid. I think all five of us are committed to making it as minimally onerous as possible. We don't want to gut the budget, but we are going to have to make some tough decisions. One of my biggest concerns is that there is a 2% across the board wage raise. And I don't think we, as a City, we can afford a 2% across the board wage raise."

Ronning, "As mentioned this is a very difficult thing to work with. We have gone through the budget and three scenarios of it and as far as I am aware if we cut anymore, we will lose services. If that means plowing snow, those are the types of things we may end up losing. As far as the 2%, we have to cut this between 18 and 20% before we get anywhere, and it is just not possible." DeRoche, "If I am not mistaken, the 2% was for the public works employees and office staff. And they haven't gotten a raise for how long Jack?" Moegerle,

DRAFT

"They got 1.5% last year didn't they?" Davis, "They got 1.5%, 0%, 1.5% and 0% the last four years." DeRoche, "Most of our guys have been here quite a while and we all know what it would take to or cost to replace someone. We are kind of at a bare minimum. I think our guys do a good job. And, if you look at it, 2% is not even a cup of coffee. We can't cut out the people that have been here a long time, they know the City, and we can't cut out what we have to have to do the work. And, if it means that we cut the overtime for public works, what happens if at 3:00 p.m. it snows? What if we have all these potholes in the roads and we quit taking care of the parks. Another consideration was dipping into the capital funds for parks and roads. So, then we can pay the levy with these funds. But, then the next year, we can't, by law, raise the levy enough to recoup that money."

"So now we not only have a problem with coming up with the money for those payments, we also have parks that turn to crap, our roads go down the hill, our buildings fall apart, we don't have staff and I am not willing to sit up here and let this City go down the tubes. Because this sewer project came through, and, for the record, there are two people on the current Council that voted the sewer and water back in. And, at the time I caught flack. And it is not up to staff to cut the budget. That is the City Council's job. Staff can bring us what they think it will take to run their Departments. Now we are operating with three less people than when the three of us came on board. How many people do you want me to cut out of it? And, I ask people to come to the meetings and I ask them 'What do you want me to cut; the building inspection, roads, fire department, the police? What do you want me to cut?' Because at some point something is going to suffer. And, when you come in to do something at the City and there is no one to do it, then what happens? I am the last person to ever want to raise taxes, but we have no choice. I have been to every single budget meeting. I am on the Finance Committee, and we have gone over and over and over. I see you laughing Heidi, but this is real serious business here. Anybody that has come on board lately, came into a situation that they are still trying to figure out. For two years we haven't raised taxes and I think people became complacent and thought the sewer and water project wasn't going to make that much of a difference. But, now the payments are coming due and we have to do something. And, I can't see gutting the City to do that. It has taken too much to build it up."

Koller, "Bob pretty much said it all. We are stuck with the sewer and water project. Going over these budgets, we have been working on stopping these park expansions. But we can't stop the maintenance. Snowplowing is expensive, but I like to get out of the driveway in the morning. And, I am sure everyone else does too. East Bethel runs on a pretty tight budget so there is not really much we can cut. So basically, we have to raise taxes."

Moegerle, "I intended to be brief, however, there are certain things that need to be responded to. Bob, Richard and I were elected in November of 2010. Each of us were vehemently opposed to this project. We sent a message to the Council and said, "We were elected on the strength of the opposition to your plan to start this infrastructure. Please hold in abeyance until we get in there and take a look at it and we will give it a new look." The information on the feasibility studies they had given to us were done by an engineer instead of an economist. It was very clear that you could make the numbers work if you wanted to and they were motivated to do so. The whole scenario changed on December 15, 2010. When we took office the first week in January we put a halt to this so we could evaluate this and we took that step and we took it responsibly. We then had an independent person come in and evaluate this; 'What was the cost of this and most importantly what was the cost of stopping this all together'."

DRAFT

"On February 19, 2011, this room was packed, that hallway was packed, and everyone wanted to know what was going to happen. At the same time the Council was being told, "The clock is ticking. All of these people are going to charge you money because you stopped this project from going forward." In reevaluating this, we found we could save $4.4 million on downsizing the water treatment plant. We saved that to good effect, because now we can put that money towards extending this up to Whispering Aspen/Castle Towers where that plant would have needed millions of dollars of upgrades over the next 10-20 years. So, that money was put to good use."

"But, on February 19th, the issues provided to the five of us Councilmen were this: If you stop this it is going to cost between $5 and $9 million. And, in order to cancel this, we had to buy back those bonds. And those bond holders were not going to be real happy about that. And, the question was, were they going to get the benefit of the bargain and the interest of those bond rates? So, the number was $5 million to $9 million and I heard $9 million more than $5 million and that money would be due instantly from every single taxpayer in the City. And, for that, we would get out of this contract, but have zero benefit. I calculate, with a round number of 4,000 households and for every taxpayer property, the cost would have been $1,250. For nothing. That is the decision we had to make and for almost twice that if the numbers came back at $9 million. We had to make a decision. Did we want to take that risk, knowing that many of our residents couldn't afford $300 or $400, much less three or four times that. So, that was the decision. Four out of the five of us said, "We cannot do that to our taxpayers. We are going to try to go forward with this in a measured approach, in a way that we can hopefully fill this area with businesses and it will not damage our residents to the tune of $1,200 to $2,500." And, that is what we decided to do, four to five votes. There are certain people, Bob just mentioned he voted against it. That is true, on February 19th he voted against going forward with this and that was his way of keeping a promise he feels he made to stop this. But, the fact of it is, all five of us, in that Council and this Council, have ratified payments to the businesses that were putting in that sewer and water project. So, whether your vote was for it or not on February 19th, to some extent each and every one of us is responsible for this, because we made sure Weidema got paid and all the other contractors. So, keep that in mind when you look at this."

"There are several dates that are important to you, October 6, 2010 is one. It is my understanding we anticipate an additional $50,000 in contract from Oak Grove. My concern is that across the board 2% raise versus 1.5%. We wouldn't lose all our employees, yes, they would tighten their belts just like all our residents are. I still think 1.5% for employees would have been doable. I think every dollar counts and not every Council Member believes that. I think in broad numbers, $100,000 does count, and I think we should move forward with a preliminary levy that is not at this rate."

Ronning, "I was at that meeting in February, Ron Braastad and I were sitting side by side out in the hallway by the billboard. No matter how you slice it, the conditions haven't changed anyway since October or December 2010, except that it advanced. And the fact is that there was a vote taken to un-suspend it and move forward and that is part of why we are here. It was "advertised or sold" as an $18.8 million bond issue and no one would have to hook-up or pay. At one of the meetings I asked, "What is the most we will have to pay if we don't hook-up?" The answer was, "I don't understand the question." So I asked, "What is the most we can pay?" If it was $5 million, or $9 million or even $18 million it would have been worth it. Because this $18 million after the rebates is closer to $52 million. And, if the rebates get harmed, or damaged or shot, it is going to go sky high. I hope I didn't ruin

DRAFT

everybody's day. I can't say I apologize, I have been asking that some of this information be shared since day one. Actually, I was voted down on that and people have a right to know. Part of getting through it is for people to be aware. World War II came around everyone knew and they all pitched in and helped. We will do the best we can to cut where we can, save where we can. Thank you."

DeRoche, "Points of clarification. I have no regrets of voting against the sewer and water project. In my mind and my way of doing math, there is no way of paying for this thing. If development comes in, it sure will help. Heidi said, "He did that, he is out to hurt the residents." When I told the residents I was going to vote against the sewer and water project it was not a political decision, because I am not real political as you may know if you watch these meetings. In my mind, I could not see, if you don't hook-up you won't pay. And, we are going to bring all these new businesses in and they are going to pay for it. In my world, that was not a reality. And, it still isn't a reality. Once it was passed you have to pay, the bills. I have never seen the actual number, but I would rather commit the City to $5 million than to $52 million. Maybe I am wrong. If someone can come up to me and show me, I would be more than happy to invite any past Council Member to show up at the meeting in October to explain to everybody, how they planned on paying for this thing. There must have been a reason that they passed it. And if there is, or if anyone on any commission has any ideas how they think it can be fixed, don't wait until elections Com up now and explain. I have no regrets."

Koller "Anyone can go on Bolton and Menk's website and look at the feasibility study. It was obvious that there was no way that it was never going to work, but they pushed it through anyways. So, all we have to do is pay for it." Moegerle, "This is not easy for anyone. There are different ways of looking at it and no way around it. The residents of East Bethel were going to pay and they were the ones that weren't hooked up. However, that does not mean that you cannot take a positive approach to this, to have the City be as attractive as possible, to work towards bringing businesses in to our community and welcoming them, because we really need them. And, as much smack as we can talk about the 2010 Council (And boy, can I talk smack), that doesn't do us any good. We need to look forward with our heads held forward and we are going to get through this. It will be tough this year. We owe $700,000 this year. And, guess what, we will have another payment like this coming up in a few years. But we are going to manage that too. What we are going to do, is we are going to all work together. If you have a lead or an idea where we might get businesses to hook-up, I know five people that will welcome that. And, staff will be ecstatic. We want to work with everyone, we have a great staff here and we are all tightening our belts and going forward. Yes, it is tough, we will survive and do well." **Moegerle, nay; DeRoche, Koller, Ronning, aye; motion carries.**

For the purposes of beginning the discussion Moegerle made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-52 Set the Preliminary EDA Levy & Budget for 2014. Ronning, "When you say for the purposes of discussion, does that mean there is no action? Just discussion?" Moegerle, 'We have to make the motion don't we?" Vierling, "The motion has to be made so there can be discussion." Ronning, "And if you qualify it for discussion purposes only, can that be done?" Moegerle, "I withdraw my "for discussion purposes only." There was no second so the motion fails.

DeRoche, "When do we have to have this?" Davis, "September 15, 2013." Moegerle, "The economic development money goes towards getting new economic development in the City. And that what we need to attract businesses to the corridor. It pays for Colleen's

DRAFT

budget, site plans." DeRoche, "How much of Colleen's salary comes out of the City budget versus the EDA budget?" Davis, "In the EDA Budget there is $56,000 that is transferred out to cover salaries. This covers my work, Colleen's work, Mike's work, Wendy's work and administrative assistance." Ronning, "When we looked at cutting, this is $133,000, how hard did we look at this?" Davis, "It is listed as a proposed $10,000 reduction in the budget."

DeRoche for the sake of discussion, I will make a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-52 Set the Preliminary EDA Levy & Budget for 2014. We don't have to vote it in, but if we are going to discuss it, we should have a motion. **Ronning, seconded**. Ronning, "We talk about saving money. Talk about cutting ½% off of someone's paycheck. I don't mind paying for what I get as long as I get what I am paying for." Davis, "If you don't approve this tonight, we are going to have to go back and make reductions on different Departments budgets. If you approve it, we can go back and make reductions where you want them and it will gibe with the other budgets.

Moegerle, "At the last meeting I discussed cutting 3% off across the board. Do I think 3% can be taken from this. Absolutely. What is the purpose of EDA? To bring businesses into the City. The EDA can do all the work in the world. But if the Council says, "No way", then it doesn't mean the EDA hasn't done any work, it means the Council needs to give the EDA more direction. We have a meeting scheduled for September 23rd with the EDA and Planning and Council has been invited. I think there needs to be economic development activities. Do I think we can cut a little there? Absolutely, because we are asking everyone to cut elsewhere."

DeRoche, "As long as you brought up the 3% to 5%, Jack can you explain to everyone out there why some departments we just can't cut because of contractual obligations?" Davis, "There are some areas you can't cut anymore because of contractual obligations. Or, because there just isn't anything left to cut, you would have to eliminate them, like the building department. You have to have a building official. We did a line item examination instead of an across the board proposed cut and we can discuss that later on tonight. This is on the agenda." DeRoche, "Wasn't there a suggestion into cutting the police coverage?" Moegerle, "Not my idea. Do you want to proceed with that?" DeRoche, "I don't want to cut fire, police or public works. If we don't have those we are in trouble. I read an article in the paper where that was suggested."

Koller, "Police, Fire, city staff, I won't vote for cutting any of that. But, there is $133,000 and $58,000 goes for salaries. I would like to know what the rest of the money is used for in detail. Not a general slush fund." Davis, "Part of this money is set in reserve to react to an economic development item that might come up. One example is this week there was someone that sent an inquiry on Greater MSP out for a 50,000 square foot building or a building site to employ up to 200 people. We feel that we qualify for this. For submission of this, we might have to spend a little money. That is what some of this money that is budgeted is for. But, anything is open for cuts. If it is not used or spent, it can roll over into the next year. There is a contingency in here that is $27,000." Moegerle, "If we have to do incentives or legal fees, that is where those fees come from." Ronning, "This was moved for discussion purposes by Bob, I seconded, **so I call the question**." Moegerle, "My understanding is you have for a vote on calling the question?" Vierling, "The City adopted Roberts Rules of Order for 2007. Thanks for your e-mail this afternoon Heidi. I tried to locate the 2007 version on the web and it is not available. I am assuming from the one that I looked at, that it does require a second and a vote on that. The question is still up to the

DRAFT

Council what rules you are going to abide by." Moegerle, 'The one in the ordinance says Roberts Rules newly revised." Vierling, "They all say "newly revised". **Moegerle seconded.** Davis, "One thing I want to point out that Mike brought to my attention. If you don't approve this budget, it will revert back to last year's budget which was $11,000 higher than this year's budget. This is something we might want to approve and then work on. All this is doing is setting the preliminary levy. **On Calling the Question: All in favor, motion carries.**

The vote on the Motion: Moegerle, aye, DeRoche, nay; Koller, nay, Ronning, nay; motion fails.

DeRoche made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-52 Set the Preliminary EDA Levy & Budget for 2014 with the commitment that we will seriously look at reducing this budget. Moegerle seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

DeRoche, "We really need to make an effort to reduce this budget. Will it require a separate meeting to get everyone together? Or do we send you our suggestions? Can we get a detail breakdown on the budget items? I think the problem is that we don't get an EDA report." Davis, "You were given one in July and you will get another on in your next update. You will get these every two months. If you want a breakdown of the projects and professional fees, we will provide what we think these will be used for. Just as we were discussing these other cuts, we take these seriously. We would love to have your suggestions, but just as the other one we will be setting a special meeting to discuss these potential reductions." DeRoche, "I was going to bring this up later, but there is no money budgeted for HRA, but that's fine."

Moegerle made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-53 Consenting to the HRA No Tax Levy for 2014. Koller seconded. DeRoche, "The money that we have is still short of what we transferred to the EDA, right?" Davis, "There are funds of $798,000 in the HRA. But, there was a transfer approved up to $281,000 to the EDA if needed for the purpose of loans for SAC and WAC fees. To date, no one has applied for that money. It has a sunset clause on it, December 31, 2013. We do anticipate that some may apply for it. Again, that money will have to be paid back within a five year period." DeRoche, "Again, there are some things in the process for the HRA, but with the money that is in there, even with the loan out, we should be able to go forward with some projects." Davis, "Even with that approved transfer to the EDA if all of it was utilized, there will still be $500,000 left in that account. We have an HRA meeting coming up on October 2nd and we will have a plan laid out for you for some projects for those funds." Koller, "I am fine with this. Zero is good." Moegerle, 'The EDA will be paying back what is borrowed at one time or another according to the plan." Ronning, "I recall the meeting that the transfer was approved. But, I thought I did it as an amendment that every transfer would be approved by the Council and I guess that is reiterated again for more than just me." Roll call vote taken. **Ronning, aye; DeRoche, aye; Koller, aye and Moegerle, aye; motion carries.**

Public Forum

Moegerle opened the Public Forum for any comments or concerns that were not listed on the agenda.

Cheryl Helstrom of 2459 224th Avenue NE, "We have been residents since 1973. We are currently constructing an unattached garaged of 30 x 32. It has a second floor which is 19 x 30. It will consist of my husband's woodworking and storage. When we applied for a permit, we were told it would be no problem and when it was time for outside footings

DRAFT

inspection for stairs to the second floor by the Building Official just give him a call or come to City Hall and it would be no problem. My husband called a little over a week ago and the Building Official flatly refused. He said, "It is not allowed. You can't have a door on the outside second floor and you can't have a stairway." My husband has large woodworking equipment, it is very heavy. We need access in and access out if there should be a fire. Now the Building Official tells us there is an ordinance against second floor entrances. When we discussed it with him, and I was present, inside our building, he said, "You can have an inside stair". We told him we have Bobcats, a collector car, the big truck, we have a lot of equipment we need on the bottom floor of that garage. That is why it is designed the way it is, why we spent the money and now we are told we don't can't have a door or a stairway to the second floor. We would like the Council to look at this, because we feel it is unreasonable. There are structures in our neighborhood. We have talked to Bob, Ron and Tom and Ron and Tom have both been over to see the structure. We need to resolve this, the weather is going to get away from us. If the Building Official would have looked on his final inspection, the windows and the door were framed in the second story, but he didn't climb up there and look. When my husband asked him if he was going to go up the ladder the rest of the way he said, "I can see what I need to see from here." Well he would have seen the rest of the door framed and at that point and time wouldn't have said we couldn't have an outside exit?"

Ronning, "You made the comment that the Building Official made one statement, were you both present when he made that?" Helstrom, "Yes, we were." Moegerle, "The ordinance is pretty clear that there are not outdoor stairways or doors. You are not disputing that, correct? You compliant is that you didn't get the information until after you had taken some steps, right?" Helstrom, "That is one complaint. The other is why are there other structures right in our own neighborhood that have an outside entrance and stairs to the second floor?" Moegerle, "Are they recent buildings?" Helstrom, "Yes, within five years. One is right on Palisade." Moegerle, "This is the time during the meeting where you give us your information. I don't know what the solution is, but, I definitely will work on this. And, I will be here tomorrow morning to see what we can work out. I haven't visited your site, but I just went online to check and see if I could get an aerial. It is a concern and we will have to think creatively to take care of this. When would you be available at the property?" Helstrom, "We live there. And, we are both retired."

Ronning, "Jack and I looked at this yesterday, and we looked at the history of the ordinance and it was in place what year?" Davis, "In 2008 or 2009 the newly revised zoning code was adopted. If this was in the previous code or it if was added, I don't know, I don't have access to the previous code. We do have a section in the code that says all doors in garages should be at ground level and stairs should be in the interior. Whether this is something that is needed or not, that is what the question is." Moegerle, "Could you enclose the exterior stairs and does that solve the issue here?" Davis, "You could. But, I don't know if this will cause an issue for them." Helstrom, "Yes it does. How do I get my equipment up there?" Koller, "I have been to the property and looked at the garage and it is very well built. I have talked to people and I haven't found one reason why you can't put an outside stairway to the garage." Davis, "If I could speculate, it might have been that if this was permitted then it would allow people to rent out the upstairs portion of their garage." Koller, "I believe the Council has the authority to change the codes." Davis, "With this one, since it is a land use in the zoning code, it would first have to the Planning Commission and then to the Council." Moegerle, "And the next Planning Commission is two or three weeks. Let's make the direction to staff to see what we can work out. Obviously we have to obey our ordinances. But, definitely we are going to work with you. That is no promise, but we will

DRAFT

aim at that goal."

Koller, "Why does this have to go before the Planning Commission?" Vierling, "Statutorily land use issues have to go to Planning Council for a public hearing process." Helstrom, "We have spoke with Eldon Holmes on the Planning Commission and he knows the structure." DeRoche, "Is there any way they can do something in the interim?" Davis, "It would probably be at their own risk and I will let Counsel speak to that." Moegerle, "I do think we should act with all speed." Davis, "We have to have the public hearing." Ronning, "Clarification, what does the Planning Commission do with it and what is their final authority." Vierling, "I presume the action that might be looked at is a text amendment to the code. If that is the action that is taken, they would hold the public hearing, they would review that and make a recommendation to the City Council. The Council has the final authority on whether it would be adopted." Ronning, "Do they have the authority to stop it?" Vierling, "No."

Davis, 'To streamline this, you need to give us direction to proceed. To call a Special Planning Meeting. Then in order to expedite it we would probably have to have a Special City Council meeting." Ronning, "In concern, I suspect there are others that have an issue with this. But, I don't want to give the appearance that "They have an issue with this so they will roll over and do this." But, I can know one of my neighbors had an issue out there. There are more than one out there. It is not a unique issue." Davis, "I agree with you, this is not just a reaction to this issue." Moegerle, "At this point I am going to ask that we give direction to Jack to proceed with this, a hearing date and then the special Council Meeting." Winter, "The soonest this could be done is September 23rd with publication." Direction was to move forward on September 23.

Dan Kuehn of 2323 225th Ave. NE, "Our problem started, we moved in December of 1999. Our septic was inspected. We got a letter July of 2011 saying the septic had failed. The report was stamped 2011 and at that time Manny was the inspector and he said they didn't have stamps in 1999. It said I had 10 months to get the septic fixed. If they would have sent me a letter 10 months after it failed, I could have gone after the previous homeowner. I talked to Minnesota Pollution Control and he told me that the report was null and void because it doesn't tell me how many inches of good soil I do have. Larry told me no problem, don't worry about it."

"I wanted to put an addition on my kitchen. When I talked to your current Building Official and told him what Minnesota Pollution Control said, he told me that he wasn't going to have someone in St. Paul that sits behind a desk tell him what to do in his City." Moegerle, "Do you have a document from the PCA on what you are saying?" Kuehn, "They just talked to me over the phone. But, then I talked to Jack and he told me if I have a soil specialist come out and inspect it, and it passes, it is no skin off their nose. Or that is what Nick said. Nick called and gave me one guy's name, but then he said he couldn't do that because it was showing preference. So we got a list from the University, licensed soil scientist. I called another name on the list, he is a soil scientist, septic designer and we had him come out and the letter I got from him said there was 'No problem, everything passed just fine'. He e-mailed the report to Nick and I contacted Nick and he said, "I am checking into his credentials. I don't like his credentials. You have to have someone else come out and inspect it; an inspector. I said, so I paid $483 to this guy and now I have to pay again? And Nick said, "Who said you have to pay for it? Well if I don't who does? So, the soil scientist and designer that came out gave me a name of someone that was also an inspector and he couldn't check the same spot. So, he checked four feet away and quite a few spots

and now I only have 42" instead of 48". You can have ten guys check it and have ten different results. I have my plans in for the septic and it is going to be another week. What I am wondering why the letter I got said "designer or inspector". I got a designer and Nick wanted an inspector. I paid the first guy and it is not an imminent health danger." Moegerle, "If the septic is functional, do you require the change in your septic when you add onto your house?" Kuehn, "No, all I am doing is adding five feet onto my kitchen. But, because of that report in says I don't have two feet in mottled soil. I have talked to Ham Lake, Athens Township and they both said as long as there is not threat of imminent danger, you should be able to get a building permit. Jack is going to look at that report tomorrow that says "a designer or inspector". I started doing this in May and it is frustrating and Nick keeps kind of running me around. He said we can sue you to make you put a septic in. And, I said I can sue the City for not sending me the first report in time." Moegerle, "I would follow the PCA route a little more, because that makes it moot. Try to get that documentation, that might help." Moegerle, "We will direct staff to work with you and keep us advised."

DeRoche, "Do you know anything about this Colleen?" Winter, "It was a two foot mottled soil and the regulations changed." DeRoche, "Isn't it usually if you are adding a bedroom?" Davis, "Anytime you are doing an alteration in plumbing, your septic has to be up to code." Koller, "I think the report from 1999 should be thrown away."

There were no comments so the Public Forum was closed.

Consent Agenda

Moegerle made a motion to approve A) Approve Bills;~~ B) Consider Resolution 2013-54 Accepting Bid for the Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement Project; C) Resolution 2013-55 Directing the Preparation of the Proposed Assessment Costs for the project.~~ Koller pulled C and Ronning pulled B. **Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries.**

Item B) Consider Resolution 2013-54

B) Consider Resolution 2013-54 Accepting Bid for the Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement Project - Ronning, "When did this start?" Jochum, "I believe it was put in the Capital Improvement Projects last year. Is that correct Jack?" Davis, "Yes."

Moegerle made a motion to adopt Item C) Resolution 2013-54 Accepting Bid for the Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement Project. **Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries.**

Item C) Consider Resolution 2013-55

C) Resolution 2013-55 Directing the Preparation of the Proposed Assessment Costs for the project. - Koller, "I would like some clarification on this. According to the resolution, they will be assessing ¾ of a mile on either side of Highway 65." Davis, "That is in the sewer district." Koller, "It doesn't say that. There are a lot of residential houses on each side." Davis, "This is only for the sewer district."

Koller made a motion to adopt Item C) Resolution 2013-55 Directing the Preparation of the Proposed Assessment Costs for the project. Moegerle seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

IUP/Home Occupation for Stacie Arneson at

Davis explained that the applicant, Stacie Arneson, is requesting an IUP to operate a home-based hair salon business. Stacie Arneson is a licensed cosmetologist and would be working by appointment only.

DRAFT

929-197th Ave. NE

Business is conducted by appointment only so parking needs generated from the home occupation are small and shall be provided on-site, in the designated driveway.

Planning Commission recommend approval to City Council for an IUP for a home occupation for a hair salon, located at 929 197th Avenue NE, Kable Country Estates, Lot 13 Blk 1, PIN 19-33-23-44-0017, with the following conditions:

1. Home Occupation shall meet the specific home occupation standards set forth in the City Code Appendix A Section 10-18:
   a. No more than three (3) persons, at least one (1) of whom shall reside within the principal dwelling, shall be employed by the Home Occupation.
   b. No traffic shall be generated by any home occupation in a significantly greater volume than would normally be expected from a single-family residence.
   c. Any sign associated with the home occupation shall be in compliance with the East Bethel City Code, Chapter 54. Signs. Home occupation signage must be no larger than two (2) square feet (City Code Chapter 54-4.3).
   d. The home occupation shall not generate hazardous waste unless a plan for off-site disposal of the waste is approved.
   e. A home occupation at a dwelling with an on-site sewage treatment system shall only generate normal domestic household waste unless a plan for off-site disposal of the waste is approved.
   f. The home occupation shall not constitute, create, or increase a nuisance to the criteria and standards established in this ordinance.
   g. There shall be no outdoor display or storage of goods, equipment, or materials for the home occupation.
   h. Parking needs generated by the home occupation shall be provided on-site.
   i. The area set aside for the home occupation in the principal structure shall not exceed 50 percent of the gross living area of the principal structure and the area set aside for the home occupation in the attached or detached accessory structures or garages shall not exceed total accessory structure space.
   j. No structural alterations or enlargements shall be made for the sole purpose of conducting the home occupation.
   k. There shall be no detriments to the residential character of the neighborhood due to the emission of noise, odor, smoke, dust, gas, heat, glare, vibration, electrical interference, traffic congestion, or any other nuisance resulting from the home occupation.
2. Violation of conditions and City Codes shall result in the revocation of the IUP.
3. All conditions must be met no later than September 30, 2013. An IUP Agreement shall be signed and executed no later than September 30, 2013. Failure to execute the IUP Agreement will result in the null and void of the IUP.

DeRoche made a motion to approve the request of Stacie Arneson for an Interim Use Permit for a home occupation for a hair salon, located at 929 197th Avenue NE, Kable Country Estates, Lot 13 Blk 1, (PIN 19-33-23-44-0017), with the following conditions: 1) Home Occupation shall meet the specific home occupation standards set forth in the City Code Appendix A Section 10-18; a) No more than three (3) persons, at least one (1) of whom shall reside within the principal dwelling, shall be employed by the Home Occupation; b) No traffic shall be generated by any home occupation in a significantly greater volume than would normally be expected from a single-family residence; c) Any sign associated with the home occupation shall be in compliance with the East Bethel City Code, Chapter 54. Signs. Home occupation signage must be no larger than

DRAFT

two (2) square feet (City Code Chapter 54-4.3); d) The home occupation shall not generate hazardous waste unless a plan for off-site disposal of the waste is approved; e) A home occupation at a dwelling with an on-site sewage treatment system shall only generate normal domestic household waste unless a plan for off-site disposal of the waste is approved; f) The home occupation shall not constitute, create, or increase a nuisance to the criteria and standards established in this ordinance; g) There shall be no outdoor display or storage of goods, equipment, or materials for the home occupation; h) Parking needs generated by the home occupation shall be provided on-site; i) The area set aside for the home occupation in the principal structure shall not exceed 50 percent of the gross living area of the principal structure and the area set aside for the home occupation in the attached or detached accessory structures or garages shall not exceed total accessory structure space; j) No structural alterations or enlargements shall be made for the sole purpose of conducting the home occupation; k} There shall be no detriments to the residential character of the neighborhood due to the emission of noise, odor, smoke, dust, gas, heat, glare, vibration, electrical interference, traffic congestion, or any other nuisance resulting from the home occupation; 2) Violation of conditions and City Codes shall result in the revocation of the IUP; 3) All conditions must be met no later than September 30, 2013. An IUP Agreement shall be signed and executed no later than September 30, 2013. Failure to execute the IUP Agreement will result in the null and void of the IUP. Ronning seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Cell Tower Land Lease

Davis explained that the City of East Bethel entered into a contract with Nextel Corporation on November 24, 1998 for a lease of approximately 0.11 acres at the rear of the East Bethel Ice Arena which allowed the construction and use of a communications tower on the premises. The original agreement was for the lessee to pay the City $1,000 per month subject to the greater of a 3% or the CPI increase annually. The current lease expires December 31st, 2018 and is currently held by American Tower. The 2013 monthly income is $2,771.89 lease and $400 for carrier rent or $38,062.68 for the current year. American Tower proposes to extend the lease in ten- five year increments with American Tower having the option of cancelling the lease at the end of any of the installment periods. Under this agreement, total rent from 2013 to 2068 would be 5.1 million dollars.

The term of the lease and the City's rights of cancellation are issues we need to discuss regarding this proposal.

As an incentive to renew the lease at this earlier date, American Tower has offered the City a one-time renewal bonus of $50,000 in addition to the current rental agreement fee. Fees collected from this lease have been utilized to reduce the operational debt of the Ice Arena and by the end of 2014 the operational deficit of this enterprise fund is projected to be positive.

Staff has discussed proposals with two additional solicitors for the lease renewal, Tri-Star and Unison. The proposals are summarized as follows:

<u>Option 1: Renew with American Tower</u>
Onetime payment: $50,000
Monthly Lease Revenue: $2,771.89 with an annual increase of 3% or the CPI, whichever is greater
Monthly Carrier Revenue: $400.00

DRAFT

Option 2: Unison
Onetime payment: $450,000
Monthly Lease Revenue: $0
Monthly Carrier Revenue: $0

Option 3: Tri-Star
2014-2018
Onetime payment: $0
Monthly Lease Revenue: $2,000 (from Tri-Star)
Monthly Lease Revenue: $2,771.89 subject to the greater of an annual 3% or CPI increase (from American Tower)
Monthly Carrier Revenue: $400
From 2019-2023
Onetime payment: $0
Monthly Lease Revenue: $3,333

Option 4: Do not renew the current lease at this time and wait until 2017 to evaluate the market for renewal opportunities. We would still continue to receive our monthly rental fee from American Tower through 2017 ($163,000) if this option is exercised.

Projected Total Revenues 2014-2023 for Communications Tower Land Lease Proposal
American Tower $541,602.26
Tri Star $525,893.97
Unison $450,000.00

Staff is seeking direction from Council regarding these options.

Moegerle, "Suppose we take Option 4, what does that do for cell phone coverage in East Bethel? Because I know out at the beach cell phone coverage is spotty at the best." Davis, "This has nothing to do with cell phone coverage on anything outside the 65 corridor."

DeRoche, "Can we get a legal opinion?" Vierling, "Well basically it is a series of 10 year options at five years a piece. So theoretically you are binding the City for 50 years. That is usually not what you see. Usually what you see is five options at five, so 25 years. The second thing that caught my attention is they are basically modifying the lease to take away any landlord opportunity to declare default except for nonpayment. So, if under your existing lease you have any conditions for the tenant that were imposed and you could amend them as adopted, you are basically amending your prime lease so that the only condition that you can declare them for default is for non-payment. Not for any other issues." Moegerle, "What other issues should we be thinking of?" Vierling, "That is where we had to go back and take a look at the other lease." Ronning, "Could that be equipment modification? Or is that their equipment?" Vierling, "Compliance with your local ordinance. Compliance with security issues & homeland security issues. The other thing is you have to be comfortable with the term because you are talking about tying the property up for 50 years." Davis, "We did talk to them about the cancellation terms and they said that was a non-starter for them." Moegerle, "Do they have rights for cancellation that we don't have in this current contract so they could say after 10 years, "Oh we are done?" Vierling, "They could certainly choose not to renew. The option is purely on their side of the fence. We have no opportunity to force them to renew after five years."

DeRoche made a motion to table the Cell Tower Lease. Ronning seconded. DeRoche,

DRAFT

Koller and Ronning, aye; Moegerle, nay; motion carries. Davis, "We are doing quite well with what we are getting for our lease site." DeRoche, "I don't want to tie it up for 50 years. And the default thing concerns me also." Davis, "That is why we have the fourth option. They are all pushing to get something done. You have all these cell phone re-lease companies and they try to buy these up and resell them. We can ask the City Attorney to come up with his major concerns and we will bring those to these people." Moegerle, "And when will you have this back to us?" Davis, "We will try to get it back to you by the next meeting." DeRoche, "I would like Mark to take a look at it."

2014 Budget Discussion

Davis explained that we can start discussing tonight, or we can set another meeting. I put this in here to see what your pleasure was and what level of detail you wanted to get into. The cuts that we put in here are the ones that we had before you for consideration.

DeRoche, "I read through it and I am holding my ground here. The ramification of some of these cuts." Davis, "Some of these cuts as far as transferring some of the general fund surplus, knowing what funds we may have for connections, the refinancing options, other incomes from other leases and royalties, these are things that are a work in progress and we may not know a final number until November. We have approved the preliminary budget and there is no huge rush on this. But if anyone has any suggestions we would love to hear them. What we are working on is getting these final numbers together so that before we set the final levy we will have accurate numbers that you can use for reductions if you so decide to do so." DeRoche, "The only numbers that I see that are going to make any difference are the capital funds. And, I think that is dangerous territory." Davis, "You are correct. Anything we do will have certain ramifications and we need to consider those carefully, so we don't create another problem while we are solving one." Ronning, "With all these conversations we have had on this, people need to know that most of these funds are once and then they are done, correct?" Davis, "Yes, once and done and they we are back to the same situation next year." Ronning, "Only you are short what you had last year. Damned if you do and damned if you don't. I still say, prepare for the worst and hope for better."

Moegerle made a motion to table the 2014 Budget Discussion to the next City Council meeting. Moegerle, "We already had a lot of discussion on this previously tonight and so maybe we should discuss it at our next meeting." Davis, "I do have one question. Do you want to discuss this at your next meeting or do you want to have a work meeting to discuss the 2014 budget?" DeRoche, "A work meeting is fine if all the members show up." Davis, "That is why I proposed September 25th, we may very well have all the Council Members present by that time." Moegerle, "Absolutely. It is very important to have all the Council Members here." DeRoche, "That is not a good date." Moegerle, "We could do it before the October 2nd meeting." Davis, "I would like to do it at least before the October 10th meeting." DeRoche, "This has to be a meeting with only this on the agenda." Koller, "I agree." Ronning, "So do I." Moegerle, "So when are you available?" DeRoche, "Can we all just e-mail Jack our dates?" Davis, "That will work, and we can have two members call the meeting." **Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries.**

Staff Update

Davis, "There will be a meeting tomorrow with Staff, Anoka County Park Staff, hopefully Anoka County Commissioner Julie Braastad, Representative Hackbarth, Senator Bensen and representatives from the DNR to continue discussions to find a resolution on the issue of the Sand Hill Crane clear cutting issues. It is at 1:00 p.m. at Bunker Hills Park." DeRoche, "Can we just come?" Davis, "Yes, but if more than two Council Members are going to attend, we should have it posted." DeRoche, "I will plan on attending." Ronning,

DRAFT

"I might also attend." Moegerle, "Will you be offering transportation?" Davis, "Yes. Also, the East Bethel Theatre has applied to Met Council for a reduction in their ERU assignment. As a basis for obtaining that reduction they are proposing to eliminate 700 seats. Met Council reviewed that application and they reduced their ERUs from 28 to 17. That reduction resulted in a $61,500 loss to the City in revenue on SAC and WAC fees. We have approximately $200,000 surplus in the general fund, and that will need to come out of there. We have made up three of those ERUs with new construction in Whispering Aspen, but that is a significant impact to our budget."

DeRoche, "Mr. Vierling, Met Council and Bolton Menk set up a matrix. This is the ERUs for the project. How are we ever going to catch up when Met Council keeps reducing things? This is all based on their SAC Book, which the feasibility study was based on, and the problem is we are watching them dwindle away. Where do we stand? Granted they are projections. Projections make me laugh, because I could project a lot of things. What is their basis for this? On October 6th, when the gentleman from the theatre stood up and said they didn't have a problem with 39 ERUs at the time because it was counting the theater and the other property and now they come back get it lowered. How on earth are we ever going to make that up? They could continue doing this and we could continue losing more ERUs." Vierling, "I think it is a meritorious question. There is no question that the actions taken by them have impaired the city's ability to gather revenue in which the obligation to them can be paid. We have a contract with them, which raises a topic that should be a discussion between the City and Met Council ."

Moegerle, "Based on getting this information, I had asked that Jack provide an update to the administrator, Pat Born at the Met Council, Edward Reynoso, as well as to Metro Cities. Yes it is a difficult situation, but to get into a self-fulfilling prophecy and that we are never going to fill it, I don't that is the right approach. Negativity does not breed success. While it is unfortunate, I do think there is room for negotiation. We are paying $96,600 a year to Met Council and what are we getting? There is a point to begin negotiation. And, the conversations that Jack and I have had with Mr. Born and he is appreciative of the dilemma. I would ask that you pursue that issue, and the possibility of what we can work out on this." Ronning, "Is the agreement silent on Met Council made the projections, they made the arrangements, the negotiations, and the whole deal based on projections. If they forgive units, is there a way to approach it that you gave it up, that is yours." Vierling, "It is a discussion that needs to be held. From a process standpoint, I think the city has every right to be offended, when the City wasn't fully engaged with the property owner for reductions." Moegerle, "Just a point of information, the date that Bob is referring to is Oct. 6, 2010. Watch that DVD or read the minutes for more information."

Davis, "One other point of information, the City was engaged in this to some extent. It was mentioned to Mr. Dale Heider at an EDA meeting when we were looking at ways to reduce the impact to the project and still maintain the financial integrity of the City. In conclusion we came up with there was no way that the City could reduce any ERUs. At that time Mr. Haider said his only option would be to remove seats because ERUs were based in the Met Council SAC manual on the number of seats. In order to expedite the hook-up and clear-up the easement matter, he petitioned the Met Council on the reduction in seats. Met Council wanted some assurance that we would monitor the situation. What we did is we said he would have to get a permit to un-install seats. And we would stop by periodically to make sure he did not re-install seats. If he re-install the seats, then his ERUs will increase."

DeRoche, "He approached us in 2011 asking us to drop it down to 12 or 13 because of an e-

DRAFT

mail he had. It is not negativity Heidi, it is realism. Nobody has given up. The grandstanding doesn't help." Moegerle, "I didn't say anyone was. And, I am not grandstanding. I am concerned about this too. I am not being a Pollyanna about it. It is what it is and we have to work that much harder. Eventually we will burn out, staff and Council. We have a possibility speaking with Metro Cities and Met Council." Davis, "Mr. Reynoso was invited to the meeting tonight. The invitation did not go out until yesterday and I don't know what his schedule was."

Council Member Report – DeRoche

DeRoche, "There isn't too much going on. I don't look at being honest with people as being negative. I think taxes didn't go up, people were forgetting this project was in place and taxes were going to go up. I think being very open with people and letting them know is more important than looking at life through rose colored glasses. Because the rose colored glasses are broken. If someone is to watch the Council meetings, there are more digs than anything else going on. It is almost as if there is campaigning going on and I don't think this is the place for it. I think it is inappropriate. The thing with the ERUs, unfortunate. I had a nice talk with Stacie from the HRA. Federal program, the County is the possessor of the money. It is to help people that are financially strapped with their septic systems. It sounds like a good program. I would like to see the appliance place close their gate during the daytime. When it is closed you don't see much, but when it is open it looks like a junk yard. Is there a burning ban yet?" Koller, "Not yet?" DeRoche, "Are recreational fires ever banned?" Koller, "Only in extreme draught conditions." Davis, "Yes, generally like Ron said, it would have to be extreme draught conditions." DeRoche, "I have had a lot of people compliment the sign. Maybe change the colors more frequently. But, it is a learning curve."

Council Member Report – Koller

Koller, "I didn't have any watershed meetings this month. The Fire Department by 40 on medical calls over last year. The two new fire trucks are in and this Saturday in the morning they are going to start fitting them. That means they will be taking all the old equipment off the old trucks and putting it on the new trucks. And, they will be in service next week."

Council Member Report – Ronning

Ronning, "The Planning Commission met and discussed the IUP from tonight. They looked like they are very well prepared. We spent a lot of time on verbatim minutes again at the Planning Commission meeting. Once the Council takes a vote, why does it come up in a commission? This is a rhetorical question. It is not going to change. I always thought once it is done, it is done. This MIDS, EDA, Planning Commission and Council, I was surprised to see that on the agenda? Did we refer it to anyone? I was surprised to see it as a point of discussion." Davis, "The EDA and Planning would like to have a joint meeting on September 23rd with Council to discuss the MIDS issue." Winter, "Just as a point of issue, MIDS or no MIDS there are a couple things that have happened at the state level that will impact us. We are an MS4 community so there are storm sewer requirements that we have. Those have changed this year for the state. And the other thing that has changed is what they are calling Atlas 14 which is really the floodplain regulations. So with those two things combined, they are similar to the Minimum Impact Design Standards. It is not going away. Regarding the meeting, if you want to talk about MIDS, great, if not fine. But we wanted to get the three commissions together to talk about the corridor."

Moegerle, "Isn't there also issues about zoning as well?" Winter, "I don't know if that was something we were going to discuss at this meeting, but if you want to, we can." Moegerle, "There are issues with the zoning on County Road 22 (Viking Boulevard) but we also wanted to talk about the Comprehensive Plan." Winter, "With the Comprehensive Plan, we

DRAFT

would need to get all three entities together. One of the issues is where the landfill property is, we have been requested. We have to rezone that. And there are a couple other areas that it makes sense to rezone. So for me the important part of the meeting wasn't MIDS, it was the rezoning and Comprehensive Plan." DeRoche, "I have a question on Planning, that Eldon brought up about mandatory home inspections, Truth-in-Housing. I personally would not like to see us get into this. If you have to pay someone to inspect it first, that should be an owner's choice." Winter, "That was something that Eldon brought up. There was no consensus on the Planning Commission on that. That is the point why we want to get together, whether MIDS is here, or what they want to call it. I think that is the critical piece of why we want to get together as a group, is look at comp plan. Other jurisdictions have a time period that they can comment on it." DeRoche, "Who brought up the landfill rezoning?" Davis, "The PCA came to us and asked us to rezone the property. At the time we told them we were going through some comp plan reviews and we would include the landfill when that happens." DeRoche, "What are they looking to get it zoned?" Davis, "I think something that will give them some protection on that property." Ronning, "What does it do to the rest of the world? If they are protected? There is give or take." Davis, "It is a change from the current to protected area. They own the facility and operate it. The zone would prevent anyone from operating on it. They are entirely liable for it."

Council Member Report – Moegerle

Moegerle, "I had a conversation today with a representative from the Department of Minnesota Revenue in regard to property tax refunds and rebates availability to ask if he had any statistical information about how East Bethel is in complying in filling those documents out. And, whether we would need to encourage residents to do that. He had no statistics on that. He is going to do a little more digging on it and I am expecting an e-mail from him. I also spoke with Commissioner Julie Braastad with regard to the anticipation to the county tax levy and she said they are looking at a decrease. I was unable to attend the LMC Policy meeting. I did attend the Website meeting and that was very successful. I spent a lot of time speaking with Richard and he empowered me to say we need to look at leadership, we need to be proactive. I dug out my folder on the newly elected leader's packet and it talks about leadership. And I think it is important to remember we have other issues that we need to be engaged in and we all need to be working on a common goal of getting the corridor filled with businesses. And finally, Richard wanted me to remind everyone that on January 9th this year, it was agreed that we would be going to a paperless packet. We each got an $800 stipend to go paperless and that is what we need to do. It is a savings of time and money for staff and that is what the money was for."

Closed Meeting – Village Green Treatment Plant

Vierling "For the members of the public and the record, Council is about to go into a closed session to discuss the offers or purchase of real or personal property per MN Statute 13D.05, subd. 3. We need to identify the property which is the Village Green Treatment Plant and some of its components. The meeting will be tape recorded and the tape will be preserved for eight years and will be made available to the public after all real or personal property discussed at the meeting has been purchased or sold or the governing body has abandoned the purchase or sale. Any purchase or sale price is public data."

DeRoche made a motion to close the meeting to discuss the offers or purchase of real or personal property per MN Statute 13D.05 subd. 3c to discuss the Village Green Treatment Plant and some of its components. Moegerle seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Vierling, "The City Council met in closed session to discuss consideration of a purchase of real or personal property, Village Green Treatment Plant and some of its components.

DRAFT

Attending were all four City Council Members. Also attending were Craig Jochum, city engineer, Jack Davis, city administrator, and myself. Council reviewed an offer that had been conveyed to the City and with regard to their sewage treatment plant and although the Council took no motion, they did as a matter of consensus direct that the City has no interest in accepting that offer or in moving forward with it."

Adjourn **Moegerle made a motion to adjourn at 10:10 PM. DeRoche seconded; all in favor, motion carries.**

Attest:

Wendy Warren
Deputy City Clerk

DRAFT

EAST BETHEL CITY COUNCIL MEETING

October 16, 2013

The East Bethel City Council met on October 16, 2013 at 7:30 PM for their regular meeting at City Hall.

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Bob DeRoche Tom Ronning	Ron Koller	Heidi Moegerle
MEMBERS EXCUSED:	Richard Lawrence		
ALSO PRESENT:	Jack Davis, City Administrator Mark Vierling, City Attorney Craig Jochum, City Engineer		

Call to Order

The October 16, 2013 City Council meeting was called to order by Acting Mayor Moegerle at 7:30 PM.

Adopt Agenda

Moegerle made a motion to adopt the October 16, 2013 City Council agenda. Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Kermit Kirkevold – Service on Park Commission

Davis explained that we would like to recognize Kermit Kirkevold who served the City of East Bethel as a Park Commission member from February 2013 to September 2013. He resigned because he is no longer a resident of the City. We have invited Mr. Kirkevold to attend the meeting and will be presenting him with a plaque in honor of his service to the City. Mr. Kirkevold was presented with a plaque and his name tag and thanked for his service.

Refinancing 2005A Safety Bonds

Davis explained that at the September 18th, 2013 City Council meeting, Council authorized Ehlers and Associates to solicit proposals for the sale of refunding bonds 2013A with a par amount of $1,305,000. These bonds will be used to refund the 2005A GO Public Safety Bonds.

Ms. Stacie Kvilvang from Ehlers, Inc. is here to compile the bid results for this bond issue and to provide the tabulations.

Stacie Kvilvang, "I am not only here to talk about the bond sale, but also to talk about a rating upgrade that you did receive. As you know for each bond sale, you go through the rating agencies. You were rated through Standard and Poor's. When you were rated for your last bond sale, Build America Bond and Recovery Zone Bonds, you were rated by Moody's at that time as an AA1. When we went originally to do the refinancing for the Build American Bonds, we had you rated through Standard and Poor's which has a little more transparent process that they go through for a rating. At that time you were upgraded to AA-. Since that time, Standard and Poor's have gone through a recalibration of their rating scale and new criteria which was providing more transparency and different weighting scales with regard to how they are rating communities and cities. Last week, Mr. Jeziorski, Mr. Davis and our office participated in a rating call with Standard and Poor's. After going through all of that and getting the report, you were upgraded again to an AA. So, that is two upgrades in a year. Essentially, there is one rating between you and an AAA. This is great news for the city, because a better bond rating means better interest rates for the city."

"I just want to highlight a couple things used for the rating criteria where you ranked very strongly. You ranked very strong in your fiscal balances you have and your management

controls that you have in place. I have a plaque to present to you and I just want to read a few statements from the plaque. Essentially, we present to you an old fashioned bond. It says, "This bond is hereby given to the City of East Bethel in recognition of Standard and Poor's upgrade assignment of an AA stable credit rating for the outlook of the City's General Obligation Bonds. The stable outlook reflects S & P's expectation that East Bethel maintains consistent economic and financial performance which is supported by strong management. S & P recognizes the cities very strong budgetary flexibility, very strong liquidity, provides very strong cash levels and adequate budgetary performance in these conditions." Again, what they are looking at is your ability to have flexibility if there is ever a crisis with your fund balance or liquidity of cash. They look at your management of fund balances and how often you look at budget expenditures to actual ledger budgets. And, the controls you have in place for issuing debt and financing other issues you have in the City."

Kvilvang presented the plaque to Acting Mayor Moegerle.

Kvilvang, "We had the bond sale today in our office at 11:00 a.m. The bid results are in the tabulation before you. We did receive two bids. The thing to note out of the two bidders, the one bidder is a combined of about 17 folks. So, there is a lot of interest out there. The winning bidder would be **Barrett** out of Milwaukee, Wisconsin with a true interest cost of 2.5%. Thing to note would be that we were able to reduce the bond size. Originally it was going to be $1,305,000 and now it is $1,250,000. That is due to an unused underwriter's discount, cost of issuance and receiving a premium on this. The savings during the term is approximately $100,000. That means you will be saving about $18,000 annually. Approval of the resolution tonight would award the bond sale to the lowest responsible bidder, which is **Barrett** in Milwaukee, Wisconsin."

Moegerle, "We started going Round Robin the last time I was Acting Mayor, so I think we will start with Ron." Koller, "I read through this and it sounds really good. Everything helps." Moegerle, "I have no questions." Ronning, "Thank you." DeRoche, "We have been through this quite a bit. I am not going to add anything to it."

Moegerle made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-61, A Resolution Authorizing and Directing the Sale and Issuance of G.O. Refunding Bonds 2013, Series A in the amount of $1,250,000. Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Sheriff's Report

Sergeant Pilz gave the September 2013 report as follows:

DWI Arrests: There was one DWI arrest in September. The driver had lost control of her vehicle and ended up in the ditch. The driver tested and had a .22 BAC.

Thefts: There were 21 theft reports. Three reports involved vehicle thefts where keys were left inside the vehicle. These thefts occurred on different nights in different parts of East Bethel. One report involved a vehicle being taken from a local establishment. Within a few hours, deputies received a call of a possible intoxicated driver and located the stolen vehicle unoccupied in a ditch. A K9 was called for a track that lead to a known theft suspect's residence. The suspect was taken into custody. The other two vehicle thefts were recovered, unoccupied, one in St. Paul and one in Dayton. Please make sure to take your keys and valuables out of your vehicles. There were four theft reports involving wallets and purses being taken out of vehicles. One neighborhood in the 1500 block of 229th Lane NE had three vehicles entered. One victim lost her purse and incurred fraudulent charges on credit cards. A second victim had his wallet stolen. His credit cards were also used. There

is a photograph of the suspect from the ATM where he withdrew funds. In the photograph the suspect is holding a slip of paper, which the victim believes to be his PIN number for the credit cards. You should not keep your PIN number in your wallet and/or purse. The case is under investigation.

There were two different theft reports involving construction equipment. One involved a black steel bucket and forks being stolen. The second case occurred the same night and involved a black frame and glass door being taken from a Bobcat. There was one case of a license plate being stolen. The stolen plate was later used in a gas no-pay in another city. There was one report of three bags containing men's clothing being taken from a residence. The bags had been left outside. There were three no-pay gas thefts reported. Finally, there were two reports of financial transaction card fraud. One report was from the wallet theft reported above. The second report involved a male who had reported lending his credit card to a friend several years ago and never having the card returned. Upon investigating, the victim suffers from dementia and had not suffered any loss of his credit card.

Burglaries: There was one reported burglary. The homeowner found his garage had been entered while no one was at the residence. Taken from the garage was a set of golf clubs, two bicycles and an air compressor. Entry was made through a garage service door.

Damage to Property: There were nine reports of damage to property. Two reports involved two brothers who had their tires slashed. The suspect is a male that one of the brothers owes money to. The case is under investigation. One incident involved deputies responding to a burglar alarm. Upon arriving, they found pry marks on the garage service door and entry into the garage had been made. The door in between the garage and the house was still secured. A short time later, a suspicious male was located in the area, with a tool sitting behind the driver's seat which matched the pry marks on the service door. The male was arrested for damage to property and trespassing. Another report involved a vehicle at a county park, where a window had been shattered. A county park employee saw a vehicle leaving the park at a high rate of speed but did not see any actual damage done to the window. The county park employee did follow the vehicle, giving dispatch information. Deputies did stop the vehicle and arrested the driver for possessing drug paraphernalia and driving after cancellation. They were not able to link the suspect to the broken window. The other reports involved isolated damage to property incidents, such as a vehicle driving over a lawn, a lock being cut on a storage container, and a mailbox being damaged.

Arrest Breakdowns for September:

Misdemeanors:
2 – Drug paraphernalia possession
1 – Small amount of marijuana in motor vehicle
2 – Property damage
1 – Trespass
3 - Disorderly conduct

Gross Misdemeanor:
1 - Attempt to Escape Tax – Motor Vehicle Registration
1 – Interfere with Emergency Call
1 – Obstruct Justice with Force
1 - 4th Degree Assault

Felony:
2- 5th Degree Controlled Substance
1- Vehicle Theft
1- Unauthorized Use of Motor Vehicle

Moegerle, "I had made a quick note that year-to-date we have nine categories in our report that are down and six that are up. So, we are trending pretty well. Criminal Sexual Conduct, Assault, Felony Arrests, Gross Misdemeanors, Warrant and Traffic Arrests are up over last year. Is there any particular reason that traffic arrests are up over last year? Is that any particular push for speeding?" Sergeant Pilz, "I can't specifically say what that would be other than typically when radio calls go down; the deputies have more time on their hands to enforce traffic. So, if the calls are down and the traffic arrests are up, I think that would be an indication of why." Moegerle, "Because Shelly indicated that Towards Zero Deaths issue is a real big push. And, I didn't know if that may have had something to do with it." Sergeant Pilz, "I am the coordinator of the Towards Zero Deaths (TZD) Grant program. We do have extra enforcement along Highway 65, where the other agencies from around the counties pitch in. So, we do have added patrols usually twice a quarter that cover Highway 65, basically from Bunker all the way to the East Bethel border. So, there are usually 8 to 10 extra patrols on for that program." Moegerle, "Why does it stop at our border?" Sergeant Pilz, "It doesn't. It stops at the Isanti County border."

DeRoche, "County Road 22, how are we doing out there as far as speed. Are we issuing a lot of tickets? Has it slowed down?" Sergeant Pilz, "As far as speeding, there was one on Highway 65 and Viking Boulevard (County Road 22). There have been a lot of stoplight violations on 221st and Highway 65 and Viking Boulevard and Highway 65. The information I have doesn't show times on it. But, I can tell you that it happens quite often between 4:30 a.m. and 7:00 a.m." DeRoche, "Is that the east/west traffic that is trying to get through that light? Because I have tried to get through both of those lights and they last a long time." Sergeant Pilz, "It is mostly the north/south traffic, mostly the southbound traffic. I work until 6:00 a.m. and from 4:00 a.m. on, it becomes very busy. And, it doesn't take long to sit at one of those intersections and see someone go through a red light. That is mostly the southbound traffic."

Public Hearing for Proposed Assessment for Municipal Utility Project

Davis, "I would like to make one point before we start the public hearing. No one can formally object to, or appeal the amount of an assessment, unless the property owner signs a written objection and files it with the City Clerk prior to the assessment hearing or presents it to the presiding officer at the hearing. So, if someone wishes to file a written appeal, there are some writing materials up here that you may use, but you do need to file a written appeal."

Vierling, "That is correct. The process we will be going through this evening is the Acting Mayor will formally open the public hearing on the 2010 Municipal Utility Project. As the notices were provided, the area served by these improvements is bounded on the south side by 181st Avenue NE and Viking Boulevard on the north and ¾ miles on either side of Highway 65 in the City of East Bethel. Once the public hearing is opened, the public will be invited to come to the podium and at that time if you have a written objection you can give it to Mr. Davis or Ms. Moegerle and then make your comments at the podium. The Council would prefer that we hear people sequentially, so Council will not directly engage with each individual immediately, but wait until all individuals have had an opportunity to speak. And, then we will go back and have Council discussion and any questions they have. Once the public hearing is formally closed, no written objections can be received by the Council after that point and time."

DRAFT

Moegerle opened the public hearing for the 2010 Municipal Utility Project.

Don Kveaton of Village Bank, "The biggest thing I have a problem with, is the parking lot next to us at the theater has a "0" assessment on it. And, we have four acres but two acres is under water, so we feel our assessment is a little overbearing. Also, when you put the trunk line in there, you never gave us access to the water. It went to the next lot." Mr. Kveaton provided a letter of objection/appeal.

Curt Strandlund of Classic Construction and owner of vacant parcels in the assessed area. "It seems to be inconsistent how the assessments are being handled. I feel it will be hard to bring new businesses in, which we need to help support the project. We built the new building that is over there and we had a hard time getting it to appraise and that was before we knew some of these large numbers that were coming down the line. With all the vacant property we have, I have been working with trying to move that and made some headway with getting the assessments numbers down. But with both of the parcels, they only have a pipe running to one corner of the property. I am being assessed as though it is a shovel ready lot. That it has a stub right there ready to go. And, as Don mentioned, there is no stub for the bank. When this was put in, they assumed that the water would go in on the north side because the well is there. But, everything is stubbed out on the south side. A few other things we have run into is the whole project is served by 4 inch water mains, which is larger than you need for domestic water. But, yet, if you need to do a fire suppression system, it isn't enough to supply adequate fire suppression. So, I feel the design wasn't very well thought through to supply the businesses." Mr. Strandlund provided a letter of objection/appeal.

Roger Rickey of Rogers Rods and Customs, "I object to the amount of the assessment that is being placed on our property. I would like to have the City reassess this, because it is a significant hardship on our business." Moegerle, "Can you say what particular aspect, why it is a hardship?" Rickey, "I think it is ridiculous for something I don't want or need and never did from the beginning. I don't need it." Moegerle, "Could you address water usage? That may be helpful to us. How much water usage?" Rickey, "We don't need any water, I have my own well. And, it is not like I need much water where we are at now anyways. Our business does not require a lot of water." Mr. Rickey provided a letter of objection/appeal.

Jeff Gardas of Northbound Woodworks, "My SAC is four and I don't understand why it is at four. I think it should be at a two like everyone else. I have an eight inch well that runs my sprinklers and everything else that I paid over $100,000. I just figured out that I finally got it paid off and now I am going to have another monthly bill. City sewer and water, that is my huge concern." Moegerle, "Do you have a written objection?" Gardas, "No, but I will."

Paul Johnson of Aggressive Hydraulics, "I wasn't real familiar with the format tonight so I am a little unprepared. Part of my rational for being here was the best interest of the City. Looking at what we are going to be doing moving forward. Understand a little about the tax levy part of it and now tonight about the assessments. And certainly about the level of concern for the City, my neighbors and what we are going to be doing going forward. Our assessment amount certainly was a little bit of a shocker. I understood that we have a little tidal wave here that we are dealing with and I appreciate your effort to come to a resolution to keep things moving forward. Certainly, there is that balance of providing a vision and an opportunity for the City to grow and flourish. It is also important to retain the people that

we have, our existing businesses. If we can't retain the businesses we have, then we don't have a chance. And, I think we all understand that."

"When we decided to move here, we certainly wanted to be part of the solution. The assessment albeit a surprise. We paid about $15,000 for an appraisal that said we had a real challenge ahead of us. As you all know, we were tasked with taking costs out and other things. The interesting part of it is the land component of the appraisal said once developed, the land, once developed, 6.06 acres is valued at $2.20 a foot. As we know, those appraisals are based on comparables. One of the challenges of our building, and the project as a whole, was looking for comparables that were comparable. Land is probably one of the easiest things to look at comparables. And again, that was $2.20 a foot after developed knowing we would have sewer and water. I am not here to cry on your shoulders. Big picture stuff, I know there is some rationale and I thought it was important to make a comment. And, I also want to present a letter as well." Mr. Johnson provided a letter of objection/appeal.

Dale Heider of Muller Family Theatres, "Just here to put our objection in on the assessments. We knew the assessments would be high, but they are a little higher than we thought. Our biggest is on the vacant lots. My understanding is they were assessed based on the potential of subdividing into three residential units. They really are commercial lots. But, also with only one four inch stub going into each lot. The second part is the theater being assessed with a high number based on usage, instead of equal use and access to the system." Mr. Heider provided a letter of objection/appeal.

Moegerle made a motion to close the public hearing for the 2010 Municipal Utility Project. Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Davis, "Anyone can come and make an oral appeal or objection, but if there is dissatisfaction with any final adoption of the assessment roll, this cannot be appealed to the district court unless you filed a written objection or appeal." Vierling, "And we will certainly acknowledge those that have been received. For the record we have received a written objection from the Met Council on behalf of their lot. And, Jack, were there any other objections filed?" Davis, "Yes, there were. We received a written objection from River Country Coop, Tom Boland. His basic objection was the cost of the assessment." Vierling, "So, the record will note those that were received during the public hearing and those two additions that were supplied before. Council can proceed to the discussion to review each one of these. Certainly ask any questions of staff, engineering, legal or administration. You don't have to take action on this tonight. There is an opportunity if Council wishes to have staff review either the methodology of charges or some other aspects of the proposed roll. We certainly can do that and come back to you at a different time. We would note however, both for Council and the public, the assessment roll that has been provided is the maximum. We can't go any higher. Certainly there can be adjustments down and if there are adjustments, then the assessment roll will be re-noticed to the property owners here tonight."

Moegerle, "Before we begin, and we will begin with Ron, I have a question on the vacant lot. When that ERU is assessed on the vacant lot, we don't know the ultimate use is. So if we assess at two or three ERUs but the ultimate use is ten ERUs, when is that difference paid?" Davis, "That would be paid for in SAC and WAC charges. As far as an ERU, that wouldn't affect it. The ERU, in this case, is simply a value to obtain an assessment. It has nothing to do with the SAC and WAC fees. And, this is the process that has been used throughout the entire project. We did look at some things regarding frontage assessments,

DRAFT

but, the bottom numbers stayed the same. There were some changes in the costs to different owners, but the bottom line didn't change at all. An ERU is equivalent to $7,704 per assessment. If you had two ERUs based on your SAC charges then that is what was used as the equivalent. One of the other things we did in order to equalize this, in the feasibility study prepared by the consulting engineer there were two different assignments for vacant property. One had three ERUs per acre and the other had one ERU per acre. And, in order to level this, we went ahead and assigned the maximum. As Mark indicated, this is the maximum assessment that can be done and it is subject to modification."

Moegerle, "With the first one we got regarding Village Bank. The issue was the theater has only one ERU and it is a parking lot and they have two and part of it is wetland."

Koller, "I am interested to know about the stubs that apparently didn't go to Village Bank? Why not? I thought that was all worked out before this started?" Jochum, "What I know of it is there was a stub put on the north side of the property that lines up with their well if you go straight east. What wasn't known, was when the municipal water came in, the contractor had already stubbed water to the south side of the building. So, the one to the north was really not of use to him." Koller, "There are two acres of his lot under water." Jochum, "It is a mitigated wetland that can be filled." Koller, "So how do we charge ERUs for a swamp?" Jochum, "I don't know. Were the wetlands taken out?" Davis, "Again, ERU is used to establish a value. The value here is what benefit do these properties receive from these improvements. These are things that need some further investigation, as I have discussed with several of you prior to the meeting tonight. Whether it was four acres and he had only a ½ acre of dry, the benefit would still be the same. There are some properties down there too that have almost essentially equal uses or sizes and have been given different SAC determinations by the Met Council. However, their benefits are essentially the same. There are some things that when this is concluded I am going to recommend that we take another look at to try to equalize these and work through the inconsistencies."

Koller, "If the water is stubbed on the south end and their water is presently on the north end, that is a lot of pipe that is going to have to be laid and that is going to be expensive." Davis, "That is correct. I also want to point out that Mr. Jochum was not the engineer on the project. We did contact the design and consulting engineer and we were told that they contacted property owners to see where they wanted their stubs. And, they said there was no response. That is the indication we got from the engineers. And, I understand there is some difference of opinion in that too. We did contact them and that is the explanation we received." Moegerle, "Certainly the water does serve a purpose, but it has nothing for a business value. So, I have a concern there." Ronning, "I am curious how we determine who is at fault there and who gets the liability on something like that. That he said/she said doesn't carry a whole lot of weight with me."

Vierling, "We will follow up with the consulting engineer on the project and see if they have any documentation on how they designed the system. And see what they have for a record. And we would be happy to share that with the property owner as well." Ronning, "Who is the engineering firm?" Davis, "Bolton and Menk." Moegerle, "Can we have them attend the next Council meeting that this item will be discussed? Or, would you rather discuss this in private Mr. Kveaton?" Kveaton, "I would rather have a private meeting with them." Moegerle, "And then we can have a public meeting if that doesn't work out well." Ronning, "There are 24 lots identified, it works out to $46,001 per line. How many property owners are there affected here, because some of them own more than one lot." Davis, "The theater actually owns six, seven lots there. There is the theater lot and then the rest are vacant. Mr. Strandland I think owns two lots and I think the rest are individually

owned."

DeRoche, "I would agree with Mr. Johnson from Aggressive Hydraulics. You can't drive the businesses out of the City." Moegerle, "Remember the issue is with Village Bank. Please tie it up." DeRoche, "And, you touch on he said/she said, I can't believe all of the land owners were contacted and did not get back to the engineer. It doesn't make sense to me and I think that is something we need to pursue." Davis, "Let me make one correction. The bank is the only one in question that we have knowledge that has an issue with the contact with the engineer." DeRoche, "Well, then the bank. To say he has it on the north and they put in on the south. It was my understanding that all of these businesses who were going to be liable to pay for this thing would have sewer and water access. Not after the fact, we have it in the wrong spot, now you have to run another line. I think we have a lot of work to do here and I don't feel comfortable myself making any kind of a determination. I have been reading these things through and I would have to go with all of the businesses that showed up. What a shock. And yes, the sewer and water project is going to be a pain, but we cannot expect to recoup everything off of these businesses that are in that district. And, I think everyone outside of them, should have been aware of that before this project went ahead."

Moegerle, "Let's move on to the Classic Construction issue. The vacant parcels, inconsistent assessment and difficulty with assessment." Koller, "The vacant lots, we are charging them an awful lot of ERUs for an empty lot. I don't think we should be charging them until the lot is actually built on. As long as our Fire Chief is here, this if the first I have heard that the 4 inch watermain isn't big enough for fire sprinklers. Do you know anything about that?" Davis, "Actually what I think Curt was referring to was the stubs and not the mains themselves. Is that correct Curt?" Strandlund, "Yes." Koller, "Is a 4 inch big enough?" Chief DuCharme, "It would depend on the occupancy and the size. Normally it is a 6 or 8 inch. I never had any conversations with the engineer when this was going on, with the exception of the design of the fire hydrants. It is my understanding that fire suppression, the stub is not there, it would be tapped off the mains that are in the street. And that is not real unusual to have a separate connection for fire suppression and for domestic." Koller, "So if someone builds on a vacant lot they would have to run another line if they need sprinklers." Chief DuCharme, "Yes, they would."

Moegerle, "I am seeing some confusion out there. I certainly think we should have a representative from Bolton and Menk attend. We haven't accepted the project and I don't know if that is something that can be changed at this point but if that is not a standard for a commercial district we certainly do not want to accept it. It sounds like we are going to have a lot of questions for Bolton and Menk. Communication is critical and it doesn't look like it has been done well. So it seems to me that staff should take some time of Kreg Schmidt to get answer to these questions and I am sure there is going to be some more.

Ronning, "The 4 inch lines, what size lines are on the buildings? Aggressive Hydraulics, you must have three or four?" Strandlund, :"When Aggressive Hydraulics was being built that as not a lot of record. So there was only a main line running north. So we tapped the main line at the cul-de-sac and brought in a 6 inch line to provide the fire suppression system. And, we also have a domestic line for drinking water." Ronning, "For my understanding, all this stuff about the size of the lines, I don't have the knowledge to understand what it all is. It would be helpful when we speak of some of these things in the future, to have some of these things identified. Such as 4 inch lines are so much pressure." Jochum, "I have seen the lines anywhere from 4 to 8, typical is 6 and up. But, it doesn't necessarily mean they are undersized. Again it will depend on the size of the building, the

occupancy, a bigger building like Aggressive Hydraulics a 4 inch would not have been big enough. But, it doesn't necessarily mean it won't be for the next building." Davis, "It will depend on size and fire protection needs of that building. Like Craig said, in the case of Aggressive Hydraulics I think they needed a flow of 2,000 gallons a minute and a certain pressure and a certain line size to satisfy that. This is something we can certainly have Bolton and Menk address."

DeRoche, "Again, I am not going to be the one that says, "I am going to direct staff to do anything, I think that is a Council thing." But, that being said, I think Bolton and Menk has to answer a lot of questions. This was set up as a sewer and water district for commercial businesses. Not residential and to not go with adequate hook-ups is wrong and I think someone has to be held accountable for it. It is an awful lot of money that was put into this thing and Craig Jochum at that time wasn't the engineer, Kreg Schmidt was the engineer. I remember a lot of meetings here where a lot of numbers were being put out and everything was going to be just wonderful. And, now we are finding out maybe the pipes aren't big enough? That is a commercial area, we are talking about maybe light industrial. We aren't talking about putting in houses. And, it is just another thing to pass off on businesses. And, it is a shame that residents are going to be tasked with a tax increase, but it will be even more of an increase if we lose a lot of businesses. So, there has to be some real balancing done, because I can't see tasking these businesses with all the costs, I just don't see it." Ronning, "We should be curious on the engineering specs on some of these things. What did we pay for and what did we get. Are they the same thing, or is there any difference. Did we pay for ten and get four? That is an extreme example." Moegerle, "Sounds like we need an exit interview with Bolton and Menk." DeRoche, "Maybe we need to direct Jack to have our engineer look into some things and bill Bolton and Menk for it. Because apparently there is more than a problem here." Ronning, "The specs have to be the place to begin."

Moegerle, "Mr. Rickey, his objection was the significant cost and he has his own well." Koller, "How many ERUs is he being charged?" Rickey, "Two." Koller, "It seems like the same problem everyone else has." Moegerle, "I know that Met Council uses their book to determine how much water is used. It is hard for me to fathom how a Hot Rod shop uses so much water. But, one thing I did notice is that interest rate listed is 5.25% and I have the current mortgage interest rates and as of today they are for a 15 year fixed it is.3.49% and for a 30 year fixed it is 4.42%. So, I do think at least the interest rate should be modified on all of these. I am sure we will hear more about this. It is not a good solution, but we are sensitive to this as well."

Ronning, "Speaking for myself, this assessment, is this Met Council or City?" Davis, "This is City." Ronning, "How did we arrive at an ERU charge for a lateral advantage?" Davis, "The ERU charge is based on the ERU or SAC that each business was given. This is an acceptable means of assessment, it is in our assessment policy as a means of assigning value. We discussed it with the City Attorney. It was vetted as an acceptable practice. And, we used this because it was what was in the feasibility study and it was presented at the preliminary hearing for the preliminary assessment on our notice of assessment in October 6, 2010. So, in order to not confuse or changing of the horses in the middle of the stream, we remained with this standard."

Ronning, "I agree with Bob. I don't see how we can put the whole penalty on them. This is like a penalty." Davis, "I agree. And, I will acknowledge that. One of the things that was done in preparing the initial assessment roll, one of the goals was to see what the maximum was. And in the letter that was sent it said, "This is up to and subject to modification." So,

we recognized all the issues that everyone would have. We also recognized that this is a very sensitive issue to Council and to staff. But, we wanted to start out and say this is the maximum. Not it is up to us to find the best balance between the City's interest and the property owner's interest to come up with the assessment." Ronning, "It has to be something that works for everybody. Us, them, the community, the prospective future businesses that will or won't accept this kind of cost." Davis, "It definitely was not the goal of staff (and I have related this to the people I have spoke with) to balance this project on the backs of these twelve businesses that are directly affected." (DeRoche said, "I think Colleen said there are thirteen businesses.")

DeRoche, "I have been to Roger's shop and he is going to have to do a lot of work to come up with these assessments. Every time I talk about this, I get really irritated because of the way it was put together. And, I know what these people were told and that is really an irritant. And, Jack, I know that you and staff did not sit down and come up with these numbers just to throw it out there. But, it was put out there for us and I think it is going to take a work meeting to sit down and say, "What is really going to work here?" It is a bad situation no matter how you look at it. But, we can't put it all on those thirteen businesses. Those businesses, it is not like they are corporate giants down there. That is what East Bethel is, or was, small Mom and Pop businesses. Curt does his construction, Aggressive does blue collar and to say, "We appreciate what you did, but, we have this bill and you guys are going to pay for it. And, I think it will really detract from brining anyone else in."

Davis, "It is going to affect the marketability of vacant property, the resale of current businesses. Hopefully, it will affect the profitability and help someone stay in business. Here again, we are all for finding the best balance that we can."

Moegerle, "The next one is Northbound Woodworks." Ronning, "I am not done yet." Moegerle, "You are out of order." Ronning, "I am?" Moegerle, "We are doing the Round Robin." Ronning, "I have to vote on that." Moegerle, "You are out of order. So, you will be out of order." Ronning, "The easy thing would be to sit up here and say what people want to hear and I don't believe in doing that kind of stuff. It is a huge, huge problem we have and we have to figure out a way to get through it. Regarding these assessments, when would they be up for change?" Davis, "These assessments, if approved would go for 20 years. They could be financed for 20 years. Or any other term that Council so desires, but 20 years is the life of the bonds and generally the life of the assessments for this type of improvements."

Moegerle, "Ron, the next one is Northbound Woodworks." Koller, "This is the same as the rest of them. The businesses are being charged by acreage. It doesn't matter how big the business is, how small it is, they are being charged by acreage. And that makes no sense to me. We used to have a carwash there that used thousands of gallons of water a day, versus a business that uses a couple of hundreds of gallons a day. Why are we charging them both the same? And, empty lots paying for water and sewer by the acre when they don't even have an outhouse there. It shouldn't be charged until there is something built there." Davis, "That is why we sent those other different proposals for consideration to show there are other options. We are following the standard that was initialized with the projected. There are going to have to be modifications considered, I believe. It isn't a charge by the acreage. All this is, we are trying to establish benefit. There is a certain amount of benefit associated with these benefits. We need to figure out what that assessment is." Koller, 'I agree with Bob, we need to have a work meeting and go through this one lot at a time and make it a little more fair and reasonable. Because we would like to bring more businesses in and keep the businesses that are here. And if we tax them right out of existence, it is not going

to work." Davis, "I agree. I think that is what needs to be done and I think that is what some previous discussion was hinting at. We need some more time to evaluate this and then come up with an assessment roll."

Ronning, "These are a one-time charge?" Davis, "These are a one-time charge. They would not pay any more assessments on these improvements." Moegerle, 'What is the purpose of a lateral benefit assessment? Is this associated with the fact that a vacant lot has a stub for water on it is more marketable than land that doesn't have a stub on it. What is the purpose of that. And, where does the income from this lateral benefit assessment go? Does it go to defray the cost of the project? And, if the businesses in this district do not bear this cost, if it is a hard cost, then it gets passed on to the residents, who get no benefit from this. That is the hard place that we are in." Davis, "The lateral benefit assessment is essentially what is paid for from the benefits derived from the improvements of the project. The access to the water, sewer and street improvements. That is the easy part. The hard part is determining what that benefit is. Especially in this case. We have a case where the notice of proposed assessment was sent out almost three years ago, October 6, 2010. There has been a lot that has happened on the project since then. The project is essentially complete. So now we are going and following the assessment process and notices were sent out as a follow up to that. What we have to determine is what a fair assessment is, in relation to everything that is going on. As far as to your question as where the money goes, this money goes to the City and it would be used to defray the cost of the project to pay down the bond issue."

Moegerle, "I know we have the budget issue later on the agenda. Where is the income that comes from this included in our budget? I know it is on the revenue side, but has that number been plugged in or is this one of those open items?" Davis, "It hasn't been plugged in because the assessment is not final. It is one of the things we listed as working on that we can apply as a reduction for the 2014 budget or subsequent budget years." Vierling, "And, once assessed, the funds received, by statute the funds would be dedicated to payment of the bonds. It may not be deferred or diverted for any other use by the City." Moegerle, "For an existing business to have access to water when they already have a well doesn't look like a benefit. It is only a benefit when that property is redeveloped. So, those buildings there are pretty new, so redevelopment is not in the immediate future. The value is very difficult to assess. It does look like more time is going to be needed on this."

DeRoche, "I know people don't like to hear it, but I haven't been for this project since day one. I voted against it and I caught a lot of flak for it. I was not able to see how this thing would ever sustain itself. I have listened to a lot of people over the last three years that initially thought this was a great idea, and it was going to help them out and increase their property values. Now that it is coming, and it is time to pay, people are changing their tune. I guess it is unfortunate that everybody else gets caught up in that. I am not sure what the answer is, but running people out by taxing the heck out of them is not the answer. Again, if the businesses leave, the residents are going to pay more."

Moegerle, "But, clarify that. This is an assessment to say, "Your property value has increased because you have this public utility. It does go to defray the cost of the overall project. It is not like a hard cost of paying for a pipe. The access and the value of that access does for the marketability of that property is that more of less what we are talking about here?" Vierling, "That is the cap of the assessment. That is the top limit under law by which you can assess a property. Is that of challenge a City must show benefit to the property by increase of fair market value directly resulting proportional to the improvement." Moegerle, "So this is spreading the cost of the project over the benefitting properties." Vierling, "This is the City's recapturing that element of the cost of the project

that we can attribute to increase in value. Obviously the City is going to be paying for this total project. The assessments are probably a relatively part of it. Obviously, the sewer and availability charges and water are going to be another part of it when people connect. There will be utilities that will be expended. Those rates will be there and to the extent that there is a shortfall, which we are projecting there will be, they will be paying again by virtue of the taxes that will be levied generally throughout the community. So, from that perspective, you are looking at four different sources of revenue that will be pledged to paying down these obligations.

DeRoche, "So, in other words we are telling these people, we realize you didn't want the sewer and water. But, it is a benefit to you, you just don't realize it yet. Down the road this is going to benefit every single business out there. Is that the case? Not in my world. If every single one would have came up and said, "We really want you to do this because it is going to benefit us a lot. It is going to help us move out of here, bring in a building, develop, do whatever." But, I don't think I have heard anybody say that."

Moegerle, "Moving on to Aggressive Hydraulics, kind of the same as everyone else, Ron anything special?" Koller, "Same as everyone else. I think we need to go back and go lot by lot and reassess all of them. This is ridiculous to drive the businesses right out. We have people out there that spent a lot of money on new wells and now we are telling them to cap them off and hook-up to City water and sewer." Moegerle, "Are we in fact telling them to cap those off?" Davis, "The wells can be used for irrigation purposes. They cannot be used for domestic purposes. Nor can they be cross connected." Moegerle, "Effective when?" Davis, "When they make their physical connection to the system. And that has to be made by August 2014." DeRoche, "I can understand Aggressive Hydraulics, look what they went through to bring the business up here. And, I am sure that they hadn't anticipated this cost. Did you Paul?"

Davis, "One thing about Aggressive Hydraulics, keep this in mind too. They were not an existing business when these original 429 assessment notices were sent out in 2010. Even though the property was a part of that, they weren't. There was an indication of this in the dealings with them, but, there was a lower number based on their preliminary plans. Their information has been all over the board until their project was finalized. They have kind of played a guessing game with this."

Ronning, "What kind of interest rates are the potential here?" Moegerle, "It was 5.25% in the packet. However, I am looking at the fixed 4.42% for 15 year and 3.49% for 20 year and that is the mortgage rate on bankrate.com. Other options are to look at the rates for CDs which is not applicable, loans, home equity and credit cards. If you want me to look at those numbers, I can do that."

Vierling, "Almost universally assessment interest rates are tied to the interest rates that you are occurring on the bonds that are subsidizing or financing this project. It is typical that a City will set their interest rate on an assessment at either a point or a point and a half over what they are paying on the bond issue from which they are financing the project." Ronning, "And if it was 5%, the cost would effectively double in about five years. So, $580,000 looks closer to $1,200,000. That is too high."

Moegerle, "Colleen, do you have any tools to help work through this, other than what Bolton and Menk offered years ago?" Davis, "No we don't have anything other than options. We did provide another scenario which addressed the vacant commercial properties. And, in discussions if we are to address the vacant commercial properties, we

DRAFT

need to address the developed commercial properties too. I think the whole situation needs to be examined and evaluated before an assessment roll is recommended for consideration."

Ronning, "Food for thought, I don't know how much we can answer. Some of it would be helpful to have a work meeting, like has been suggested."

Moegerle, "We have Mueller Theater and Met Council and we have River Country Coop (the gas station). What was Met Council's point,, what was their objection and did they suggest a solution?" Davis, "Met Council's objection is they claim an exception from the sewer assessment based on State Statute 473.545. They do acknowledge that they may be liable for the water assessment. Craig is working on a proposal for that so we can include that proposal on their bill." Moegerle, 'Is that something that you can't tax other governmental entities?" Vierling, "You can assess, you can't tax. You can assess other governmental entities and non-profits. It is a different process under the statute. But we still have to go through this process to get to there. But because they don't get a tax statement like the rest of us do, it is a different methodology in terms of what they actually end up getting billed for and how it gets paid. It doesn't matter if it is a church or a school, or another community that may own land in your community something like that. It is just a different process of how we bill them out." Moegerle, "But they did get the notice?" Vierling, "Absolutely, they all got notice."

Davis, "River Country Coop's objection was the cost of the assessment. In their case they claim that they would receive little or no benefit from this and their objection is the cost." Moegerle, "Have they taken out their car wash equipment? Is that vacant now?" Davis, "Their car wash was removed a couple years ago. They were originally given a determination of four SAC units by Met Council. But, they requested a new determination and the Met Council did a review and came back with a new determination of two SAC units. Their car wash has been gone for approximately two years or longer."

Moegerle, "Do we want to deal with Mueller Theater?" Koller, "It is the same as everything else. We need to sit down and go through each lot and put some realistic numbers down." Moegerle, "One of the things I am looking at is there was one business that was pro this project (and I am thinking October 6, 2010) and unfortunately we have to distribute this across all of you. And, because there is a benefit and it is kind of ephemeral to figure out (I am sure a real estate agent could advise us on that). Could we look at this issue by square footage, frontage feet. What other factors do we want Jack to bring back to us to look at this? The other number is, what do we want to recoup from these businesses for this project?

Davis, "That is the question you really need to answer, because that is really the way costs are going to be decided. Whether it is front footage, square footage, ERUs, whatever standards you want to use, the bottom line is what is going to be derived from it." Moegerle, "I think the ERU number is too crude because it is between 1 and 27 and you can't finesse based upon things like square footage. But, what other measures do we want to use?" Davis, "I don't know that you want to use square footage because you have such a disparity there. You have 2,000 to 60,000. And most of them are going to be in the 10,000 range and the ones in the 60,000 range skews it and puts them at a disadvantage." Moegerle, "But, for example with regard to the bank part of their land is under water and so it would be square footage of developable or redevelopable land. I don't know how to figure out the basis for spreading the costs that we ultimately determine."

Ronning, "As Jack said, you almost have to look at the end of the story and work backwards

and see how you can make it go." Davis, "It is almost like reverse engineering. The methodology you use, you could use either one. That is the other thing that needs to be determined, the fairest way to do it. And I don't think we can work that out tonight. The one that gives the most balance and equity of assigning these. No matter what we do everyone has to be treated the same. It has to be equal across the board. So that will affect several of the ones out there now." Moegerle, "Does the rate have to be the same for developed and undeveloped land? Or can they be at different rates, Mark?" Vierling, "You are required by law to use a uniform methodology in assessment. And usually appraisers when determining value for these types of benefits, they do not factor in the existing use of the property." Moegerle, "Could Ken Tolzmann give us a little bit of information on this?" Vierling, "He might be able to give you some input from that perspective."

Moegerle made a motion to table the assessment roll for the 2010 Municipal Utility Project until the next regularly scheduled City Council Meeting or work meeting of which this will be the subject. Davis, "There is one other thing I would like to point out. This needs to be submitted to Anoka County by November 15th if it goes on the pay 2014 taxes. So, if we are going to have a work meeting, we have to have it as soon as possible." Moegerle, "How about Monday. No, we have an EDA meeting." Davis, "It will take a few days to work out some of these options and alternatives for you. I think Wednesday will give us ample time to do that." **Moegerle amended her motion to table until Wednesday, October 23, 2013. Koller seconded.** Ronning, "A friendly amendment. Rather than table which is permanent, can we recess or suspend?" Vierling, "You can recess or suspend the meeting, but this is an item within the meeting." **All in favor, motion carries.**

Public Forum

The public forum was opened for any comments not listed on the agenda.

Dan Butler of 23002 Austin Street, "I am on the Economic Development Authority and the board of East Bethel Chamber of Commerce. I urge all of you to do your best job, I know you will of attracting businesses to the city. I am glad I am on this side of the dais. I know you have a hard job ahead of you. I admire how you stick to it. Anything you can do for the consideration of businesses, we really appreciate that."

There were no more comments so the Public Forum was closed.

Consent Agenda

Moegerle made a motion to amend the Consent Agenda to included: A) Approve Bills; B) September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes; C) October 2, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes; D) Accept Resignation of Cable Technician; E) Resolution 2013-62 Accepting Donation; F) Coon Lake CDBG Grant. Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries

DeRoche, "I want to pull items B) September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes and E) Resolution 2013-62 Accepting Donation." Moegerle, "I want to pull item C) October 2, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes." Ronning, "I want to discuss F) Coon Lake CDBG Grant."

Moegerle made a motion to approving the Consent Agenda including: ~~A) Approve Bills; B) September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes; C) October 2, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes;~~ D) Accept Resignation of Cable Technician; ~~E) Resolution 2013-62 Accepting Donation;~~ F) Coon Lake CDBG Grant. Koller seconded; all in favor, motion carries

DeRoche, "I pulled item B) September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes because on page 5 (I am not going to go into grammar and punctuation) but, in the last paragraph there is a statement made that says, "In reevaluating this, we found we could save $4.4 million on downsizing the water treatment plant." That was actually 3.8 million. If I recall, Jack?" Davis, "I would have to look that up." Moegerle, "I definitely said 4.4 million." DeRoche, "I know what you said, but that is not factual." Moegerle, "Well okay, Mark can you tells us on changes, factual versus what was said. What are we approving here?" Vierling, "Council can amend minutes if the individual or the maker of the statement recognizes that there is an error and wishes to correct it. If that is what you intended to say, then obviously that is what you intended to say." Moegerle, "That is what I intended to say. However, we can go on with this as well, where I am misquoted. I pulled this one as well. Apparently it is in the next one."

Moegerle made a motion to adopt the September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes. Motion fails lack of a second.

DeRoche, "That was my main concern, that number was inflated." **Moegerle made a motion to adopt the September 4, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes. Ronning seconded. Koller and Ronning, aye; DeRoche and Moegerle, nay; motion fails.** Moegerle, "Can we have those brought back to us if 4.4 million is not correct?" Davis, "Yes."

Moegerle, "I pulled the October 2, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes. On page 9 of 16, immediately above the bold face, we have DeRoche, aye, Koller, aye and there was a start of a vote, and I think that is confusing. However, on the same basis for Bob's attention. On that same page we talk about the raise and "to go from ¾% to 0% to ¾% to 1.5%". And, I think it was most recently 1.5%, 0%, 1.5% and 0% and it averaged out to ¾% so, for the basis I don't think that is a correct statement, I pulled it. And there are a couple places where it says I said something and it is not even a direct quote and it is not even two minutes later and for the same reason I have pulled these to ask for these corrections." Ronning, "What if the fix?" Moegerle, "Basically, you guys go ahead and vote for them and I vote against them. The resolve on page 9 is to remove DeRoche, aye; Koller, aye. If Mr. DeRoche wants to correct that other paragraph ¾%, etc, that is his prerogative, on the same basis of my statement." DeRoche, "I am not going to correct it, because I am fairly sure that is what went on." Davis, "I think the sequence was 0%, 1.5%, 0%, 1.5% that we had over the last four years. And it equated to ¾%." DeRoche, "Without seeing it, I am saying what it is."

Moegerle made a motion to approve the October 2, 2013City Council Meeting Minutes. DeRoche seconded. DeRoche, Koller and Ronning, aye; Moegerle, nay; motion carries.

DeRoche, "I pulled Item E) Resolution 2013-62- Approving Donation. The reason I pulled this was it was Heidi who initiated buying the computers. But, that being said, she did purchase it, she was reimbursed and now she wants to donate it back to the City. You never really can completely erase a hard drive, so there still might be information of the City's on the hard drive." Moegerle, "I want to correct that, it was completely scrubbed." DeRoche, "And .now that the City has already spent the money and reimbursed her for it, I don't want someone coming back in a year and saying, "I don't have a computer, I donated it back to the City. There is an ulterior motive here in my opinion, however, once it is out there, it is out there." Moegerle, "Well frankly I don't live in the world of ulterior motives and there are people that do. Frankly, I saw in the budget that there was an $800 assessment for a

laptop. This laptop is the one I have been working on. I tried to transfer to the other one and was not very successful. And so when I saw there was a need and that computer was being underutilized, it makes perfect sense. This is not an ulterior motive. It makes good common sense. As I said when we talked about the GRE thing, if I can magically have one of those poles in my backyard, it is my civic duty to do this. I don't care about the reimbursement. As I have told Jack, you can tell me the assessed value of it is $1, I still want you to have the computer. That is not the issue."

Ronning, "Who is in possession of the laptop?" Davis, "I have possession of the computer at this time." Ronning, "Is this a request to donate the computer to a school or something?" Davis, "No. I have a written statement from Heidi that she wants to donate this to the City. This is the process to donate to the City. In order for us to accept it, we have to accept it by resolution and then we can do with it as we see fit." DeRoche, "My problem with that is, Heidi used a good example, I saw a deficit, so I brought my computer back. I don't want that being the premise for anything. If she wants to donate it to a charity, Meals on Wheels, is fine. To do it this way, I think is inappropriate." Ronning, "Does the City have a need for it?" Davis, "The City always has a need for computers. Roseville will have to take a look at it to make sure it is clean. Desktops are set-up different, versus a City computer and this one is. We would have to buy a Microsoft License for it. So there will be some things to do to it to make it ready for use for City purposes." Moegerle, "However there is the $800 that is under the Council budget, equipment replacement, all of us was told this is a computer." Ronning, "I am not trying to be argumentative. As soon as you buy it, it is almost obsolete. So, if you paid $800 the likelihood of you getting $799 is tough." Moegerle, "And I told Jack if you send me an acceptance back of an evaluation of $1that is fine. I have no expectations." Ronning, "Do you have any way to evaluate the computer?" Davis, "It would be very difficult to know what a used computer would go for." Moegerle, "I use this computer and that one is Windows 7."

Moegerle made a motion to adopt Resolution 2013-62 Accepting the Donation from Heidi Moegerle. Koller seconded. DeRoche, nay, Koller, aye, Moegerle, aye, Ronning, aye, motion carries.

Ronning, "I pulled Item F) Coon Lake CDBG Grant because I am unfamiliar with it." Davis, "This is the item that we discussed at the HRA meeting and the HRA gave direction to pursue this. In order to apply for a grant we need Council authorization. All we are asking for is authorization to prepare the grant application. We would still need authorization to submit the grant to the County on January 14, 2014." Ronning, "For whatever it is worth, I have seen my name on Facebook pages saying I am opposed to helping Coon Lake residents with their septic conditions. Which I am not. I do have a question, but once the grant is prepared it comes back to the Council." Davis, "That is correct. Once it is prepared, it comes back to Council. And, if it is approved, it will be submitted."

Ronning made a motion to direct staff to prepare the Coon Lake CBDG Grant Application. Moegerle seconded; all in favor, motion carries.

Fire Department Report

Davis stated the Fire Chief is here to report on statistics for the prior month.

Chief DuCharme, "The fire department has been very active with Fire Prevention Week, this last Saturday was the open house. I want to thank the firefighters for their hard work. Adam Arneson one of our lieutenants was in charge of it and he did a great job. The East

DRAFT

Bethel Royalty was there also, and they participated in a food drive in about 450 pounds of food for the food shelf. And the Lions Club, they participated in the food that was served and the Chili Cook-off." Moegerle, "Who won the Chili Cook-off?" Chief DuCharme, "Marilyn from the Lions Club, and 2nd Place went to Ruth from the Lions and 3rd Place was a firefighter, Jeremy Shierts. On the fire prevention side also, we had our firefighters out in the elementary schools and the met with all the kindergartners and all the third graders. A big thank you to Dan Berry and the firefighters for that. Dan heads up that group. Oak Grove's Fire Department was also there with us since some of their kids also go to our schools and we appreciate their assistance. They also provided the Fire Safety House. We are 95% done. We just have some preschools left to do."

"The other thing that happened is we sold old Engine 21 to a fire department in Alabama for $50,000. River Falls Fire Department bought it. The broker fee was $5,000. The boys from Alabama flew up and drove the truck back. They are delighted with the truck." Moegerle, "And that will go back into the equipment replacement fund?" Chief DuCharme, "Yes, it will. For September we had 46 calls which is about average. For the year-to-date we have 404 calls. This month there were 32 medicals. And, I want to remind everyone that the flu season is beginning. Important for our firefighters and the public out there to remember."

Moegerle, "I think what you guys do with the EMS calls is great. What happens with regard to the Ambulance service coming to our area? What happens to the training of our firefighters, will you continue to be the first responders. Tim Hoffman who is on Parks, his brother is the Park Rapids Fire Chief and he has talked to me a little bit about how their fire service changed when Ambulance Service came to their area. I know that is a little away, but how are you going to work on that and do you see that in the near term?"

Chief DuCharme, "The ambulance service areas are controlled by the State of Minnesota. And they are controlled by what we call the EMSRB, Emergency Medical Service Regulatory Board. That state board is the authority or jurisdiction that assigns areas. Our area is assigned to Allina. When Allina comes out in their trucks, they have a minimum of one paramedic and one EMT. They come out from either Cambridge or kind of local. Part of the reason the Fire Department was involved in medicals was the time line for getting there. That is not to say that Allina doesn't meet their timeline, which they do. There are some protocols which they have to meet. We train either two first responders or emergency medical technicians, we give our firefighters a choice. Just about half of our firefighters are EMT level trained. The other half are first responders and if they want to make the jump, we help them do that. Getting back to your question, is the ambulance service ever going to come to us as a provider? I certainly see that the fire service as a great partner in EMS, but with the service that already exists, I don't know that there is a need. However, we do train to the EMT level because sometimes there is a need for a higher level of care." Moegerle, "Again, what Tim was saying is that fire department gets paid for these runs and I don't think we get paid for these runs. And, the question is, Allina gets paid for these runs, so how do we get involved with that, and I know we have had some discussions on that." Chief DuCharme, "And that discussion goes on and on. We as a Fire Chief group have presented that to the Ambulance Association and are in constant negotiation there. Will there be some time that we will be paid by Allina? That is a possibility. There are some fire departments and rescue squads in outstate Minnesota that are paid a minimal amount. I don't know it is feasible to charge the resident or insurance company. That is difficult because we are not able to discriminate between someone that has insurance and someone that doesn't." Moegerle, "Are you able to get that information from the Ambulance Service, or is there no sharing of that information?" Chief DuCharme, "There could be, but

I don't know that we want to be in a situation where someone with a chronic illness doesn't want to call 911 because they can't afford it." Moegerle, "But that exists anyway. Because they would already have to pay the ambulance company." Chief DuCharme, "If the ambulance transports. If you look at our sheets, a lot of the ambulance calls are transports. It is not like the system is being abused. I will make the agreement with the Council that we will continue to have discussions with the Ambulance service on how we can recover these costs." Moegerle, "I appreciate the philosophical debate. Because I have it with myself on how we can recover these costs. Thank you for the information."

Koller, "On selling the fire truck. Were we clear on liability on the framework?" Chief DuCharme, "Yes, everything was disclosed to them." Koller, "So they know it needs some frame repair?" Chief DuCharme, "Absolutely. When we contacted the broker that was the first thing we discussed."

Chief DuCharme, "One other item I would like to discuss with the Council. It won't affect the 2014 budget, but it could affect either the 2015 or 2016 budget. This is the Public Safety Data System that the Fire Chiefs and Police Chiefs have been working on for about 2 ½ years was far as designing a public records system. We are at a point now where vendors have been selected, the County went through the RFP process and the county is going through contract selections. Tomorrow it is likely the committee is going to meet and approve the proposal we are putting aboard. This is a $7.6 million project. It is a complete redo of the records management system used by all of Anoka County. Anoka County is going to bond for $7.6 million dollars. Starting in 2015 or 2016 there will be maintenance costs for the fire department and sheriff's department. For the fire department it will be about $3,300 a year. I don't know on the sheriff's side what the cost is going to be."

Moegerle, "Did you inspect the old Our Saviour's Church. What is that building being used for and how is the renovation going?" Chief DuCharme, "We did inspect it. It doesn't mean they are close to using it. I was dealing with the fire alarm vendor. I have not had contact with the owners. There has been some work done inside. It looks like someone took a spray gun and painted things and some roof repair has been done and mold abatement."

Ronning, "I was at Our Saviour's this morning and a fire truck was there. Was there an emergency there?" Chief DuCharme, "We were there for the preschool. That was part of the fire prevention."

Chief DuCharme, "For the 2014 budget, the Relief Association had originally requested a City contribution of $500 per firefighter, or $17,500. And when Troy Lachinski the president of the Relief Association was here talking about increasing benefits, he did make the statement that he would gladly lower that $3,500 to $14,000. I had a chance to talk to Troy and he is in agreement on that. He thinks that is a very doable thing. There request is the $14,000. I know you are going into budget talks when I am done." Ronning, "When I hear that one person approved something I am going to ask. Does that have to go back to the membership for approval? Or does he have the authority to do that?" Chief DuCharme, "Troy has brought that to the Executive Committee and he does have the authority."

Oak Grove Building Inspection Services Contract

Davis explained that on November 21, 2012 the East Bethel City Council approved a contract to provide Building Official and Inspection Services to the City of Oak Grove. The contract fee schedule was structured so that Oak Grove would be charged 95% of their building inspections fees and 100% of the plan review fees for our services. Their previous contractor, Inspectron, Inc. billed for 65% of their fees for inspections and 100% of fees for

DRAFT

plan reviews.

When we negotiated the contract with Oak Grove we told them we were not comfortable with the 65% charge for inspections and needed 95% of this fee until we confirmed that our revenue projections were accurate. Our cost for providing these services to Oak Grove through August 2013 has been $34,111. Thirty per cent (30%) of our total time by building department employees has been spent on Oak Grove Building Official and Inspection Services to date in 2013. Based on our expenses through August, our costs for providing this service for the year of 2013 are projected to be $51,141.

Total amounts billed for the Oak Grove Building Official and Inspections Services through the end of August have been $135,633. Total fees for this service for 2013 from Oak Grove were projected to be $60,000. The fees we charge Oak Grove are based on a percentage of inspection and plan review fees that are conducted.

The percentage charged for the inspection fee was adjusted to 85% effective June 30, 2013 and as part of the original negotiations on the contract, the fee charged for inspections was negotiable based upon our cost/revenue experience. Even though we want to maximize our potential for revenue from the provision of this service, we don't want the City of East Bethel to be perceived as exhibiting an unreasonable position in terms of fairness and equity in the contract. Although Oak Grove currently prefers to contract with the City of East Bethel for this service, we must maintain the value on our part as to the charges for fees should we wish to maintain Oak Grove's interest in the continuation of the contract.

We have seen that our current billing arrangement more than covers our expenses and generates additional revenues for the General Fund. It has been requested by Oak Grove, and I would feel confident in recommending, lowering our percentage of the inspection fees to 80%. A reduction in our fee would accomplish the following:

- Serve as a good faith act on our part to insure equal values in the contract:
- Provide the revenues necessary to cover our costs and generate additional income needed to fund our Building Department from fees as opposed to levied General Funds; and,
- Serve to strengthen our relationship with Oak Grove as we move forward with joint ventures in the future.

We estimate that an 80% percent charge for inspection fees and the 100% charge for plan reviews will produce approximately $175,000 in total billings based on the volume of permits issued in 2013, or a total reduction of 5.9% of the inspection fee. Even with this proposed fee reduction, there should still be a net positive cash flow in excess of $100,000. We project our costs to be approximately $52,000 for 2014 for these services. As previously stated, 30% of our time in the Building Department is required to administer this service and it does not interfere with any services to East Bethel residents.

Staff recommends that the fee billed to the City of Oak Grove for Building Official and Inspections Services be reduced from 85% of their inspection fees to 80% effective January 1, 2014 and the contract for this service be approved for 2014.

Ronning, "What would an effective date be and what is the reason for the request?" DeRoche, "Do we need to make a motion and second to discuss this?" Vierling, "It would be preferred."

Moegerle made a motion to amend the contract with the City of Oak Grove for

DRAFT

Building Official and Inspection Services to be reduced from 85% of their inspection fees to 80% effective January 1, 2014 and the contract for this service be approved for 2014. Koller seconded.

Ronning, "What is the reasoning for it and if there is a contract it affect, is there a hard ship for it?" Davis, 'There is a contract in effect. The fee was 95% and the City Council approved a reduction to it for 85% in June. The reason for it is the fee we charge more than covers it. And, it is an act of good faith to keep both parties in the contract receiving some equal value. Oak grove is interested in exploring other joint shared services such as with the City of East Bethel, street sweeping and maybe based on City Council approval some Finance Director services. They currently contract out for these services. When we contracted with them for these services we indicated to them that we wanted to get some historical data before we entertained an 85% fee. I think we have shown that this more than covers our costs. And 80% definitely covers our costs and generates a substantial amount of revenue to cover the costs of our building department so we don't have to use general funds and levy for it."

Ronning, "I remember when we reduced our costs. And, my question then was, "Did the City imply that we would consider doing such a thing, reduce it from 95% to 85%. And, the answer was yes. My opinion at that time was I didn't want to complicate things by giving them an answer different from what the intermediate, the go between had already given them. Have we done a similar thing with this?" Davis, "Yes. When we first sat down we wanted to make sure we didn't get into something that was going to be a cost to us. Even though both parties can cancel the contract with 30 day notice, we only bill them for the time over there. So if we are only over there for 30 hours, we only bill them for 30 hours. But, we did tell them when we started this that we would negotiate these fees at the end of this year. We would take a look at them to make sure our revenues were in line with our cost. And to make sure they were getting a fair deal also. I think at 80% is more than fair, we get additional revenues and they get a needed service from us." Ronning, "Is there any likelihood that this would go in the red? Davis, "This is based on hours over there, so we wouldn't work in the red. If a trend came up that it looked like it was going to go in the red we would either renegotiate the fees, or give our 30 days notice on the contract." Ronning, "They have been pretty active with their building permits this year. How many have they had?" Colleen Winter, "They have had 45 new homes." Moegerle, "Further discussion, Bob?"

DeRoche, "This is kind of funny, the round robin thing, every time it starts, it starts down there. Pretty much by the time I get to it, the things have been answered. The concern I have is November it was 95%, June it went down to 85% and now they want to go down to 80%. I understand negotiating a contract and going down. What I also see by reading articles in the newspaper is Oak Grove is pretty proud of the fact that they keep lowering their costs. And, I don't want to see us getting to the point, this isn't even a guarantee. So, we can't even put it in the budget. Especially with next year coming and it being an election year and the newly elected people might have a different perspective on what they want to do. So, I don't think we can't bank on that money. But, it started at 95% now we are down to 80% and they were paying Inspectron 65% but I think they are getting a heck of a lot better product. Because I wouldn't want to see it keep going down here." Davis, "We have indicated that 80% is the lowest we can go and still do this contract."

DeRoche, "Seeing how we have already renegotiated twice, will they come back and say either negotiate or we will go somewhere else?" Davis, "Well 80% is our bottom and going in we worked with them to find a rate that was best for both cities. And, I wouldn't

DRAFT

recommend going lower than this." Moegerle, "This contract will take effect January 1, 2013 and go through December 31, 2014 and if there is an election and there is change, the election won't affect it?" Davis, 'That is right, unless they gave us 30 days notice to end the contract."

Ronning, "If we lose this what is the impact to the City. We put an additional person on to my knowledge, it was quite a bit to be able to do this. If we lost this or decided to get rid of it, what would be the impact as far as our employment status." Davis, "The building inspector was not hired as a result of this. That position was budgeted in here prior to entering this contract. Our building permit fees are increasing, I think we took in $137,000 so far this year. There is a need for two people in our department. If we only had one, we could have to contract out to get the work done. We did some checking on how we compare on turning a building permit around compared to other cities and we are right in there with the other cities. As far as affecting our service for our own residents, this has no effect. Our building official spends about 10% of his time in Oak Grove, building inspector about 40% and our administrative assistant spends about 15% of her time scheduling inspections for Oak Grove. It has been beneficial for East Bethel, but we have enough work in that department to keep everyone at full employment."

All in favor, motion carries.

Local Government Officials Meeting

Davis explained that the Anoka County Local Government Officials (LGO) meeting is scheduled for October 30, 2013 at the Cedar Creek Ecosystem and Scientific Area office at 2660 Fawn Lake Dr. NE in East Bethel. Attached is the agenda for the meeting.

Should Council wish to add an item to the proposed agenda, this request needs to be submitted by county by October 18, 2013.

Staff is seeking direction as to any additions that Council may request for inclusion for the October 30, 2013 LGO Agenda.

Moegerle, "At these meetings, it is not uncommon for the host City to give an update. Certainly the bond sale we completed today is something of note. I don't know if we have any other announcements on advancing our sewer and water infrastructure we could make at that point. I know we talked about the Shaw Trucking development." Davis, "I don't have the agenda in front of me, is the Sand Hill Area issue on there?" Moegerle read the agenda. Davis, "We will contact Jerry Soma and that can be part of the introduction for whoever delivers that address." Moegerle, "At this point we talked about this in August and September, that attending this is part of the job, I will be attending. I don't know if we have to get this out that this may be another meeting. Who else will be attending?" Ronning, "I will be interested but I don't care about the meal." Koller, "I will probably go." DeRoche, "I am not sure." Davis, "We will post it." Ronning, "The thing of interest is the aquifers and ground water management." Moegerle, "I attended that geological atlas survey class and saw a lot of people from Anoka County there. We are getting praise for having that water reclamation plant and water back into the aquifer locally as opposed to sending it downstream. So we should be in good company there." Ronning, "These rapid infiltration systems, these aquifers take 20,000 to 30,000 years for stuff to get down through there."

2014 Budget Discussion

Davis explained that in addition to attempting to mitigate the impact of the proposed 17.5% preliminary tax levy increase, of equal importance is the development of a policy as to the management of future debt due to our obligations for the repayment of the bonds for the water and sewer system. The development of a plan to address this matter will enable

Council and Staff to manage the severity and impact of future tax increases that will be an issue in 2016, 2017 and 2018 due to 2010 C Bond payment and the commencement of principal payments on the 2010 A and B Bonds in 2018.

Staff requests that Council consider the line items as listed in the attachment as candidates for additional reduction for the 2014 Budget and continue the discussion as to the fund balances as noted in the attachments and their application for further budget reductions in either 2014 or subsequent budget years.

Davis, "What I presented today, was an update for the line items to be considered. We have gone over some things, and others had some recommendations. In order to start this off I listed some minimum reductions that I thought we should consider."

Moegerle, "This looks like minimum and maximum reductions, because you have eliminated some of them all together." Davis, "The only thing eliminated was there was two line items for the seasonal maintenance employee. I did add some reductions that were suggested." Moegerle, "Some are 100% so I don't know that they are minimum reductions. That is my question." Davis, "These are things that you need to take into consideration."

Moegerle, "Ron, you want to take first strike?" Koller, "Well, looking at the items listed here, I don't have a problem until we get down to the Fire Department Outreach Programs and portable toilets. You know what happens when you cut the portable toilets." Davis, "What I was looking at there was the ones that we would cut out were the parks that have very little usage." Koller, "Has anyone looked at the City owning the portable toilets?" Davis, "No, because then you have the problem of cleaning and disposal." DeRoche, "Hazardous waste." Davis, "In my opinion this is probably something that is better off being outsourced." Koller, "On level 2 there is Professional Service Fees-Planning. What is that?" Davis, "In the Planning budget there is $15,000 available for professional service fees. $12,000 is for GIS Service fees maintenance and recording secretary fees. It was $25,000 and we cut it to $15,000 it was to do some planning for the comprehensive plan for the corridor." Koller, "And then down in level 3 there is a Parks Capital Transfer $25,000." Davis, "In the preliminary budget that was done, there was $100,000 for the Parks Capital transfer. That was reduced to $75,000 and I am proposing we reduce it another $25,000 to $50,000." Koller, "The CSO position?" Davis, "That is off the table. That was approved with 2014 contract." Koller, "Booster Day fireworks, we have cut them down to almost nothing." Davis, "That is up for Council consideration." Koller, "I would like to keep that on. They run a really tight budget as it is and they bring in a lot of people." Moegerle, "If there was a way to keep that and not have the City pay for it, would that be okay?" Koller, 'Well, you will find they get some donations as it is and it is still pretty tight. It is almost a City function, it brings a lot of people to East Bethel." Moegerle, "If it could be covered by another entity such as the Chamber of Commerce, would that be okay with you?" Koller, "Possibly."

Moegerle, "Tom you want to go next?" Ronning, "The city newsletter reduction to two times a year, I am opposed to that. You had indicated only so many people have cable, so many don't. Much of the community is not computer connected. I don't know how else you get information to people." Davis, "This is the only communicative item that we get to all the residents. There is a value in this, that everyone receives it." Koller, "We have the reader board now." Ronning, "I think there is a lot of opportunity in there, more so than this, but it is a good start."

Moegerle, "Bob." DeRoche, "What do we pay for the person for taking minutes at Parks,

Planning and Roads." Davis, "We budget $600 each for Roads, Parks, Planning and EDA for minutes." DeRoche, "In looking through this thing, unless you but everything together, I have no idea what the individual things are." Davis, "If you look at the narrative description it tells you what each one is for." DeRoche, "Ron kind of hit on it. Portable toilets for parks, I noticed last week that the satellites weren't out, so where are people going?" Davis, "Where was that?" DeRoche, "At Booster Park. And the fireworks, could the money come from somewhere else? Maybe they will pick it up, but has anyone approached them? Before we start cutting these things, we need to know. I would be willing to cut the EDA." Koller, "For Pioneer Days in St. Francis, the , City kicks in $35,000." Davis, "They are going to be decreasing that every year, on a sliding scale. But, that is still a substantial contribution." Koller, "And we put in $2,500."

Moegerle, "This came up before the EDA, and there is a short history of this. Not all cities contributes to this. EDA did look at this. I did ask, I talked to Darry. She said give me more information, what would the Chamber get, what would a business get. And, I said I am sure they would get their name up emblazoned over Booster Day as a sponsor. It was my idea and I owe her an e-mail to find out what she thinks. Between now and the time that we have to complete the budget, I don't expect an answer. And the misnomer of Booster Day Fireworks, these are really the Relief Association Fireworks. They occur at the dance and in any case so there is that. I did have a question with regard to the availability for grants for fire outreach programs?" DeRoche, "I take it I am done? You jumped right in and starting talking." Moegerle, "Well you asked a question." DeRoche, "The budget for the EDA is $133,000. What is anticipated for the EDA?" Davis, "There is $56,000 is transferred for staff salaries and do EDA functions. Another $57,000 is unobligated funds, so they can be used as things come up during the year that we would be required to do economic expenditures on. That is where the $10,000 could be cut from. Then $34,000 is Professional Service Fees, they pay to host the website $4,500 and the rest is unobligated. We can cut this, but if the need arises, then a transfer would need to be made from the general fund." Ronning, "Once a budget is finalized, what is the approval process for those unallocated funds?" Davis, "Anything the EDA proposes to do has to be approved by the City Council. DeRoche, "But, that like everything else, sometimes things just get pushed ahead without the complete EDA's approval. In fact the last couple meetings, since I was accused of stopping the waterpark project, but it was stopped at the EDA meeting. There was talk about a feasibility study. Once a camel gets his nose under the tent, it doesn't take much to get the rest under there. I think if some of this stuff was handled at a work meeting first, instead of a City Council meeting and some of this gets hashed out, I think it would be much better. And that just hasn't happened. Public Works overtime, I think that can't even be a consideration. You know the first time it snows and we say, sorry, we can't plow, we cut out the overtime for Public Works. I think the fall out for that is going to be pretty bad. And, I think it could be a safety problem." Davis, "I totally agree. A lot of these were put in there to show that if there are cuts, there will be deep consequences. There will be issues if you cut the budget so far, other things come into play. If you cut the Public Works overtime, that means they would go out, plow for eight hours and go home and come back tomorrow. The reason we are discussing these, is because we are getting into the categories where these cuts need to be looked at very closely, look at what the consequences are and see if there is value in making those reductions."

DeRoche, 'Fire Department Outreach Programs, isn't that what that shindig was last weekend was?" Davis, 'Yes, that is part of it. Probably the biggest part is what do in the schools for fire prevention. And also what they do for recruitment, such as the explorer program, for the future." DeRoche, "I will go into more in my Council report. But, it is like the meeting with businesses. We have the assessment and all the money we owe. I

can't blame staff, because you were tasked to come up with a way to pay the money we owe. But, to stick it with these businesses is kind of like you 13 are on the hook here. People know the project went through. Without it, we wouldn't be sitting here trying to figure out how we are going to come up with 17.5% max on a levy."

Moegerle, "With the Fire Department Outreach Programs, I am looking at Fire.org and their grants and funding. Unlike nails and the solution to every problem is not a hammer, I know we have worked through the SAFER Grant and I am not suggesting that Mark has not looked for grants, but, I would certainly hope that is being done. Because it looks like there are grants and safety programs, particularly for children. I am probably being confused by a contract from December 15, 2010, but in regard to the travel that you are suggesting to be cut, isn't that a part of your contract?" Davis, "No, that is just mileage I turn in for trips that are taken." Moegerle, "I am really concerned about cutting the Professional Service Fess for $3,000 as well as the EDA for because comp plan amendments, those expenses we don't know about. In addition, I think we need to add into this a membership to Metro Cities. I think that the article in the Star Tribune talked about the need for East Bethel to come to the Met Council and ask for help and I think it was made very clear that Metro Cities can in fact, be an aid to what we choose to do. My suggestion was we cut all budgets by 2% to 3%, and as the public we haven't heard the results of that. And we were each schooled on that privately. I still haven't changed my position on that with regard to certain departments, particularly under our circumstances. But that is a concern to me. I think I made myself clear to the fireworks issue. I did talk to the president of the Chamber and she is interested in finding out what benefits accrue to whoever takes over that $2,500. At the Parks Commission meetings what we heard from the public is there are no park benches or tables, so here you go. That would be a place where those monies could go, a benefit to the some parks, not all parks. A question I have about the general fund balance transfer, do we have a projection as to what we will have in the general fund balance at the end of the year? Is it $200,000 or do we have a real number?" Davis, "We are projecting $200,000 it could be + or -10% but it will be somewhere around there." Moegerle, "Okay, one of the things we discussed at Parks was with regard to Trails is they are usually matching grants. It was discussed with us about Anoka County coming in and doing a Master Parks and Trails Plan. It is matching grant funds and the same way with Met Council through Anoka County. I don't want to see that savaged. I can see reducing it some. I am also concerned about reducing the Parks Transfer another $25,000. We need to find a happy medium with regard to that. I would rather see you split the $25,000 between the both of them than reduce the Parks Capital Transfer by 50% it really savages that budget. I think that is a little tough when the Trails Capital Fund hasn't changed." Davis, "The Trails Capital Fund wasn't funded last year and the year before it was a $5,000 transfer. For two years previous to that it was funded at $62,500. One thing to consider in Parks also, is we do have a concept plan for residential development that will be paying a parks dedication fee that will go into the parks development fund. That could potentially be a couple hundred thousand dollars. So, there will be some means to replenish this fund through development."

Ronning, "I have been breaking this down by category and then sections within the category and then treat it as how many percent within that category. The biggest item, 34.14% is the pay we get. There is commissions and boards, that is 22% of it, professional service fees is $12,000, dues and subscriptions $16,380, that is 19%. I have been looking for the low hanging fruit if there is any." Davis, "In that budget category, the only thing that can be considered is the equipment replacement and the conferences. The salaries are set, the professional's services fees are basically what we pay to the League of Minnesota Cities for membership, the boards and commissions, Sunrise and Upper Rum River Watershed and statutorily we have to pay for those." Ronning, "Dues and subscriptions, $16,080." Davis,

DRAFT

"The League, Alexandria House, and a few others. And professional service fees is what we pay for Municode."

Moegerle, "Is there a way we could get this updated through November? What we have is through May. We have expenses through May of 2013 actual. Not as a paper copy, but it would be interesting to see. The other thing is we haven't talked about opportunity cost. Last year we talked about going paperless. Some of the people on Parks and Planning are very willing to go paperless. It is the opportunity cost. We got a lot of grief last Wednesday that the 2012 Budget wasn't on there. Are we using our time appropriately. It is the same way with minutes. I spoke with Wendy about the minutes. How long did it take you to get the minutes done? I think the verbatim minutes are extremely costly. I don't want to micromanage, but are the residents getting what they pay for when the minutes are typed? Or are there other areas that the staff could be working on? And it is a philosophical discussion."

Ronning, "I spoke with Jack at the last meeting about ongoing utility usage and I think you said that there is a way to, some kind of an energy audit. These LEDs are supposed to run for like 20 years or more. They are expensive, but I am starting to put them in our house, because the cost of running them is way less expensive. Our electric and gas utilities, that is where the biggest savings is at home." Davis, "And just like at home, energy is a big part of what we pay. We pay it at this building, the public works building, fire stations buildings, the sewer plant, water plant. We did have an energy audit done I think in 2009. It might be time to have one done again." Ronning, "Do you have timed thermostats? If it is unoccupied then it doesn't need to be 70 degrees." Davis, "We do have timed thermostats here at City Hall." Moegerle, "You have timed thermostats, but everybody has their own fans and own heaters. We can send a man to the moon but we can't keep an office at 72 degrees. So, the question is are you saving anything by doing that? In general, yes, but when each one has their own heater or fan?" Ronning, "I was thinking about when it was unoccupied." Davis, "When you come in here on Monday morning, it is usually pretty chilly." Ronning, "I am looking at some unidentified savings." Davis, "It has been quite a while sense it has been done. Connexus did the last one, and I don't think there is a fee for it. We can contact them and see." Ronning, "Windows and doors would probably be a big one."

DeRoche, "Trails Capital Fund, there was a comment made about matching grants being available. Well there probably is. But, there is matching grants for a lot of things. So they say you put in a $100,000 and we will put in $100,000. We are at the point and we had talked about this with parks, were there are some parks that people just don't use. It came up at the Roads Commission that there is a major park within three blocks of that place so why would we want to put another park in? Why not take some of that money, does it have to go into land?" Davis, "We don't want any more land right now, we want to stick by cash for the foreseeable future. I thought we didn't want trails right now. It is like saying it is free money, but no, it is not. If we are looking at cutting things, such as our part-time seasonal people, who is going to maintain this stuff? As far as opportunity stuff, that makes me chuckle a little bit. I see the verbatim minutes came up again, that should be a dead issue, and it should remain that way for a while. How much staff time was spent on the waterpark? And, then we were told it only cost a postage stamp. But, we had the opportunity to save money. Tom had mentioned something about the newsletter. Hey, some people don't have it. Look at Harriet Olson, she moved across the street and now she doesn't have cable, and all she has is the newsletter. We have to keep people in tune with things going on. I got a problem with cutting that out." Ronning, "Some of these areas the overtime is insurance, you might not want to have it. It is like life insurance, you don't want

to use it, but, it is worth it." Davis, "Snowplowing is a priority, it is a public safety issue. The reason it was listed is to show the deep consequences and secondary effects." Ronning, "I would really hate to see them go. If you don't use them, they end up in general fund." DeRoche, "Wasn't part of why our rating was up was the way our money was managed." Davis, "It has to do with the way it is managed. It has to do with our fund balance is over what the state auditor recommends and our overall fiscal policies. Which Council manages and staff manages."

Davis, "I would like to go over a couple things on this handout, pertaining not only 2014, but also down the road. There is a table labeled levy options for 2014 and currently the preliminary levy was submitted to the County and it indicated a 17.5% increase. That has been decreased to 17.2% and that is reflective of the decrease in personnel costs in Community Development and the replacement of the Receptionist with another person. If we choose to select those line item budget items that I recommended as minimum reductions, that would be a $44,600 which would be a decrease down to 16.1%. If we took all of those it would reduce $88,000 and it would take it down to 15.2%. If we took those reductions and combined them with the onetime reduction, which is the 2005B bond fund balance what we anticipate to have is a general fund surplus of over 50% and trails capital fund that would decrease the levy to a 4.8% increase. The one drawback to that is $464,000 of that is they are one and done. If we use them this year, we take care of a problem, but we will be in the same situation the next year. I would caution us to consider how we use the surplus funds and capital funds, I would recommend that we use them to address issues in 2016, 2017 and 2018. Do what we can to affect as much reduction in this years, and if we can get it down to 15% that is optimistic."

Moegerle, "This is how I am challenged when I hear that. Okay if you raise the taxes now and you don't raise them next year, as opposed to ½ each year, I don't think we really heard about how people feel about it. I don't know if that is an election based view. I am really torn, as usual I see things both ways. I am hoping in our survey we sent out and that was on the website we get more information." Davis, "On that survey, we only got 19 responses. So there is no sample size to even go by there. And, I think most people that were hear on Thursday were concentrating on 2014. That is the one that looms. It would be good if we could make those jumps smaller, but if we do, we are going to have to use those resources and we are going to owe 1.3 million dollars over and above these bond payments in 2016 and 2017. If we can keep these funds until that time we would have the resources with SAC and WAC to tackle the issue."

Moegerle, "Let's talk about this lateral benefit issue and that is not the way to balance this budget. But how does staff look at that revenue, that has not been summarized on the impact on the budgets?" Davis, "If we adopted the maximum assessment, we would recover about $90,000 a year over the 20 year term. It is about 20% of what we need this year. If we modify it, it is not going to make or break this thing. It is not a critical component. I did present an outline recommendation for the 2014 budget to consider at a minimum the $44,600 in reductions for the 2014 budget. And again, Tom has brought up some areas we can look at for reductions, Heidi has brought up some, Ron has brought up some, Bob has brought up some and we need to consolidate these and see what is acceptable. I think we also should postpone any Park Capital Improvement projects for 2014. That doesn't mean we need to take that money, but from a perception point, it would be better if we don't do any projects in 2014. Also, look at escrowing 2005B bond fund balance in excess of 50% and Trails Capital Fund to address the 2016 payment. I think we need to escrow all the City SAC and WAC in 2014 and 2015 to address the 2016 payment. Continue to address the 2010 A & B Bonds. Continue working on the political and

DRAFT

administrative issues with MCES that could address our obligations and enhance our economic development. These are just a very general outline of things that we kind of need to concentrate on. If anyone else has a list or any suggestions, we certainly need to put them on there." Ronning, "How many more meetings are we forecasting for this?" Davis, "We can do it in one, or we can have a couple more. We have set the date to send it to the county on December 4th."

Staff Reports – City Administrator

Nothing.

Council Member Report – DeRoche

DeRoche, "I have a few things I want to address. I have a little handout, Heidi you were giving them away. East Bethel City Council Property Tax Payment for Their Homes. And then at the end how they voted on the preliminary budget, 17.5% tax increase. I guess what I am missing on here is if you were looking for this information, "Bob has that tax, why does he have that tax?" Did you happen to look on the Anoka County website and see that in 2008 the governor signed a bill that was basically a property tax exclusion for disabled veterans? That I filed for and what was excluded was the $300 for the Coon Lake Improvement District. I am not ashamed that I am a disabled vet, I am proud that I went and served as young as I was. And this is one of the very few things that was offered to vets. Especially of that time era. And I find it a slap in the face to say, "He doesn't have a problem raising taxes, because he doesn't pay property taxes." I would go back anytime and take my service disability and just pay taxes. If you have never been in the service, Tom has, I have discussed this with Tom, and I have discussed this with a lot of people. My biggest thing was, I have had people say, "Gee Bob if you don't have a dog in the fight why are you trying to save the residents money? Why are you the one that voted against the sewer and water? Which by the way if two other parties would have done that, we wouldn't be discussing this 17.5% levy we are trying to cover. And between the slander and the Facebook comments, I think this is unbecoming of a Council person. If you want to personally attack me that is fine. But, to do it in a public forum and on social media, I think it does stuff out, you need to get your facts straight. At least give people the opportunity to find out what it really means. I have no problem explaining it to people. In fact, I got three calls before I came here. Apparently there was more than a letter sent out. I said here it is, I have nothing to hide.

On a lighter note, the fire department open house was a great time. Eric floated in the tank in his Gumby suit for quite a while. There was a Chili contest. Jack was in there when I got there. Ron came. I got roosted into being a judge. It was good. Good turnout, the kids had a great time. The weather was cloudy, but it was a good deal. It is unfortunate that we can't call the 2010 Council and say, "You guys put us here, you figure out the budget. I don't want to pass it on to someone else. I think people are figuring it out. We have to come up with something, but it has to be a balance. We can't just stick the businesses with it, we can't just stick the residents with it. At some point, next Wednesday is that what we decided we are going to do? I would prefer a work meeting, over a special meeting, to maybe come to a consensus, so that when we show up to a Council meeting and we know where we are going."

Council Member Report – Koller

Koller, "I went to the Fire Department on Monday and they are training on all the new trucks. Went to the Open House. I think we have a Booster Day meeting tomorrow."

Council

Ronning, "I have had some questions about this service road. There were some issues

Member Report – Ronning

regarding easements. Has there been any cost changes?" Jochum, "As of right now we are waiting on MnDOT to finalize our plans and grant. The easements are all done and in place.." Ronning, "Are we on target for easement cost?" Jochum, "The initial estimate was $300,000 when we applied for the grant. I think we spent about $190,000." Ronning, "And I have heard the cost is up about $100,000 for our share?" Jochum, "We won't know that until we bid it." Ronning, "What would happen to the easement fees if it didn't go through?" Jochum, "We have already signed them, so you would own them. You can't get the project signed unless you get the easements. You have to move forward at some point and decide to do it or not." Ronning, "I tried to not do it earlier."

Ronning, "I was surprised to see this. The only purpose I can see is to make a comparison of the five Council Members. What did you have in mind when you put this together?" Moegerle, "Answering Harley's question? He asked do all of you pay property taxes. And last week I gave him a copy. Some other people asked. There was no ulterior motive. There are folks that look for them. But I think it is an interesting fact." Ronning, "It is pretty suspect." Moegerle, "Why?" Ronning, "If someone wants to know what I pay for property taxes I don't mind telling them." Moegerle, "And I don't think any of us do. But, he didn't have the access to it." Ronning, "Harley would have asked me if I paid property taxes. He has never been too proud to ask me anything." Moegerle, "And you know, I didn't know what the exact number was so I wrote it down and said you can hang on to this if you like. For whatever it is worth." DeRoche, "Did he ask for the preliminary tax?" Moegerle, "It was in context to the taxes. So, that was what his statement was at the public forum. He asked for it, I got it."

Ronning, "Why didn't you give us copies?" Moegerle, "Because he asked for it. You can have one." Ronning, "You did it about us, why didn't you give us a copy? There are other people that have copies." Moegerle, "Because I am sure you know what it was." Ronning, "No, I didn't know what it was." Moegerle, "If you weren't interested, why would you ask for it?" Ronning, "If I did something about all of us, I would make sure and give all of us a copy of it. Probably before I made it public. I hope you are uncomfortable about this." Moegerle, "Not at all." Ronning, "This is one of those election deals, look at me. It is more than peculiar that you put this together to pass around and don't let us know. Don't give us a copy of it." Moegerle, "Harley asked all of us a question while we were sitting here. And, I respond to peoples requests just like you guys all went over to see about the outdoor stairway, I wasn't invited. I didn't know, I didn't go over there. It happens, it is life guys, give it a break. Somebody asked, I put the information together, its objective, its factual, there are no comments, nothing is said one way or the other, and it answered Harley's questions." Ronning, "It is pretty well left up for interpretation. I still don't understand why we weren't included in the concept." Moegerle, "I wasn't included in the outdoor stairway." Ronning, "The outdoor stairway came before the City. It was a conscious decision not to bring it up." Moegerle, "You spend a lot more time thinking about me then I do about you. It didn't even occur to me." Ronning, "Who else got copies?" Moegerle, "I don't know, I will try to remember and put together a list for you." Ronning, "Thank you for showing that I pay more property tax than anyone else." Moegerle, 'It is public information."

Council Member Report – Moegerle

Moegerle, "What is the status of the noise ordinance? That Ms. Kinsley wanted us to follow up on?" Davis, "We are working on it." Moegerle, "I was thinking Coon Lake Beach where I live, when there are fireworks if they could be done by 10pm that would be good. What is the status of the emergency response situation for Council. We had Mark here and if all of us die a resident takes over." Davis, "We thought we would get though the budget process first." Moegerle, "What is the status of Cell Tower Lease." Davis, "That will be

DRAFT

on the next Council agenda. American Tower has agreed to all the terms that the City Attorney has recommended. And, they have agreed to put that in the contract." DeRoche, "And did that include the deletion part?" Vierling, "Yes." DeRoche, "Alright Mark."

Moegerle, "The Star Tribune article, Met Council suggested that East Bethel meet with them and ask for what they want?" Vierling, "I am not aware that this is litigation." Moegerle, "When can we start meeting on that or are we going to wait until the first of the year like it said in the Star Tribune article?" Davis, "We can initiate the contact with them at any time. The pressing issue is this budget. I am sure we are going to have to schedule this out a month in advance." Moegerle, "I am not sure it would not be bad for them to know about the issues we are having with our budget. At the last meeting, when people came about the tax increase, we had some comments about the website. Could we have this also on the work meeting. Fact that we have agenda and meetings, but not packets. Thinking those kinds of things, are they a functional issue. What can we do." Davis, "The 2012 Budget is on there. We can post anything that is not available contact City staff." Moegerle, "At the Park Commission, they are all so quiet. And it brought up the issue they mentioned it was so much more helpful and free flowing if we worked in Booster West. Is there a possibility that we will get videotaping in that room?" Davis, "If we want to spend about $20,000 doing it." Moegerle, "What about our franchise fees?" Davis, "As those are replenished, we can look at this." Moegerle, "At our last LGO meeting, the County Attorney passed out a DVD on Elder Abuse. Do we need to pass a resolution to get that on the cable channel?" Davis, "No I will get that on there." Moegerle, "I met the manager of Walgreens at Highway 65 and 242. And we got talking about East Bethel and he said his was the last one before Cambridge. He said he was looking at East Bethel and I said we were working on developing on Highway 65 and Viking. So, that is good. Tomorrow is the CLIA meeting. Frankly I don't have ulterior motives."

Moegerle, "How about we set the work meeting for 6:30 p.m. on Wednesday, October 23rd." Ronning, "Sure that will work."

Ronning, "I have something else. You said that Harley asked about this and the purpose of this was to respond on what our taxes were? Did he ask on how we voted on the taxes?" Moegerle, "He asked do you all pay property taxes." Ronning, "He knows we do. If he was asking about us paying taxes why would you put the voting record." Moegerle, "What are you worried about, this is just facts." Ronning, "Everyone draw your own conclusions, this is just facts. I would respectfully ask that next time you are doing this, let us know you are doing it." Moegerle, "Call me up and ask." Ronning, "I am not going to call, I just expect that you will let us know you are doing something like this." Moegerle, "Absolutely not." Ronning, "So you are doing it behind our backs." Moegerle, "I do not report to you." Ronning, "No you don't. But, unless I ask you about something I don't know about, you won't tell me."

Adjourn **Ronning made a motion to adjourn at 11:07 p.m. DeRoche seconded; all in favor, motion carries unanimously.**

Attest:

Wendy Warren
Deputy City Clerk

DRAFT

SECTION I: LIABILITY COVERAGE WAIVER FORM

Cities obtaining liability coverage from the League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust must decide whether or not to waive the statutory tort liability limits to the extent of the coverage purchased. The decision to waive or not to waive the statutory limits has the following effects:

- *If the city does not waive the statutory tort limits*, an individual claimant would be able to recover no more than $500,000. on any claim to which the statutory tort limits apply. The total which all claimants would be able to recover for a single occurrence to which the statutory tort limits apply would be limited to $1,500,000. These statutory tort limits would apply regardless of whether or not the city purchases the optional excess liability coverage.

- *If the city waives the statutory tort limits and does not purchase excess liability coverage*, a single claimant could potentially recover up to $1,500,000. on a single occurrence. The total which all claimants would be able to recover for a single occurrence to which the statutory tort limits apply would also be limited to $1,500,000., regardless of the number of claimants.

- *If the city waives the statutory tort limits and purchases excess liability coverage*, a single claimant could potentially recover an amount up to the limit of the coverage purchased. The total which all claimants would be able to recover for a single occurrence to which the statutory tort limits apply would also be limited to the amount of coverage purchased, regardless of the number of claimants.

Claims to which the statutory municipal tort limits do not apply are not affected by this decision.

This decision must be made by the city council. **Cities purchasing coverage must complete and return this form to LMCIT before the effective date of the coverage.** For further information, contact LMCIT. You may also wish to discuss these issues with your city attorney.

The City of East Bethel accepts liability coverage limits of $ 2,500,000.00 from the League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust (LMCIT).

Check one:

[x] The city **DOES NOT WAIVE** the monetary limits on municipal tort liability established by Minnesota Statutes 466.04.

[ ] The city **WAIVES** the monetary limits on tort liability established by Minnesota Statutes 466.04, to the extent of the limits of the liability coverage obtained from LMCIT.

Date of city council meeting 11/6/2013

Signature_____ Position_____

Return this completed form to LMCIT, 145 University Ave. W., St. Paul, MN. 55103-2044

FINAL PAYMENT
CITY OF EAST BETHEL
Sandy Drive Seal Coat Project

September 19, 2013

Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of East Bethel
2241 - 221st Avenue N.E.
East Bethel, MN 55011

RE: Sandy Drive Seal Coat Project
Contractor: Pearson Brothers, Inc.
Contract Amount: $55,631.05
Award Date: August 15, 2012
Completion Date: July 2, 2013

Dear Honorable Mayor and Council Members:

The following work has been completed on the above-referenced project by Pearson Brothers, Inc.

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	CONTRACT AMOUNT	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
1	MOBILIZATION	1	LUMP SUM	$0.00	$ -	1	$ -
2	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR FOG SEAL	3,754	GALLON	$2.65	$ 9,948.10	2,726	$ 7,223.90
3	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR SEAL COAT	7,797	GALLON	$3.29	$ 25,652.13	8,440	$ 27,767.60
4	SEAL COAT AGGREGATE (FA-2) - TRAP ROCK	318	TON	$62.99	$ 20,030.82	250	$ 15,747.50
5	TRAFFIC CONTROL	1	LUMP SUM	$0.00	$ -	1	$ -

Total Work Completed to Date **$ 50,739.00**

WE RECOMMEND FINAL PAYMENT OF: **$ 50,739.00**

Please verify the amount of previous payments and the receipt of the following items prior to making payment.
1. Certificate of claims payment (lien waiver).
2. Affidavit of payment of state taxes (MN State Tax Form IC 134).
3. Letter of consent from surety firm.

APPROVALS:

CONTRACTOR: PEARSON BROTHERS, INC.

Certification by Contractor: I certify that all items and amounts are correct for the work completed to date.

Signed: Jack E Pearson

Title: President Date 9/30/13

ENGINEER: HAKANSON ANDERSON

Certification by Engineer: We recommend payment for work and quantities as shown.

Signed: Tim Eff

Title: PROJECT ENGINEER Date 9/20/13

OWNER: CITY OF EAST BETHEL

Signed: _____

Title: _____ Date _____

PAY ESTIMATE #1
CITY OF EAST BETHEL
Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement Project

October 25, 2013

Honorable Mayor & City Council
City of East Bethel
2241 - 221st Avenue N.E.
East Bethel, MN 55011-9631

RE: Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement project
Contractor: North Metro Asphalt & Contracting

The following work has been completed on the above-referenced project by North Metro Asphalt & Contracting.

1. Schedule A - Base Bid

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
1	MOBILIZATION	1	LUMP SUM	$12,500.00	1.00	$ 12,500.00
2	REMOVE BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	997	SQ YD	$3.20	1,171	$ 3,747.20
3	REMOVE CONCRETE PAVEMENT	150	SQ FT	$3.40	76	$ 258.40
4	REMOVE CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER	200	LIN FT	$4.00	348	$ 1,392.00
5	REMOVE CONCRETE SIDEWALK	2,400	SQ FT	$1.25	3,288	$ 4,110.00
6	REMOVE HYDRANT AND VALVE AND BOX	3	EACH	$800.00	3	$ 2,400.00
7	SAWCUT CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER	95	LIN FT	$3.80	157	$ 596.60
8	SAWCUT CONCRETE SIDEWALK	950	LIN FT	$3.60	328	$ 1,180.80
9	SAWCUT BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	200	LIN FT	$3.20		$ -
10	AGGREGATE BASE CLASS 5	416	TON	$16.00		$ -
11	MILL BITUMINOUS SURFACE (ALL DEPTHS)	4,855	SQ YD	$2.75		$ -
12	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT	1,130	GALLONS	$3.00		$ -
13	TYPE SP 12.5 WEARING COURSE MIXTURE (2,B)	2,056	TON	$62.50		$ -
14	TYPE SP 12.5 NON WEARING COURSE MIXTURE (2,B)	135	TON	$80.00		$ -
15	TYPE SP 12.5 NON WEARING COURSE MIXTURE (2,B) - PATCH	35	TON	$95.00		$ -
16	4" CONCRETE WALK	3,160	SQ FT	$3.35	1,412	$ 4,730.20
17	CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER DESIGN SURMOUNTABLE	420	LIN FT	$16.75	259	$ 4,338.25
18	8" CONCRETE DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT	150	SQ FT	$5.90		$ -
19	HAUL & DISPOSE EXCESS MATERIAL (EV)	237	CU YD	$10.00	56	$ 560.00
20	TRAFFIC CONTROL	1	LUMP SUM	$4,000.00	1	$ 4,000.00
21	CONSTRUCT STORM STRUCTURE DESIGN 48-4020	1	EACH	$2,150.00	1	$ 2,150.00
22	STORM SEWER CASTING	4	EACH	$587.00	3	$ 1,761.00
23	DUCTILE IRON FITTINGS	300	POUND	$4.00		$ -
24	6" WATERMAIN DUCTILE IRON CL 52	30	LIN FT	$90.00	9	$ 810.00
25	ADJUST VALVE BOX	6	EACH	$190.00	2	$ 380.00
26	ADJUST MANHOLE FRAME	48	EACH	$208.00		$ -
27	REPAIR VALVE BOX	9	EACH	$500.00	9	$ 4,500.00
28	REPLACE VALVE BOX	2	EACH	$766.00	2	$ 1,532.00
29	HYDRANT AND 6 INCH GATE VALVE AND BOX	3	EACH	$4,700.00	3	$ 14,100.00
30	DEWATERING	1	LUMP SUM	$8,515.00		$ -
31	TURF ESTABLISHMENT	1	LUMP SUM	$3,000.00		$ -
			Total Base Bid			**$ 65,046.45**

PAY ESTIMATE #1
CITY OF EAST BETHEL
Whispering Aspen Street Surface Improvement Project

2. Schedule B - Alternate Bid No. 1

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
32	MOBILIZATION	1	LUMP SUM	$4,800.00	1	$ 4,800.00
33	REMOVE BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	1,490	SQ YD	$3.20	1,490	$ 4,768.00
34	REMOVE CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER	570	LIN FT	$4.00	570	$ 2,280.00
35	AGGREGATE BASE CLASS 5	581	TON	$16.00		$ -
36	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT	83	GALLONS	$3.00		$ -
37	TYPE SP 12.5 NON WEARING COURSE MIXTURE (2,B)	236	TON	$70.00		$ -
38	4" CONCRETE WALK	2,521	SQ FT	$3.35		$ -
39	CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER DESIGN SURMOUNTABLE	570	LIN FT	$16.75		$ -
40	HAUL & DISPOSE EXCESS MATERIAL (EV)	366	CU YD	$10.00	1,894	$ 18,940.00
41	TRAFFIC CONTROL	1	LUMP SUM	$1,000.00		$ -
42	CONNECT TO EXISTING SANITARY SEWER	8	EACH	$545.00	8	$ 4,360.00
43	4" PVC SANITARY SERVICE PIPE SDR 26	279	LIN FT	$21.00	282	$ 5,922.00
44	6" WATERMAIN DUCTILE IRON CL 52	20	LIN FT	$90.00		$ -
45	CONNECT TO EXISTING WATERMAIN	8	EACH	$1,180.00	8	$ 9,440.00
46	1" CORPORATION STOP	8	EACH	$276.00	8	$ 2,208.00
47	1" CURB STOP & BOX	8	EACH	$350.00	8	$ 2,800.00
48	1" TYPE K COPPER PIPE	230	LIN FT	$19.00	208	$ 3,952.00
49	4" POLYSTYRENE INSULATION	124	SQ YD	$29.00	124	$ 3,596.00
50	DEWATERING	1	LUMP SUM	$4,635.00		$ -
51	TURF ESTABLISHMENT	1	LUMP SUM	$1,000.00		$ -
		Total Alternate Bid No. 1				**$ 63,066.00**

Total Base Bid	**$ 65,046.45**
Total Alternate Bid No. 1	**$ 63,066.00**
Total Work Completed to Date	**$ 128,112.45**
Less 5% Retainage:	**$ 6,405.62**
WE RECOMMEND PAYMENT OF:	**$ 121,706.83**

APPROVALS:

CONTRACTOR: NORTH METRO ASPHALT & CONTRACTING

Certification by Contractor: I certify that all items and amounts are correct for the work completed to date.

Signed:_____

Title:_____ Date_____

ENGINEER: HAKANSON ANDERSON

Certification by Engineer: We recommend payment for work and quantities as shown.

Signed:_____

Title: City Engineer Date 10/30/13

OWNER: CITY OF EAST BETHEL

Signed:_____

Title:_____ Date_____

PAY ESTIMATE #2
CITY OF EAST BETHEL
Castle Towers/Whispering Aspen 2013 Forcemain Project

October 24, 2013

City of East Bethel
2241 - 221st Avenue N.E.
East Bethel, MN 55011-9631

RE: Castle Towers/Whispering Aspen 2013 Forcemain Project
Contractor: LaTour Construction, Inc.
Award Date: July 11, 2013
Completion Date: December 15, 2013

The following work has been completed on the above-referenced project by LaTour Construction, Inc.

Base Bid Schedule "A" - Removals

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
1	Clearing	0.80	ACRE	$4,200.00	1.06	$ 4,452.00
2	Grubbing	0.80	ACRE	$4,200.00	1.06	$ 4,452.00
3	Clearing	32	TREE	$105.00	24	$ 2,520.00
4	Grubbing	32	TREE	$105.00	24	$ 2,520.00
5	Remove Sidewalk	51	SQ YD	$6.25		$ -
6	Remove Watermain and Forcemain	60	LIN FT	$5.00		$ -
7	Remove Concrete Curb and Gutter	85	LIN FT	$5.05	14	$ 70.70
8	Remove Bituminous Pavement and Bituminous Curb	749	SQ YD	$4.00	704	$ 2,816.00
9	Cap Existing Forcemain Pipe	1	LUMP SUM	$630.00		$ -
10	Sawing Bituminous Pavement (Full Depth)	312	LIN FT	$3.25	276	$ 897.00
11	Mill Bituminous Surface	81	SQ YD	$10.45		$ -
12	Salvage Metal Flared End Section and Grate	1	EACH	$130.00	1	$ 130.00
13	Salvage Storm Sewer	20	LIN FT	$7.50	20	$ 150.00
14	Salvage Signs	20	EACH	$90.00	5	$ 450.00
15	Salvage Chain link Fence	141	LIN FT	$6.25	141	$ 881.25
16	Salvage Gate	3	EACH	$128.00		$ -
17	Salvage Valve Box	2	EACH	$90.00		$ -
		Total Bid Schedule "A"				**$ 19,338.95**

Base Bid Schedule "B" Forcemain and Gravity Sewer

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
18	Stabilizing Aggregate (LV)	100	CU YD	$36.00		$ -
19	Granular Borrow (CV)	400	CU YD	$16.50	98	$ 1,617.00
20	Aggregate Backfill (CV)	550	CU YD	$36.50	67	$ 2,445.50
21	Geotextile Filter Type IV	2,200	SQ YD	$2.45	134	$ 328.30
22	Ductile Iron Fittings	3,330	POUND	$5.60	1,067	$ 5,975.20
23	8" PVC Sanitary Sewer - SDR 35	38	LIN FT	$78.50		$ -
24	10" PVC Sanitary Sewer - SDR 26	20	LIN FT	$98.00		$ -
25	12" PVC Sanitary Sewer - SDR 26	92	LIN FT	$114.00		$ -
26	12" DIP Sanitary Sewer - Class 52	20	LIN FT	$173.00		$ -
27	10" PVC Plug	1	EACH	$185.00		$ -
28	12" PVC Plug	1	EACH	$190.00		$ -
29	4" PVC Sewer Forcemain (DR 18)	22	LIN FT	$24.00		$ -
30	6" PVC Sewer Forcemain (DR 18)	1,590	LIN FT	$19.00		$ -
31	8" PVC Sewer Forcemain (DR 18)	6,997	LIN FT	$20.50		$ -
32	10" PVC Sewer Forcemain (DR 18)	9,133	LIN FT	$24.25	8,915	$ 216,188.75
33	Steel Casing Pipe - Jacked - 8" Carrier Pipe	120	LIN FT	$264.00		$ -
34	Steel Casing Pipe - Jacked - 10" Carrier Pipe	120	LIN FT	$282.00	120	$ 33,840.00
35	6" PVC Sewer (DR 18) - Directional Drill	70	LIN FT	$51.75		$ -
36	8" PVC Sewer (DR 18) - Directional Drill	1,869	LIN FT	$45.75		$ -

PAY ESTIMATE #2
CITY OF EAST BETHEL
Castle Towers/Whispering Aspen 2013 Forcemain Project

Base Bid Schedule "B" Forcemain and Gravity Sewer - Continued

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
37	10" PVC Sewer (DR 18) - Directional Drill	400	LIN FT	$57.30	490	$ 28,077.00
38	Meter Manhole -4" Meter	1	EACH	$12,150.00		$ -
39	Meter Manhole -8" Meter	1	EACH	$19,400.00		$ -
40	Forcemain Cleanout Type 1 - 8" Forcemain	2	EACH	$8,755.00		$ -
41	Forcemain Cleanout Type 1 - 10" Forcemain	3	EACH	$9,775.00	3	$ 29,325.00
42	Forcemain Cleanout Type 2 - 8" Forcemain	1	EACH	$8,345.00		$ -
43	Forcemain Cleanout Type 2 - 10" Forcemain	1	EACH	$10,500.00	1	$ 10,500.00
44	Air/Vacuum Release Valve Manhole - 8" Forcemain	6	EACH	$11,100.00		$ -
45	Air/Vacuum Release Valve Manhole - 10" Forcemain	3	EACH	$12,125.00	3	$ 36,375.00
46	8" Gate Valve and Box	7	EACH	$1,610.00		$ -
47	10" Gate Valve and Box	8	EACH	$2,285.00	8	$ 18,280.00
48	Tracer Wire	21,959	LIN FT	$0.35	12,092	$ 4,232.20
49	Access Boxes For Tracer Wire	22	EACH	$91.00	7	$ 637.00
50	Passive Electronic Markers	122	EACH	$26.50		$ -
51	Marker Posts for Access Boxes and Structures	22	EACH	$130.00		$ -
52	4" Polystyrene Insulation	504	SQ FT	$6.00	256	$ 1,536.00
53	Connect to Existing Manhole	1	EACH	$2,000.00		$ -
54	Connect to Existing Pipe	8	EACH	$1,500.00	2	$ 3,000.00
55	Clean and Video Tape Pipe Sewer	112	LIN FT	$5.25		$ -
56	Construct Sanitary Structure Design 48-4007	6	LIN FT	$450.00		$ -
57	Construct Sanitary Structure Design 48-4007 - Drop Manhole	28.5	LIN FT	$465.00		$ -
58	Casting Assembly - Sanitary Sewer	2	EACH	$860.00		$ -
59	Dewatering	1	LUMP SUM	$176,000.00	0.60	$ 105,600.00
			Total Bid Schedule "C"			**$ 497,956.95**

Total Base Bid Schedule "C" - Lift Station No. 2 Construction

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
60	Fiber Optic Cable With Schedule 40 Conduit	1,650	LIN FT	$18.00		$ -
61	Tracer Wire for Buried Fiber Optic Cable	1,680	LIN FT	$0.01		$ -
62	Access Boxes For Tracer Wire	4	EACH	$0.01		$ -
63	Marker Posts for Access Boxes and Structures	3	EACH	$129.00		$ -
64	84" Diameter Valve Manhole Structure and Appurtenances	1	EACH	$21,000.00		$ -
65	96" Diameter Sanitary Sewer Lift Station Structure and Appurtenances	1	EACH	$62,300.00		$ -
66	Portable Davit Crane With Power Winch	1	EACH	$3,350.00		$ -
67	Trash Basket With Ladder	1	EACH	$3,850.00		$ -
68	Submersible Lift Station Pump and Discharge Elbow	2	EACH	$12,250.00		$ -
69	Pump Rails, Chains, Guide Brackets and Appurtenances	1	LUMP SUM	$3,075.00		$ -
70	Control Panel, Level Floats and Transducer	1	LUMP SUM	$38,600.00		$ -
71	Generator	1	LUMP SUM	$29,000.00		$ -
72	Electrical and Startup	1	LUMP SUM	$16,000.00		$ -
73	Dewatering	1	LUMP SUM	$50,000.00	0.20	$ 10,000.00
			Total Bid Schedule "C"			**$ 10,000.00**

PAY ESTIMATE #2
CITY OF EAST BETHEL
Castle Towers/Whispering Aspen 2013 Forcemain Project

Base Bid Schedule "D" - Site Work and Storm Sewer

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
74	12" RC Pipe Sewer Design 3006 CL V	91	LIN FT	$44.30		$ -
75	15" RC Pipe Sewer Design 3006 CL V	41	LIN FT	$53.00		$ -
76	15" RC Pipe Apron	1	EACH	$640.00		$ -
77	Construct Drainage Structure Design H (27")	1	EACH	$1,400.00		$ -
78	Construct Drainage Structure Design 48-4020	1	EACH	$1,900.00		$ -
79	Aggregate Base Class 5	490	TON	$26.00	96	$ 2,496.00
80	Bituminous Material for Tack Coat	77	GALLON	$4.15		$ -
81	Type SP 9.5 Wearing Course Mixture (2,B)	179	TON	$100.00		$ -
82	Type SP 12.5 Non Wearing Course Mixture (2,B)	148	TON	$97.00		$ -
83	Concrete Curb & Gutter Design Surmountable	71	LIN FT	$18.25		$ -
84	Concrete Curb & Gutter Design B618	205	LIN FT	$18.75		$ -
85	4" Concrete Walk	459	SQ FT	$5.25		$ -
86	6" Concrete Pavement	846	SQ FT	$5.75		$ -
87	Bollard	12	EACH	$562.00		$ -
88	Coniferous Tree, 6' HT B&B	20	EACH	$236.00		$ -
89	Deciduous Tree 2.5" CAL B&B	20	EACH	$300.00		$ -
90	Random Riprap Class II	3	CU YD	$190.00		$ -
91	Floatation Silt Curtain Type Moving Water	200	LIN FT	$16.25	50	$ 812.50
92	Silt Fence Type Machine Sliced	5,000	LIN FT	$1.60	1,450	$ 2,320.00
93	Temporary Rock Construction Entrances	10	EACH	$810.00	4	$ 3,240.00
94	Topsoil Borrow (LV)	2,000	CU YD	$13.35	70	$ 934.50
95	Turf Establishment	27	ACRE	$3,050.00	17.5	$ 53,375.00
96	Erosion Control	1	LUMP SUM	$3,600.00	0.25	$ 900.00
		Total Bid Schedule "D"				**$ 64,078.00**

Bid Schedule "E" - Watermain

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
97	Ductile Iron Pipe Fittings	376	POUND	$4.65		$ -
98	6" Watermain Ductile Iron Class 52	60	LIN FT	$37.25		$ -
99	6" Gate Valve and Box	1	EACH	$1,370.00		$ -
100	Connect to Existing Watermain	2	EACH	$945.00		$ -
101	Polystyrene Insulation	32	SQ FT	$4.00		$ -
102	Hydrant	1	EACH	$4,105.00		$ -
		Total Bid Schedule "E"				**$ -**

Base Bid Schedule "F" - Mobilization, Traffic Control and Allowances

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
103	Mobilization	1	LUMP SUM	$61,400.00	0.95	$ 58,330.00
104	General Construction Allowance	1	LUMP SUM	$40,000.00		$ -
105	Private Utility Allowance	1	LUMP SUM	$50,000.00		$ -
106	System Integrator Allowance	1	LUMP SUM	$50,000.00		$ -
107	Traffic Control	1	LUMP SUM	$9,300.00	0.95	$ 8,835.00
		Total Bid Schedule "F"				**$ 67,165.00**

Alternate Bid No. 1

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
1	Connect to Existing Lift Station	1	EACH	$4,500.00		$ -
2	8" PVC Sanitary Sewer - SDR 35	547	LIN FT	$72.00		$ -
3	8" PVC Sanitary Sewer - SDR 26	965	LIN FT	$73.00		$ -
4	10" PVC Sanitary Sewer - SDR 26	125	LIN FT	$77.50		$ -
5	Clean and Video Tape Pipe Sewer	1,637	LIN FT	$1.30		$ -
6	Construct Sanitary Structure Design 48-4007	142.9	LIN FT	$211.00		$ -
7	Construct Sanitary Structure Design 48-4007 - Drop Manhole	25.9	LIN FT	$314.00		$ -
8	Casting Assembly - Sanitary Sewer	8	EACH	$860.00		$ -
9	Dewatering	1	LUMP SUM	$46,100.00		$ -
		Total Alternate Bid No. 1				**$ -**

PAY ESTIMATE #2
CITY OF EAST BETHEL
Castle Towers/Whispering Aspen 2013 Forcemain Project

Alternate Bid No. 2

ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	CONTRACT UNIT PRICE	USED TO DATE	EXTENSION
1	10" PVC Sewer Forcemain (DR 18)	6,997	LIN FT	$24.00	1,504	$ 36,096.00
2	Steel Casing Pipe - Jacked - 10" Carrier Pipe	120	LIN FT	$182.00	120	$ 21,840.00
3	10" PVC Sewer (DR 18) - Directional Drill	1,869	LIN FT	$56.75	943	$ 53,515.25
5	10" Gate Valve and Box	7	EACH	$2,300.00		$ -
4	Meter Manhole -8" Meter	1	EACH	$20,100.00		$ -
5	Forcemain Cleanout Type 1 - 10" Forcemain	2	EACH	$9,835.00	1	$ 9,835.00
6	Forcemain Cleanout Type 2 - 10" Forcemain	1	EACH	$10,445.00		$ -
7	Air/Vacuum Release Valve Manhole - 10" Forcemain	6	EACH	$12,000.00	3	$ 36,000.00
			Total Alternate Bid No. 2			**$ 157,286.25**

Bid Schedule "A"	**$ 19,338.95**
Bid Schedule "B"	**$ 497,956.95**
Bid Schedule "C"	**$ 10,000.00**
Bid Schedule "D"	**$ 64,078.00**
Bid Schedule "E"	**$ -**
Bid Schedule "F"	**$ 67,165.00**
Alternate Bid No. 1	**$ -**
Alternate Bid No. 2	**$ 157,286.25**
TOTAL	**$ 815,825.15**
Total Work Completed to Date	**$ 815,825.15**
LESS 5% RETAINAGE:	**$ 40,791.26**
LESS PAY ESTIMATE #1	**$ 160,298.01**
WE RECOMMEND PAYMENT OF:	**$ 614,735.88**

APPROVALS:

CONTRACTOR: LATOUR CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Certification by Contractor: I certify that all items and amounts are correct for the work completed to date.

Signed:_____

Title:_____ Date_____

ENGINEER: HAKANSON ANDERSON

Certification by Engineer: We recommend payment for work and quantities as shown.

Signed:_____

Title: City Engineer Date 10/30/13

OWNER: CITY OF EAST BETHEL

Signed:_____

Title:_____ Date_____

BOLTON & MENK, INC.
Consulting Engineers & Surveyors
2638 Shadow Lane, Suite 200 • Chaska, MN 55318-1172
Phone (952) 448-8838 • Fax (952) 448-8805
www.bolton-menk.com

October 31, 2013

City of East Bethel
Attn: Mr. Jack Davis
2241 221st Avenue NE
East Bethel, MN 55011

RE: Phase I, Project 1 Utility Improvements
& East Bethel Gravity Interceptor & Discharge

Honorable Mayor and City Council Members:

Enclosed is Pay Estimate No. 25 and final from S.R. Weidema for work completed on the above referenced project from July 26, 2013 through October 29, 2013.

The work associated with this estimate includes payment for previously unverified or incomplete work quantities, and release of all remaining retainage.

The project is complete and operational at this time, and all punch list items have been addressed.

The total final construction costs for the project are as follows:

MCES:	$ 7,307,078.11
County:	$ 1,992,235.72
City:	
Sewer:	$ 1,944,185.50
Water:	$ 2,497,233.65
City Total:	$ 4,441,419.15
Total:	***$13,740,732.97***

The above City costs are consistent with those used in the preparation of the assessment roll for the project.

The City costs associated with this estimate includes the City portion of the above referenced items included in this estimate.

The total amount due this estimate is $362,175.86, and is apportioned as follows:

MCES:	$ 196,597.06
County:	$ 51,319.00
City:	
Sewer:	$ (23,957.76)
Water:	$ 138,217.56
City Total:	$ 114,259.80
Total Due:	***$ 362,175.86***

At the request of City staff, the City amount due apportionment to sewer work and water work was estimated for each payment request. Upon final completion of the project, and final cost apportionment, the previous 24 payment request estimates of apportionment allocated $23,957.76 to sewer related work, which should be allocated to water related work, as noted above. It should be noted that this adjustment does not change the total City cost for the project, and is also consistent with the project costs used in preparation of the assessment roll. This information is

DESIGNING FOR A BETTER TOMORROW
Bolton & Menk is an equal opportunity employer

provided for accounting assistance to the City, as requested by City staff, and may or may not be beneficial depending on how the City is operating their sewer and water funds, relative to this project.

We have reviewed the estimate, verified the quantities and recommend acceptance of the improvements, release of all retainage, and making final payment in the amount of $362,175.86 to S.R. Weidema.

Upon acceptance of the improvements, and making final payment, the 2 year warranty period will begin. It is our understanding that the warranty bond was delivered to the City on October 30, 2013

Respectfully Submitted,

John K. Swanson
BOLTON & MENK, INC.

CONTRACTOR'S PAY REQUEST **East Bethel Gravity Interceptor & Discharge & Utility Infrastructure Project** **CITY OF EAST BETHEL, MN** **PROJECT NO. C12.100028** **Pay Estimate No. 25-FINAL**	**DISTRIBUTION:** CONTRACTOR (1) OWNER (1) ENGINEER (1) BONDING CO. (1)
TOTAL AMOUNT BID	$11,686,468.20
CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 (REVISED)	$324,949.43
CHANGE ORDER NO. 2	$43,536.10
CHANGE ORDER NO. 3	-$9,078.08
CHANGE ORDER NO. 4	$18,823.65
CHANGE ORDER NO. 5	$0.00
CHANGE ORDER NO. 6	-$137,342.33
CHANGE ORDER NO. 7	$2,414,658.18
CHANGE ORDER NO. 8	$54,245.25
CHANGE ORDER NO. 9	$193,092.02
CHANGE ORDER NO. 10	-$43,419.21
EXTRA WORK	$29,505.05
TOTAL AMOUNT BID PLUS APPROVED CHANGE ORDERS	$14,575,438.26
MCES STORED MATERIALS TO DATE	$1,294,983.05
EAST BETHEL STORED MATERIALS TO DATE	$948,118.25
TOTAL, STORED MATERIALS TO DATE	**$2,243,101.30**
DEDUCTION FOR MCES STORED MATERIALS USED IN WORK COMPLETED	$1,294,983.05
DEDUCTION FOR EAST BETHEL STORED MATERIALS USED IN WORK COMPLETED	$948,118.25
TOTAL DEDUCTION FOR STORED MATERIALS USED IN WORK COMPLETED	**$2,243,101.30**
TOTAL DUE MCES STORED MATERIALS TO DATE	$0.00
TOTAL DUE EAST BETHEL STORED MATERIALS TO DATE	$0.00
TOTAL DUE, STORED MATERIALS TO DATE	**$0.00**
TOTAL, MCES COMPLETED WORK TO DATE	$7,307,078.11
TOTAL, EAST BETHEL COMPLETED WORK TO DATE	$4,441,419.15
TOTAL, COUNTY COMPLETED WORK TO DATE	$1,992,235.72
TOTAL, COMPLETED WORK TO DATE	**$13,740,732.97**
TOTAL, COMPLETED MCES WORK & STORED MATERIALS	$7,307,078.11
TOTAL, COMPLETED EAST BETHEL WORK & STORED MATERIALS	$4,441,419.15
TOTAL, COUNTY COMPLETED WORK TO DATE	$1,992,235.72
TOTAL, COMPLETED WORK & STORED MATERIALS	**$13,740,732.97**
MCES RETAINED PERCENTAGE (0.0%)	$0.00
EAST BETHEL RETAINED PERCENTAGE (0.0%)	$0.00
COUNTY RETAINED PERCENTAGE (0.0%)	$0.00
TOTAL RETAINED PERCENTAGE (0.0%)	**$0.00**
TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE MCES TO DATE	$7,307,078.11

TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE EAST BETHEL TO DATE	$4,441,419.15
TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE COUNTY TO DATE	$1,992,235.72
TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE TO DATE	**$13,740,732.97**
TOTAL, MCES AMOUNT PAID ON PREVIOUS ESTIMATES	$7,110,481.05
TOTAL EAST BETHEL AMOUNT PAID ON PREVIOUS ESTIMATES	$4,327,159.35
TOTAL COUNTY AMOUNT PAID ON PREVIOUS ESTIMATES	$1,940,916.72
TOTAL AMOUNT PAID ON PREVIOUS ESTIMATES	**$13,378,557.12**
MCES THIS ESTIMATE	$196,597.06
EAST BETHEL THIS ESTIMATE	$114,259.80
COUNTY THIS ESTIMATE	$51,319.00
PAY CONTRACTOR AS ESTIMATE NO. 25-FINAL	**$362,175.86**

Certificate for Final Payment

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, all items quantities and prices of work and material shown on this Estimate are correct and that all work has been performed in full accordance with the terms and conditions of the Contract for this project between the Owner and the undersigned Contractor, and as amended by any authorized changes, and that the foregoing is a true and correct statement of the amount for the Final Estimate, that the provisions of M. S. 290.92 have been complied with and that all claims against me by reason of the Contract have been paid or satisfactorily secured.

Contractor: S.R. Weidema, Inc.
17600 113th Avenue North
Maple Grove, MN 55369

By _____
Name Title

Date _____

CHECKED AND APPROVED AS TO QUANTITIES AND AMOUNT:
ENGINEER: BOLTON & MENK, INC., 2638 SHADOW LANE SUITE 200 CHASKA, MN 55318

By _____, PROJECT ENGINEER

Date _____

APPROVED FOR PAYMENT:
OWNER:

By _____
Name Title Date

And _____
Name Title Date

Partial Pay Estimate No.: ***25-FINAL***

East Bethel Gravity Interceptor & Discharge & Utility Infrastructure Project

CITY OF EAST BETHEL, MN

PROJECT NO. C12.100028

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES Project No. 801602

WORK COMPLETED THROUGH OCTOBER 25, 2013

ITEM NO.		ITEM	UNIT PRICE	AS BID ESTIMATED QUANTITY		AS BID ESTIMATED AMOUNT	AS BID - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY		AS BID - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	AS BID - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY		AS BID - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT
1	01500	MOBILIZATION	$255,000.00	1	LUMP SUM	$255,000.00	0.39	LUMP SUM	$99,129.29	0.61	LUMP SUM	$155,870.71
2	01350	MAINTAIN DITCH FLOW	$4,200.00	4	EACH	$16,800.00	2.50	EACH	$10,500.00	1.50	EACH	$6,300.00
3	01350	MAINTAIN CREEK FLOW	$8,300.00	1	EACH	$8,300.00	0.33	EACH	$2,739.00	0.67	EACH	$5,561.00
4	01350	UTILITY TESTING WATER	$13.00	5000	KGAL	$65,000.00	1,500.00	KGAL	$19,500.00	3,500.00	KGAL	$45,500.00
5	01350	PRE-CONSTRUCTION SURVEY / VIDEO TAPING	$650.00	16	UNIT	$10,400.00	14.00	UNIT	$9,100.00	2.00	UNIT	$1,300.00
6	01510	FIELD OFFICE	$15,000.00	1	LUMP SUM	$15,000.00	0.39	LUMP SUM	$5,831.13	0.61	LUMP SUM	$9,168.87
7	01550	TEMPORARY TRENCH RESTORATION	$1.00	18250	SY	$18,250.00	13,299.33	SY	$13,299.33	4,950.67	SY	$4,950.67
8	01550	TEMPORARY SWAMP ACCESS	$32.30	4700	LF	$151,810.00	1,933.33	LF	$62,446.67	2,766.67	LF	$89,363.33
9	01555	TRAFFIC CONTROL	$25,000.00	1	LUMP SUM	$25,000.00	0.39	LUMP SUM	$9,718.56	0.61	LUMP SUM	$15,281.44
10	01555	JERSEY BARRIERS	$17.75	2850	LF	$50,587.50	2,690.00	LF	$47,747.50	160.00	LF	$2,840.00
11	01410	PERMIT BOND ALLOWANCE	$7,500.00	1	ALLOWANCE	$7,500.00	0.39	ALLOWANCE	$2,915.57	0.61	ALLOWANCE	$4,584.43
12	02220	REMOVE BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	$1.16	22660	SY	$26,285.60	13,264.67	SY	$15,387.01	9,395.33	SY	$10,898.59
13	02220	REMOVE BITUMINOUS DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT	$3.85	650	SY	$2,502.50	518.67	SY	$1,996.87	131.33	SY	$505.63
14	02220	REMOVE CONCRETE DRIVEWAY PAVEMENT	$0.50	2560	SF	$1,280.00	2,152.33	SF	$1,076.17	407.67	SF	$203.83
15	02220	REMOVE CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER	$2.15	1440	LF	$3,096.00	1,059.67	LF	$2,278.28	380.33	LF	$817.72
16	02220	REMOVE STORM SEWER - 18" RCP	$8.50	100	LF	$850.00	56.33	LF	$478.83	43.67	LF	$371.17
17	02220	REMOVE STORM SEWER - 21" RCP	$8.60	25	LF	$215.00	9.00	LF	$77.40	16.00	LF	$137.60
18	02220	REMOVE STORM SEWER - 48" RCP	$11.35	55	LF	$624.25	55.00	LF	$624.25		LF	
19	02220	REMOVE CULVERT - 48" CMP	$10.15	40	LF	$406.00		LF		40.00	LF	$406.00
20	02220	REMOVE STORM SEWER STRUCTURE	$360.00	4	EACH	$1,440.00	3.00	EACH	$1,080.00	1.00	EACH	$360.00
21	02218	SALVAGE AND REINSTALL STORM SEWER - 12" PVC	$28.00	20	LF	$560.00		LF		20.00	LF	$560.00
22	02219	SALVAGE AND REINSTALL STORM SEWER - 18" RCP	$28.00	20	LF	$560.00	20.00	LF	$560.00		LF	
23	02220	SALVAGE AND REINSTALL STORM SEWER - 36" RCP	$29.00	75	LF	$2,175.00	25.00	LF	$725.00	50.00	LF	$1,450.00
24	02220	SALVAGE AND REINSTALL STORM SEWER - 48" RCP	$36.00	45	LF	$1,620.00	30.67	LF	$1,104.00	14.33	LF	$516.00
25	02220	SALVAGE AND REINSTALL THEATER MARQUEE	$48,500.00	1	EACH	$48,500.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$48,500.00
26	02220	SALVAGE AND REINSTALL LANDSCAPING	$35,000.00	1	ALLOWANCE	$35,000.00	0.85	ALLOWANCE	$29,750.00	0.15	ALLOWANCE	$5,250.00
27	02220	PRIVATE UTILITY REMOVAL, RELOCATION, TEMP SUPPORT	$225,000.00	1	ALLOWANCE	$225,000.00		ALLOWANCE		1.00	ALLOWANCE	$225,000.00
28	02230	CLEARING & GRUBBING	$68.00	190	EACH	$12,920.00	80.00	EACH	$5,440.00	110.00	EACH	$7,480.00
29	02230	CLEARING & GRUBBING	$2,700.00	1.9	ACRE	$5,130.00	1.40	ACRE	$3,780.00	0.50	ACRE	$1,350.00
30	02955	REPAIR EXISTING DRAIN TILE	$13.00	300	LF	$3,900.00	200.00	LF	$2,600.00	100.00	LF	$1,300.00
31	02960	2" FEATHER MILL	$4.50	910	SY	$4,095.00	910.00	SY	$4,095.00		SY	
32	02530	48" DIAMETER MANHOLE	$371.25	602	LF	$223,492.50	378.30	LF	$140,443.88	223.70	LF	$83,048.63
33	02530	60" DIAMETER MANHOLE	$605.00	137	LF	$82,885.00	8.30	LF	$5,021.50	128.70	LF	$77,863.50
34	02530	72" DIAMETER MANHOLE	$800.00	8	LF	$6,400.00	8.00	LF	$6,400.00		LF	
35	02530	84" DIAMETER MANHOLE	$1,535.00	64	LF	$98,240.00		LF		64.00	LF	$98,240.00
36	02530	96" DIAMETER MANHOLE	$2,365.00	8	LF	$18,920.00		LF		8.00	LF	$18,920.00
37	02530	108" DIAMETER MANHOLE	$2,370.00	10	LF	$23,700.00		LF		10.00	LF	$23,700.00
38	02530	120" DIAMETER MANHOLE	$2,500.00	20	LF	$50,000.00		LF		20.00	LF	$50,000.00
39	02530	48" DIAMETER MANHOLE BOUYANCY COLLAR	$436.00	22	EACH	$9,592.00	22.00	EACH	$9,592.00		EACH	
40	02530	60" DIAMETER MANHOLE BOUYANCY COLLAR	$1,235.00	9	EACH	$11,115.00		EACH		9.00	EACH	$11,115.00
41	02530	72" DIAMETER MANHOLE BOUYANCY COLLAR	$1,520.00	1	EACH	$1,520.00	1.00	EACH	$1,520.00		EACH	
42	02530	8" OUTSIDE DROP	$220.00	17.82	LF	$3,920.40	17.82	LF	$3,920.40		LF	
43	02530	8" PVC SDR 35 SEWER PIPE (10-15 FEET)	$38.00	1130	LF	$42,940.00	1,130.00	LF	$42,940.00		LF	
44	02530	8" PVC SDR 35 SEWER PIPE (15-20 FEET)	$38.00	100	LF	$3,800.00	100.00	LF	$3,800.00		LF	
45	02530	8" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (10-15 FEET)	$50.00	260	LF	$13,000.00	260.00	LF	$13,000.00		LF	
46	02530	8" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (15-20 FEET)	$50.00	1965	LF	$98,250.00	1,965.00	LF	$98,250.00		LF	
47	02530	8" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (20-25 FEET)	$50.00	835	LF	$41,750.00	835.00	LF	$41,750.00		LF	
48	02530	10" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (15-20 FEET)	$55.00	20	LF	$1,100.00	20.00	LF	$1,100.00		LF	
49	02530	12" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (0-10 FEET)	$58.00	65	LF	$3,770.00	65.00	LF	$3,770.00		LF	
50	02530	12" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (15-20 FEET)	$58.00	610	LF	$35,380.00	610.00	LF	$35,380.00		LF	
51	02530	15" PVC SDR 35 SEWER PIPE (10-15 FEET)	$58.00	945	LF	$54,810.00	945.00	LF	$54,810.00		LF	
52	02530	15" PVC SDR 35 SEWER PIPE (15-20 FEET)	$58.00	405	LF	$23,490.00	405.00	LF	$23,490.00		LF	
53	02530	15" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (10-15 FEET)	$58.00	85	LF	$4,930.00	85.00	LF	$4,930.00		LF	
54	02530	15" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (15-20 FEET)	$58.00	65	LF	$3,770.00	65.00	LF	$3,770.00		LF	
55	02530	15" PVC SDR 26 SEWER PIPE (20-25 FEET)	$58.00	17	LF	$986.00	17.00	LF	$986.00		LF	
56	02530	24" PVC PS 46/ CCFRPM SN 46 (10-15 FEET)	$75.00	560	LF	$42,000.00		LF		560.00	LF	$42,000.00
57	02530	24" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (10-15 FEET)	$85.00	2420	LF	$205,700.00		LF		2,420.00	LF	$205,700.00
58	02530	24" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (15-20 FEET)	$85.00	1035	LF	$87,975.00		LF		1,035.00	LF	$87,975.00
59	02530	24" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 100 (20-25 FEET)	$90.00	10	LF	$900.00	10.00	LF	$900.00		LF	
60	02530	24" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 100 (25-30 FEET)	$90.00	25	LF	$2,250.00		LF		25.00	LF	$2,250.00
61	02530	24" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 100 (30-35 FEET)	$90.00	28	LF	$2,520.00	28.00	LF	$2,520.00		LF	
62	02530	36" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (15-20 FEET)	$185.00	44	LF	$8,140.00	44.00	LF	$8,140.00		LF	
63	02530	42" PVC PS 46/ CCFRPM SN 46 (15-20 FEET)	$210.00	566	LF	$118,860.00		LF		566.00	LF	$118,860.00
64	02530	42" PVC PS 46/ CCFRPM SN 46 (20-25 FEET)	$200.00	320	LF	$64,000.00		LF		320.00	LF	$64,000.00
65	02530	42" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (15-20 FEET)	$205.00	502	LF	$102,910.00		LF		502.00	LF	$102,910.00
66	02530	42" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (20-25 FEET)	$205.00	285	LF	$58,425.00		LF		285.00	LF	$58,425.00
67	02530	42" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (25-30 FEET)	$205.00	855	LF	$175,275.00		LF		855.00	LF	$175,275.00
68	02530	42" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (30-35 FEET)	$205.00	155	LF	$31,775.00		LF		155.00	LF	$31,775.00
69	02530	42" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 100 (30-35 FEET)	$225.00	466	LF	$104,850.00		LF		466.00	LF	$104,850.00
70	02530	48" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (30-35 FEET)	$225.00	25	LF	$5,625.00		LF		25.00	LF	$5,625.00
71	02530	60" PVC PS 115/ CCFRPM SN 72 (30-35 FEET)	$500.00	1192	LF	$596,000.00		LF		1,192.00	LF	$596,000.00
72	02445	GRAVITY SEWER BORING - 12" CARRIER PIPE	$218.00	95	LF	$20,710.00	95.00	LF	$20,710.00		LF	
73	02445	GRAVITY SEWER BORING - 15" CARRIER PIPE	$325.00	95	LF	$30,875.00	95.00	LF	$30,875.00		LF	
74	02445	GRAVITY SEWER BORING - 24" CARRIER PIPE	$455.00	290	LF	$131,950.00	290.00	LF	$131,950.00		LF	
75	02445	GRAVITY SEWER BORING - 36" CARRIER PIPE	$775.00	355	LF	$275,125.00	355.00	LF	$275,125.00		LF	
76	02445	GRAVITY SEWER BORING - 42" CARRIER PIPE	$830.00	325	LF	$269,750.00		LF		325.00	LF	$269,750.00
77	02445	SET UP BORING PIT (10-15 FEET)	$14,350.00	2	EACH	$28,700.00	2.00	EACH	$28,700.00		EACH	
78	02445	SET UP BORING PIT (20-25 FEET)	$22,000.00	1	EACH	$22,000.00	1.00	EACH	$22,000.00		EACH	
79	02445	SET UP BORING PIT (25-30 FEET)	$33,600.00	1	EACH	$33,600.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$33,600.00
80	02445	SET UP BORING PIT (30-35 FEET)	$40,000.00	1	EACH	$40,000.00	1.00	EACH	$40,000.00		EACH	
81	02530	6" PVC SDR 26 SERVCE PIPE	$19.00	730	LF	$13,870.00	730.00	LF	$13,870.00		LF	
82	02530	6" PVC SDR 26 SERVICE RISER	$13.30	105	LF	$1,396.50	105.00	LF	$1,396.50		LF	
83	02530	8" X 6" PVC SDR 26 WYE	$145.00	17	EACH	$2,465.00	17.00	EACH	$2,465.00		EACH	
84	02240	DEWATERING (0-10 FEET)	$35.00	800	LF	$28,000.00		LF		800.00	LF	$28,000.00
85	02240	DEWATERING (10-15 FEET)	$45.00	5300	LF	$238,500.00	3,076.00	LF	$138,420.00	2,224.00	LF	$100,080.00
86	02240	DEWATERING (15-20 FEET)	$50.00	4600	LF	$230,000.00	2,991.00	LF	$149,550.00	1,609.00	LF	$80,450.00
87	02240	DEWATERING (20-25 FEET)	$65.00	1950	LF	$126,750.00	1,225.00	LF	$79,625.00	725.00	LF	$47,125.00
88	02240	DEWATERING (25-30 FEET)	$65.00	1010	LF	$65,650.00		LF		1,010.00	LF	$65,650.00
89	02240	DEWATERING (30-35 FEET)	$70.00	2010	LF	$140,700.00	160.00	LF	$11,200.00	1,850.00	LF	$129,500.00
90	02530	PIPE SUBGRADE EXCAVATION (EV) - TYPE A BEDDING	$7.35	850	CY	$6,247.50	270.00	CY	$1,984.50	580.00	CY	$4,263.00
91	02530	PIPE SUBGRADE EXCAVATION (EV) - TYPE B1 BEDDING	$8.60	7700	CY	$66,220.00	3,332.00	CY	$28,655.20	4,368.00	CY	$37,564.80
92	02530	PIPE SUBGRADE EXCAVATION (EV) - TYPE B2 BEDDING	$10.00	1400	CY	$14,000.00		CY		1,400.00	CY	$14,000.00
93	02530	AGGREGATE BEDDING - TYPE A BEDDING	$24.00	2000	TON	$48,000.00	640.00	TON	$15,360.00	1,360.00	TON	$32,640.00
94	02530	AGGREGATE BEDDING - TYPE B1 BEDDING	$28.00	18000	TON	$504,000.00	7,731.00	TON	$216,468.00	10,269.00	TON	$287,532.00
95	02530	AGGREGATE BEDDING - TYPE B2 BEDDING	$29.00	3300	TON	$95,700.00		TON		3,300.00	TON	$95,700.00
96	20341	GEOTEXTILE FABRIC - TYPE B1 BEDDING	$1.00	16000	SY	$16,000.00	7,064.00	SY	$7,064.00	8,936.00	SY	$8,936.00
97	02341	GEOTEXTILE FABRIC - TYPE B2 BEDDING	$1.00	1950	SY	$1,950.00		SY		1,950.00	SY	$1,950.00
98	02530	EXPLORATION EXCAVATIONS	$7,300.00	20	EACH	$146,000.00		EACH		20.00	EACH	$146,000.00
99	01150	TEMORARY ACCESS DRIVEWAY - BANK	$3.35	1700	SY	$5,695.00	1,133.00	SY	$3,795.55	567.00	SY	$1,899.45
100	02320	POND BERM RESTORATION	$7,600.00	1	LUMP SUM	$7,600.00		LUMP SUM		1.00	LUMP SUM	$7,600.00
101	02530	SANITARY SEWER STANDARD CASTING	$280.00	13	EACH	$3,640.00	12.00	EACH	$3,360.00	1.00	EACH	$280.00
102	02530	WATER TIGHT CASTING	$1,470.00	32	EACH	$47,040.00	12.00	EACH	$17,640.00	20.00	EACH	$29,400.00
103	02530	CHIMNEY SEAL	$252.00	13	EACH	$3,276.00	12.00	EACH	$3,024.00	1.00	EACH	$252.00
104	02530	MANHOLE MARKER SIGN	$57.00	31	EACH	$1,767.00	12.00	EACH	$684.00	19.00	EACH	$1,083.00
105	02705	ADJUST CASTING	$300.00	13	EACH	$3,900.00	12.00	EACH	$3,600.00	1.00	EACH	$300.00
106	02310	MANHOLE ACCESS GRADING (CV)	$12.25	600	CY	$7,350.00		CY		600.00	CY	$7,350.00
107	02310	MANHOLE ACCESS SUBGRADE EXCAVATION (EV)	$9.00	3950	CY	$35,550.00		CY		3,950.00	CY	$35,550.00
108	02310	MANHOLE ACCESS GEOTEXTILE FABRIC	$1.60	6800	SY	$10,880.00		SY		6,800.00	SY	$10,880.00
109	02310	MANHOLE ACCESS COMMON EXCAVATION (P)	$2.00	1750	CY	$3,500.00		CY		1,750.00	CY	$3,500.00
110	02310	MANHOLE ACCESS GRANULAR SUB BASE	$7.00	7900	TON	$55,300.00		TON		7,900.00	TON	$55,300.00
111	02730	MANHOLE ACCESS AGGREGATE SURFACE	$13.20	4300	TON	$56,760.00		TON		4,300.00	TON	$56,760.00
112	02535	6" PVC C905 DR 14 DISCHARGE PIPING	$31.00	270	LF	$8,370.00		LF		270.00	LF	$8,370.00
113	02535	16" PVC C905 DR 14 DISCHARGE PIPING	$58.00	4060	LF	$235,480.00		LF		4,060.00	LF	$235,480.00
114	02535	21.6" OD HDPE DR 7 DIPS DISCHARGE PIPING	$110.00	2873	LF	$316,030.00		LF		2,873.00	LF	$316,030.00
115	02535	6" GATE VALVE	$1,100.00	10	EACH	$11,000.00		EACH		10.00	EACH	$11,000.00
116	02445	DISCHARGE PIPE BORING - 16" CARRIER PIPE	$328.00	95	LF	$31,160.00		LF		95.00	LF	$31,160.00
117	02445	SET UP BORING PIT (10-15 FEET)	$16,850.00	1	EACH	$16,850.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$16,850.00
118	02240	DEWATERING (10-15 FEET)	$1.00	370	LF	$370.00		LF		370.00	LF	$370.00
119	02535	MAINTENANCE MANHOLE	$3,100.00	47.5	LF	$147,250.00		LF		47.50	LF	$147,250.00
120	02535	AIR / VACUUM RELEASE MANHOLE	$25,365.00	3	EACH	$76,095.00		EACH		3.00	EACH	$76,095.00
121	02530	72" DIAMETER MANHOLE BOUYANCY COLLAR	$1,520.00	3	EACH	$4,560.00		EACH		3.00	EACH	$4,560.00
122	02530	108" DIAMETER MANHOLE BOUYANCY COLLAR	$2,575.00	3	EACH	$7,725.00		EACH		3.00	EACH	$7,725.00
123	02535	MANHOLE MARKER SIGN	$60.00	4	EACH	$240.00		EACH		4.00	EACH	$240.00
124	02535	4" INSULATION	$3.70	350	SF	$1,295.00		SF		350.00	SF	$1,295.00
125	02535	TEMPORARY HYDRANT ASSEMBLY	$3,160.00	2	EACH	$6,320.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$6,320.00
126	02510	HYDRANT EXTENSION	$500.00	6	LF	$3,000.00		LF		6.00	LF	$3,000.00
127	02510	VALVE BOX EXTENSION	$75.00	6	LF	$450.00		LF		6.00	LF	$450.00
128	02530	CHIMNEY SEAL	$265.00	2	EACH	$530.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$530.00

ITEM NO.	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE ESTIMATED QUANTITY		PREVIOUS ESTIMATE ESTIMATED AMOUNT	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY		PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY		PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED QUANTITY		PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED AMOUNT
1	1.00	LUMP SUM	$255,000.00	0.39	LUMP SUM	$99,129.29	0.61	LUMP SUM	$155,870.71		LUMP SUM	
2	3.00	EACH	$12,600.00	2.00	EACH	$8,400.00	1.00	EACH	$4,200.00		EACH	
3	1.00	EACH	$8,300.00	0.33	EACH	$2,766.67	0.67	EACH	$5,533.33		EACH	
4	2,179.13	KGAL	$28,328.65	2,081.02	KGAL	$27,053.20	98.11	KGAL	$1,275.45		KGAL	
5	16.00	UNIT	$10,400.00	14.00	UNIT	$9,100.00	2.00	UNIT	$1,300.00		UNIT	
6	1.73	LUMP SUM	$25,992.50	0.67	LUMP SUM	$10,104.38	1.06	LUMP SUM	$15,888.11		LUMP SUM	
7	9,193.00	SY	$9,193.00	6,795.33	SY	$6,795.33	2,397.67	SY	$2,397.67		SY	
8	4,700.00	LF	$151,810.00	1,933.33	LF	$62,446.67	2,766.67	LF	$89,363.33		LF	
9	1.00	LUMP SUM	$25,000.00	0.39	LUMP SUM	$9,718.56	0.61	LUMP SUM	$15,281.44		LUMP SUM	
10	3,221.00	LF	$57,172.75	1,252.14	LF	$22,225.47	1,968.86	LF	$34,947.28		LF	
11	0.05	ALLOWANCE	$400.00	0.02	ALLOWANCE	$156.00	0.03	ALLOWANCE	$244.00		ALLOWANCE	
12	22,592.00	SY	$26,206.72	12,931.33	SY	$15,000.35	9,660.67	SY	$11,206.37		SY	
13	472.50	SY	$1,819.12	359.67	SY	$1,384.72	112.83	SY	$434.41		SY	
14	1,602.00	SF	$801.00	1,289.00	SF	$644.50	313.00	SF	$156.50		SF	
15	1,369.50	LF	$2,944.42	1,002.67	LF	$2,155.73	366.83	LF	$788.69		LF	
16	86.00	LF	$731.00	46.67	LF	$396.67	39.33	LF	$334.33		LF	
17	37.00	LF	$318.20	23.00	LF	$197.80	14.00	LF	$120.40		LF	
18	64.00	LF	$726.40	64.00	LF	$726.40		LF			LF	
19	42.00	LF	$426.30		LF		42.00	LF	$426.30		LF	
20	4.00	EACH	$1,440.00	2.67	EACH	$960.00	1.33	EACH	$480.00		EACH	
21	14.00	LF	$392.00		LF		14.00	LF	$392.00		LF	
22	8.00	LF	$224.00	8.00	LF	$224.00		LF			LF	
23	40.00	LF	$1,160.00	8.00	LF	$232.00	32.00	LF	$928.00		LF	
24		LF			LF			LF			LF	
25	1.00	EACH	$48,500.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$48,500.00		EACH	
26	0.80	ALLOWANCE	$28,095.31	0.40	ALLOWANCE	$13,918.12	0.41	ALLOWANCE	$14,177.19		ALLOWANCE	
27	0.61	ALLOWANCE	$136,737.40	0.11	ALLOWANCE	$25,561.33	0.49	ALLOWANCE	$111,176.06		ALLOWANCE	
28	358.00	EACH	$24,344.00	161.00	EACH	$10,948.00	197.00	EACH	$13,396.00		EACH	
29	5.63	ACRE	$15,201.00	3.14	ACRE	$8,487.00	2.49	ACRE	$6,714.00		ACRE	
30		LF			LF			LF			LF	
31	900.00	SY	$4,050.00	900.00	SY	$4,050.00		SY			SY	
32	584.17	LF	$216,873.11	397.47	LF	$147,560.74	186.70	LF	$69,312.38		LF	
33	151.06	LF	$91,391.30	9.36	LF	$5,662.80	141.70	LF	$85,728.50		LF	
34	12.70	LF	$10,160.00	12.70	LF	$10,160.00		LF			LF	
35	87.86	LF	$134,865.10		LF		87.86	LF	$134,865.10		LF	
36	11.25	LF	$26,606.25		LF		11.25	LF	$26,606.25		LF	
37	12.70	LF	$30,099.00		LF		12.70	LF	$30,099.00		LF	
38	24.85	LF	$62,125.00		LF		24.85	LF	$62,125.00		LF	
39	23.00	EACH	$10,028.00	23.00	EACH	$10,028.00		EACH			EACH	
40	10.00	EACH	$12,350.00		EACH		10.00	EACH	$12,350.00		EACH	
41	1.00	EACH	$1,520.00	1.00	EACH	$1,520.00		EACH			EACH	
42	20.25	LF	$4,455.00	20.25	LF	$4,455.00		LF			LF	
43	1,325.00	LF	$50,350.00	1,325.00	LF	$50,350.00		LF			LF	
44	295.00	LF	$11,210.00	295.00	LF	$11,210.00		LF			LF	
45		LF			LF			LF			LF	
46	1,654.00	LF	$82,700.00	1,654.00	LF	$82,700.00		LF			LF	
47	820.00	LF	$41,000.00	820.00	LF	$41,000.00		LF			LF	
48	60.00	LF	$3,300.00	60.00	LF	$3,300.00		LF			LF	
49	36.00	LF	$2,088.00	36.00	LF	$2,088.00		LF			LF	
50	682.00	LF	$39,556.00	682.00	LF	$39,556.00		LF			LF	
51	879.00	LF	$50,982.00	879.00	LF	$50,982.00		LF			LF	
52	454.00	LF	$26,332.00	454.00	LF	$26,332.00		LF			LF	
53	79.00	LF	$4,582.00	79.00	LF	$4,582.00		LF			LF	
54	67.00	LF	$3,886.00	67.00	LF	$3,886.00		LF			LF	
55	25.00	LF	$1,450.00	25.00	LF	$1,450.00		LF			LF	
56	559.00	LF	$41,925.00		LF		559.00	LF	$41,925.00		LF	
57	2,463.00	LF	$209,355.00		LF		2,463.00	LF	$209,355.00		LF	
58	1,035.00	LF	$87,975.00		LF		1,035.00	LF	$87,975.00		LF	
59	8.00	LF	$720.00	8.00	LF	$720.00		LF			LF	
60	25.00	LF	$2,250.00		LF		25.00	LF	$2,250.00		LF	
61	20.00	LF	$1,800.00	20.00	LF	$1,800.00		LF			LF	
62	44.00	LF	$8,140.00	44.00	LF	$8,140.00		LF			LF	
63	565.50	LF	$118,755.00		LF		565.50	LF	$118,755.00		LF	
64	320.00	LF	$64,000.00		LF		320.00	LF	$64,000.00		LF	
65	403.00	LF	$82,615.00		LF		403.00	LF	$82,615.00		LF	
66	285.00	LF	$58,425.00		LF		285.00	LF	$58,425.00		LF	
67	853.50	LF	$174,967.50		LF		853.50	LF	$174,967.50		LF	
68	155.00	LF	$31,775.00		LF		155.00	LF	$31,775.00		LF	
69	466.00	LF	$104,850.00		LF		466.00	LF	$104,850.00		LF	
70	25.00	LF	$5,625.00		LF		25.00	LF	$5,625.00		LF	
71	1,190.00	LF	$595,000.00		LF		1,190.00	LF	$595,000.00		LF	
72		LF			LF			LF			LF	
73	94.00	LF	$30,550.00	94.00	LF	$30,550.00		LF			LF	
74	298.50	LF	$135,817.50	298.50	LF	$135,817.50		LF			LF	
75	351.00	LF	$272,025.00	351.00	LF	$272,025.00		LF			LF	
76	325.00	LF	$269,750.00		LF		325.00	LF	$269,750.00		LF	
77	1.00	EACH	$14,350.00	1.00	EACH	$14,350.00		EACH			EACH	
78	1.00	EACH	$22,000.00	1.00	EACH	$22,000.00		EACH			EACH	
79	1.00	EACH	$33,600.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$33,600.00		EACH	
80	1.00	EACH	$40,000.00	1.00	EACH	$40,000.00		EACH			EACH	
81	625.50	LF	$11,884.50	625.50	LF	$11,884.50		LF			LF	
82	120.00	LF	$1,596.00	120.00	LF	$1,596.00		LF			LF	
83	19.00	EACH	$2,755.00	19.00	EACH	$2,755.00		EACH			EACH	
84	80.00	LF	$2,800.00	80.00	LF	$2,800.00		LF			LF	
85	8,400.00	LF	$378,000.00	2,594.00	LF	$116,730.00	5,806.00	LF	$261,270.00		LF	
86	5,253.50	LF	$262,675.00	3,250.00	LF	$162,500.00	2,003.50	LF	$100,175.00		LF	
87	1,942.50	LF	$126,262.50	1,186.00	LF	$77,090.00	756.50	LF	$49,172.50		LF	
88	1,224.00	LF	$79,560.00	197.00	LF	$12,805.00	1,027.00	LF	$66,755.00		LF	
89	1,868.50	LF	$130,795.00	32.50	LF	$2,275.00	1,836.00	LF	$128,520.00		LF	
90	1,992.24	CY	$14,642.96	1,092.98	CY	$8,033.40	899.26	CY	$6,609.56		CY	
91	6,536.70	CY	$56,215.62		CY		6,536.70	CY	$56,215.62		CY	
92	619.00	CY	$6,190.00		CY		619.00	CY	$6,190.00		CY	
93	3,300.80	TON	$79,219.20	684.87	TON	$16,436.88	2,615.93	TON	$62,782.32		TON	
94	9,140.50	TON	$255,934.00	101.38	TON	$2,838.64	9,039.12	TON	$253,095.36		TON	
95	1,263.49	TON	$36,641.21		TON		1,263.49	TON	$36,641.21		TON	
96	15,102.00	SY	$15,102.00		SY		15,102.00	SY	$15,102.00		SY	
97	2,484.00	SY	$2,484.00		SY		2,484.00	SY	$2,484.00		SY	
98	4.05	EACH	$29,580.70		EACH		4.05	EACH	$29,580.70		EACH	
99	1,683.00	SY	$5,638.05	1,122.00	SY	$3,758.70	561.00	SY	$1,879.35		SY	
100	1.00	LUMP SUM	$7,600.00		LUMP SUM		1.00	LUMP SUM	$7,600.00		LUMP SUM	
101	15.00	EACH	$4,200.00	14.00	EACH	$3,920.00	1.00	EACH	$280.00		EACH	
102	32.00	EACH	$47,040.00	11.00	EACH	$16,170.00	21.00	EACH	$30,870.00		EACH	
103	13.00	EACH	$3,276.00	12.00	EACH	$3,024.00	1.00	EACH	$252.00		EACH	
104	30.00	EACH	$1,710.00	11.00	EACH	$627.00	19.00	EACH	$1,083.00		EACH	
105	13.00	EACH	$3,900.00	12.00	EACH	$3,600.00	1.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH	
106		CY			CY			CY			CY	
107		CY			CY			CY			CY	
108	649.00	SY	$1,038.40		SY		649.00	SY	$1,038.40		SY	
109	325.00	CY	$650.00		CY		325.00	CY	$650.00		CY	
110		TON			TON			TON			TON	
111	206.00	TON	$2,719.20		TON		206.00	TON	$2,719.20		TON	
112	257.00	LF	$7,967.00		LF		257.00	LF	$7,967.00		LF	
113	3,644.50	LF	$211,381.00		LF		3,644.50	LF	$211,381.00		LF	
114	3,278.00	LF	$360,580.00		LF		3,278.00	LF	$360,580.00		LF	
115	8.00	EACH	$8,800.00		EACH		8.00	EACH	$8,800.00		EACH	
116		LF			LF			LF			LF	
117		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
118		LF			LF			LF			LF	
119	46.27	LF	$143,437.00		LF		46.27	LF	$143,437.00		LF	
120	2.00	EACH	$50,730.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$50,730.00		EACH	
121	2.00	EACH	$3,040.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$3,040.00		EACH	
122	3.00	EACH	$7,725.00		EACH		3.00	EACH	$7,725.00		EACH	
123	5.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH		5.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH	
124	128.00	SF	$473.60		SF		128.00	SF	$473.60		SF	
125	2.00	EACH	$6,320.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$6,320.00		EACH	
126		LF			LF			LF			LF	
127		LF			LF			LF			LF	
128	1.00	EACH	$265.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$265.00		EACH	

ITEM NO.	CURRENT ESTIMATE ESTIMATED QUANTITY		CURRENT ESTIMATE ESTIMATED AMOUNT	CURRENT ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY		CURRENT ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	CURRENT ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY		CURRENT ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT	CURRENT ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED QUANTITY		CURRENT ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED AMOUNT
1		LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM	
2		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
3		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
4		KGAL			KGAL			KGAL			KGAL	
5		UNIT			UNIT			UNIT			UNIT	
6		LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM	
7		SY			SY			SY			SY	
8		LF			LF			LF			LF	
9		LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM	
10		LF			LF			LF			LF	
11		ALLOWANCE			ALLOWANCE			ALLOWANCE			ALLOWANCE	
12		SY			SY			SY			SY	
13		SY			SY			SY			SY	
14		SF			SF			SF			SF	
15		LF			LF			LF			LF	
16		LF			LF			LF			LF	
17		LF			LF			LF			LF	
18		LF			LF			LF			LF	
19		LF			LF			LF			LF	
20		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
21		LF			LF			LF			LF	
22		LF			LF			LF			LF	
23		LF			LF			LF			LF	
24		LF			LF			LF			LF	
25		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
26	0.05	ALLOWANCE	$1,900.00		ALLOWANCE		0.05	ALLOWANCE	$1,900.00		ALLOWANCE	
27		ALLOWANCE			ALLOWANCE			ALLOWANCE			ALLOWANCE	
28		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
29		ACRE			ACRE			ACRE			ACRE	
30		LF			LF			LF			LF	
31		SY			SY			SY			SY	
32		LF			LF			LF			LF	
33	1.00	LF	$605.00		LF		1.00	LF	$605.00		LF	
34		LF			LF			LF			LF	
35		LF			LF			LF			LF	
36		LF			LF			LF			LF	
37		LF			LF			LF			LF	
38		LF			LF			LF			LF	
39		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
40		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
41		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
42		LF			LF			LF			LF	
43		LF			LF			LF			LF	
44		LF			LF			LF			LF	
45		LF			LF			LF			LF	
46		LF			LF			LF			LF	
47		LF			LF			LF			LF	
48		LF			LF			LF			LF	
49		LF			LF			LF			LF	
50		LF			LF			LF			LF	
51		LF			LF			LF			LF	
52		LF			LF			LF			LF	
53		LF			LF			LF			LF	
54		LF			LF			LF			LF	
55		LF			LF			LF			LF	
56		LF			LF			LF			LF	
57		LF			LF			LF			LF	
58		LF			LF			LF			LF	
59		LF			LF			LF			LF	
60		LF			LF			LF			LF	
61		LF			LF			LF			LF	
62		LF			LF			LF			LF	
63		LF			LF			LF			LF	
64		LF			LF			LF			LF	
65		LF			LF			LF			LF	
66		LF			LF			LF			LF	
67		LF			LF			LF			LF	
68		LF			LF			LF			LF	
69		LF			LF			LF			LF	
70		LF			LF			LF			LF	
71		LF			LF			LF			LF	
72		LF			LF			LF			LF	
73		LF			LF			LF			LF	
74		LF			LF			LF			LF	
75		LF			LF			LF			LF	
76		LF			LF			LF			LF	
77		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
78		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
79		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
80		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
81		LF			LF			LF			LF	
82		LF			LF			LF			LF	
83		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
84		LF			LF			LF			LF	
85		LF			LF			LF			LF	
86		LF			LF			LF			LF	
87		LF			LF			LF			LF	
88		LF			LF			LF			LF	
89		LF			LF			LF			LF	
90		CY			CY			CY			CY	
91		CY			CY			CY			CY	
92		CY			CY			CY			CY	
93		TON			TON			TON			TON	
94		TON			TON			TON			TON	
95		TON			TON			TON			TON	
96		SY			SY			SY			SY	
97		SY			SY			SY			SY	
98		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
99		SY			SY			SY			SY	
100		LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM			LUMP SUM	
101		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
102		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
103		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
104		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
105		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
106		CY			CY			CY			CY	
107		CY			CY			CY			CY	
108		SY			SY			SY			SY	
109		CY			CY			CY			CY	
110		TON			TON			TON			TON	
111		TON			TON			TON			TON	
112		LF			LF			LF			LF	
113		LF			LF			LF			LF	
114		LF			LF			LF			LF	
115		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
116		LF			LF			LF			LF	
117		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
118		LF			LF			LF			LF	
119		LF			LF			LF			LF	
120		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
121		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
122		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
123		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
124		SF			SF			SF			SF	
125		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
126		LF			LF			LF			LF	
127		LF			LF			LF			LF	
128		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	

ITEM NO.	COMPLETED TO DATE ESTIMATED QUANTITY		COMPLETED TO DATE ESTIMATED AMOUNT	COMPLETED TO DATE - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY		COMPLETED TO DATE - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	COMPLETED TO DATE - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY		COMPLETED TO DATE - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT	COMPLETED TO DATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED QUANTITY		COMPLETED TO DATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED AMOUNT
1	1.00	LUMP SUM	$255,000.00	0.39	LUMP SUM	$99,129.29	0.61	LUMP SUM	$155,870.71		LUMP SUM	
2	3.00	EACH	$12,600.00	2.00	EACH	$8,400.00	1.00	EACH	$4,200.00		EACH	
3	1.00	EACH	$8,300.00	0.33	EACH	$2,766.67	0.67	EACH	$5,533.33		EACH	
4	2,179.13	KGAL	$28,328.65	2,081.02	KGAL	$27,053.20	98.11	KGAL	$1,275.45		KGAL	
5	16.00	UNIT	$10,400.00	14.00	UNIT	$9,100.00	2.00	UNIT	$1,300.00		UNIT	
6	1.73	LUMP SUM	$25,992.50	0.67	LUMP SUM	$10,104.38	1.06	LUMP SUM	$15,888.11		LUMP SUM	
7	9,193.00	SY	$9,193.00	6,795.33	SY	$6,795.33	2,397.67	SY	$2,397.67		SY	
8	4,700.00	LF	$151,810.00	1,933.33	LF	$62,446.67	2,766.67	LF	$89,363.33		LF	
9	1.00	LUMP SUM	$25,000.00	0.39	LUMP SUM	$9,718.56	0.61	LUMP SUM	$15,281.44		LUMP SUM	
10	3,221.00	LF	$57,172.75	1,252.14	LF	$22,225.47	1,968.86	LF	$34,947.28		LF	
11	0.05	ALLOWANCE	$400.00	0.02	ALLOWANCE	$156.00	0.03	ALLOWANCE	$244.00		ALLOWANCE	
12	22,592.00	SY	$26,206.72	12,931.33	SY	$15,000.35	9,660.67	SY	$11,206.37		SY	
13	472.50	SY	$1,819.12	359.67	SY	$1,384.72	112.83	SY	$434.41		SY	
14	1,602.00	SF	$801.00	1,289.00	SF	$644.50	313.00	SF	$156.50		SF	
15	1,369.50	LF	$2,944.42	1,002.67	LF	$2,155.73	366.83	LF	$788.69		LF	
16	86.00	LF	$731.00	46.67	LF	$396.67	39.33	LF	$334.33		LF	
17	37.00	LF	$318.20	23.00	LF	$197.80	14.00	LF	$120.40		LF	
18	64.00	LF	$726.40	64.00	LF	$726.40		LF			LF	
19	42.00	LF	$426.30		LF		42.00	LF	$426.30		LF	
20	4.00	EACH	$1,440.00	2.67	EACH	$960.00	1.33	EACH	$480.00		EACH	
21	14.00	LF	$392.00		LF		14.00	LF	$392.00		LF	
22	8.00	LF	$224.00	8.00	LF	$224.00		LF			LF	
23	40.00	LF	$1,160.00	8.00	LF	$232.00	32.00	LF	$928.00		LF	
24		LF			LF			LF			LF	
25	1.00	EACH	$48,500.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$48,500.00		EACH	
26	0.86	ALLOWANCE	$29,995.31	0.40	ALLOWANCE	$13,918.12	0.46	ALLOWANCE	$16,077.19		ALLOWANCE	
27	0.61	ALLOWANCE	$136,737.40	0.11	ALLOWANCE	$25,561.33	0.49	ALLOWANCE	$111,176.06		ALLOWANCE	
28	358.00	EACH	$24,344.00	161.00	EACH	$10,948.00	197.00	EACH	$13,396.00		EACH	
29	5.63	ACRE	$15,201.00	3.14	ACRE	$8,487.00	2.49	ACRE	$6,714.00		ACRE	
30		LF			LF			LF			LF	
31	900.00	SY	$4,050.00	900.00	SY	$4,050.00		SY			SY	
32	584.17	LF	$216,873.11	397.47	LF	$147,560.74	186.70	LF	$69,312.38		LF	
33	152.06	LF	$91,996.30	9.36	LF	$5,662.80	142.70	LF	$86,333.50		LF	
34	12.70	LF	$10,160.00	12.70	LF	$10,160.00		LF			LF	
35	87.86	LF	$134,865.10		LF		87.86	LF	$134,865.10		LF	
36	11.25	LF	$26,606.25		LF		11.25	LF	$26,606.25		LF	
37	12.70	LF	$30,099.00		LF		12.70	LF	$30,099.00		LF	
38	24.85	LF	$62,125.00		LF		24.85	LF	$62,125.00		LF	
39	23.00	EACH	$10,028.00	23.00	EACH	$10,028.00		EACH			EACH	
40	10.00	EACH	$12,350.00		EACH		10.00	EACH	$12,350.00		EACH	
41	1.00	EACH	$1,520.00	1.00	EACH	$1,520.00		EACH			EACH	
42	20.25	LF	$4,455.00	20.25	LF	$4,455.00		LF			LF	
43	1,325.00	LF	$50,350.00	1,325.00	LF	$50,350.00		LF			LF	
44	295.00	LF	$11,210.00	295.00	LF	$11,210.00		LF			LF	
45		LF			LF			LF			LF	
46	1,654.00	LF	$82,700.00	1,654.00	LF	$82,700.00		LF			LF	
47	820.00	LF	$41,000.00	820.00	LF	$41,000.00		LF			LF	
48	60.00	LF	$3,300.00	60.00	LF	$3,300.00		LF			LF	
49	36.00	LF	$2,088.00	36.00	LF	$2,088.00		LF			LF	
50	682.00	LF	$39,556.00	682.00	LF	$39,556.00		LF			LF	
51	879.00	LF	$50,982.00	879.00	LF	$50,982.00		LF			LF	
52	454.00	LF	$26,332.00	454.00	LF	$26,332.00		LF			LF	
53	79.00	LF	$4,582.00	79.00	LF	$4,582.00		LF			LF	
54	67.00	LF	$3,886.00	67.00	LF	$3,886.00		LF			LF	
55	25.00	LF	$1,450.00	25.00	LF	$1,450.00		LF			LF	
56	559.00	LF	$41,925.00		LF		559.00	LF	$41,925.00		LF	
57	2,463.00	LF	$209,355.00		LF		2,463.00	LF	$209,355.00		LF	
58	1,035.00	LF	$87,975.00		LF		1,035.00	LF	$87,975.00		LF	
59	8.00	LF	$720.00	8.00	LF	$720.00		LF			LF	
60	25.00	LF	$2,250.00		LF		25.00	LF	$2,250.00		LF	
61	20.00	LF	$1,800.00	20.00	LF	$1,800.00		LF			LF	
62	44.00	LF	$8,140.00	44.00	LF	$8,140.00		LF			LF	
63	565.50	LF	$118,755.00		LF		565.50	LF	$118,755.00		LF	
64	320.00	LF	$64,000.00		LF		320.00	LF	$64,000.00		LF	
65	403.00	LF	$82,615.00		LF		403.00	LF	$82,615.00		LF	
66	285.00	LF	$58,425.00		LF		285.00	LF	$58,425.00		LF	
67	853.50	LF	$174,967.50		LF		853.50	LF	$174,967.50		LF	
68	155.00	LF	$31,775.00		LF		155.00	LF	$31,775.00		LF	
69	466.00	LF	$104,850.00		LF		466.00	LF	$104,850.00		LF	
70	25.00	LF	$5,625.00		LF		25.00	LF	$5,625.00		LF	
71	1,190.00	LF	$595,000.00		LF		1,190.00	LF	$595,000.00		LF	
72		LF			LF			LF			LF	
73	94.00	LF	$30,550.00	94.00	LF	$30,550.00		LF			LF	
74	298.50	LF	$135,817.50	298.50	LF	$135,817.50		LF			LF	
75	351.00	LF	$272,025.00	351.00	LF	$272,025.00		LF			LF	
76	325.00	LF	$269,750.00		LF		325.00	LF	$269,750.00		LF	
77	1.00	EACH	$14,350.00	1.00	EACH	$14,350.00		EACH			EACH	
78	1.00	EACH	$22,000.00	1.00	EACH	$22,000.00		EACH			EACH	
79	1.00	EACH	$33,600.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$33,600.00		EACH	
80	1.00	EACH	$40,000.00	1.00	EACH	$40,000.00		EACH			EACH	
81	625.50	LF	$11,884.50	625.50	LF	$11,884.50		LF			LF	
82	120.00	LF	$1,596.00	120.00	LF	$1,596.00		LF			LF	
83	19.00	EACH	$2,755.00	19.00	EACH	$2,755.00		EACH			EACH	
84	80.00	LF	$2,800.00	80.00	LF	$2,800.00		LF			LF	
85	8,400.00	LF	$378,000.00	2,594.00	LF	$116,730.00	5,806.00	LF	$261,270.00		LF	
86	5,253.50	LF	$262,675.00	3,250.00	LF	$162,500.00	2,003.50	LF	$100,175.00		LF	
87	1,942.50	LF	$126,262.50	1,186.00	LF	$77,090.00	756.50	LF	$49,172.50		LF	
88	1,224.00	LF	$79,560.00	197.00	LF	$12,805.00	1,027.00	LF	$66,755.00		LF	
89	1,868.50	LF	$130,795.00	32.50	LF	$2,275.00	1,836.00	LF	$128,520.00		LF	
90	1,992.24	CY	$14,642.96	1,092.98	CY	$8,033.40	899.26	CY	$6,609.56		CY	
91	6,536.70	CY	$56,215.62		CY		6,536.70	CY	$56,215.62		CY	
92	619.00	CY	$6,190.00		CY		619.00	CY	$6,190.00		CY	
93	3,300.80	TON	$79,219.20	684.87	TON	$16,436.88	2,615.93	TON	$62,782.32		TON	
94	9,140.50	TON	$255,934.00	101.38	TON	$2,838.64	9,039.12	TON	$253,095.36		TON	
95	1,263.49	TON	$36,641.21		TON		1,263.49	TON	$36,641.21		TON	
96	15,102.00	SY	$15,102.00		SY		15,102.00	SY	$15,102.00		SY	
97	2,484.00	SY	$2,484.00		SY		2,484.00	SY	$2,484.00		SY	
98	4.05	EACH	$29,580.70		EACH		4.05	EACH	$29,580.70		EACH	
99	1,683.00	SY	$5,638.05	1,122.00	SY	$3,758.70	561.00	SY	$1,879.35		SY	
100	1.00	LUMP SUM	$7,600.00		LUMP SUM		1.00	LUMP SUM	$7,600.00		LUMP SUM	
101	15.00	EACH	$4,200.00	14.00	EACH	$3,920.00	1.00	EACH	$280.00		EACH	
102	32.00	EACH	$47,040.00	11.00	EACH	$16,170.00	21.00	EACH	$30,870.00		EACH	
103	13.00	EACH	$3,276.00	12.00	EACH	$3,024.00	1.00	EACH	$252.00		EACH	
104	30.00	EACH	$1,710.00	11.00	EACH	$627.00	19.00	EACH	$1,083.00		EACH	
105	13.00	EACH	$3,900.00	12.00	EACH	$3,600.00	1.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH	
106		CY			CY			CY			CY	
107		CY			CY			CY			CY	
108	649.00	SY	$1,038.40		SY		649.00	SY	$1,038.40		SY	
109	325.00	CY	$650.00		CY		325.00	CY	$650.00		CY	
110		TON			TON			TON			TON	
111	206.00	TON	$2,719.20		TON		206.00	TON	$2,719.20		TON	
112	257.00	LF	$7,967.00		LF		257.00	LF	$7,967.00		LF	
113	3,644.50	LF	$211,381.00		LF		3,644.50	LF	$211,381.00		LF	
114	3,278.00	LF	$360,580.00		LF		3,278.00	LF	$360,580.00		LF	
115	8.00	EACH	$8,800.00		EACH		8.00	EACH	$8,800.00		EACH	
116		LF			LF			LF			LF	
117		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
118		LF			LF			LF			LF	
119	46.27	LF	$143,437.00		LF		46.27	LF	$143,437.00		LF	
120	2.00	EACH	$50,730.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$50,730.00		EACH	
121	2.00	EACH	$3,040.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$3,040.00		EACH	
122	3.00	EACH	$7,725.00		EACH		3.00	EACH	$7,725.00		EACH	
123	5.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH		5.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH	
124	128.00	SF	$473.60		SF		128.00	SF	$473.60		SF	
125	2.00	EACH	$6,320.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$6,320.00		EACH	
126		LF			LF			LF			LF	
127		LF			LF			LF			LF	
128	1.00	EACH	$265.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$265.00		EACH	

Partial Pay Estimate No.: ***25-FINAL***

East Bethel Gravity Interceptor & Discharge & Utility Infrastructure Project

CITY OF EAST BETHEL, MN

PROJECT NO. C12.100028

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES Project No. 801602

WORK COMPLETED THROUGH OCTOBER 25, 2013

ITEM NO.	ITEM	UNIT PRICE	AS BID			AS BID - CITY			AS BID - MCES		
			ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT
129 02535	FORCEMAIN FITTINGS	$6.00	4300	POUND	$25,800.00		POUND		4,300.00	POUND	$25,800.00
130 02705	ADJUST CASTING	$300.00	6	EACH	$1,800.00		EACH		6.00	EACH	$1,800.00
131 02705	ADJUST VALVE BOX	$236.00	10	EACH	$2,360.00		EACH		10.00	EACH	$2,360.00
132 02510	HYDRANT & VALVE SUPPORT & FITING BLOCKING IN POOR SOILS	$41.00	30	LF	$1,230.00		LF		30.00	LF	$1,230.00
133 02510	8" PVC C900 DR 25 WATERMAIN	$27.00	2360	LF	$63,720.00	2,360.00	LF	$63,720.00		LF	
134 02510	12" PVC C900 DR 18 WATERMAIN	$37.00	810	LF	$29,970.00	810.00	LF	$29,970.00		LF	
135 02510	16" PVC C905 DR 21 WATERMAIN	$44.00	3840	LF	$168,960.00	3,840.00	LF	$168,960.00		LF	
136 02510	24" PVC C905 DR 21 WATERMAIN	$75.00	1350	LF	$101,250.00	1,350.00	LF	$101,250.00		LF	
137 02510	19.5" O.D. HDPE DR 11 DIPS WATERMAIN	$75.00	790	LF	$59,250.00	790.00	LF	$59,250.00		LF	
138 02510	32" O.D. HDPE DR 11 DIPS WATERMAIN	$150.00	4040	LF	$606,000.00	4,040.00	LF	$606,000.00		LF	
139 02445	WATERMAIN BORING - 16" CARRIER PIPE	$326.00	380	LF	$123,880.00	380.00	LF	$123,880.00		LF	
140 02445	WATERMAIN BORING - 24" CARRIER PIPE	$437.00	430	LF	$187,910.00	430.00	LF	$187,910.00		LF	
141 02445	SET UP BORING PIT (0-10 FEET)	$10,400.00	2	EACH	$20,800.00	2.00	EACH	$20,800.00		EACH	
142 02445	SET UP BORING PIT (10-15 FEET)	$15,400.00	2	EACH	$30,800.00	2.00	EACH	$30,800.00		EACH	
143 02510	4" PVC C900 DR 25 WATER SERVICE	$15.00	190	LF	$2,850.00	190.00	LF	$2,850.00		LF	
144 02510	4" PVC C900 DR 18 WATER SERVICE	$15.00	490	LF	$7,350.00	490.00	LF	$7,350.00		LF	
145 02510	6" PVC C900 DR 18 WATER SERVICE	$22.00	90	LF	$1,980.00	90.00	LF	$1,980.00		LF	
146 02510	6" PVC C900 DR 25 WATER SERVICE	$18.00	130	LF	$2,340.00	130.00	LF	$2,340.00		LF	
147 02510	6" PVC C900 DR 18 HYDRANT LEAD	$22.00	200	LF	$4,400.00	200.00	LF	$4,400.00		LF	
148 02510	6" PVC C900 DR 25 HYDRANT LEAD	$22.00	70	LF	$1,540.00	70.00	LF	$1,540.00		LF	
149 02510	4" GATE VALVE	$1,000.00	17	EACH	$17,000.00	17.00	EACH	$17,000.00		EACH	
150 02510	6" GATE VALVE	$1,100.00	26	EACH	$28,600.00	26.00	EACH	$28,600.00		EACH	
151 02510	8" GATE VALVE	$1,520.00	10	EACH	$15,200.00	10.00	EACH	$15,200.00		EACH	
152 02510	12" GATE VALVE	$2,625.00	2	EACH	$5,250.00	2.00	EACH	$5,250.00		EACH	
153 02510	16" BUTTERFLY VALVE	$3,000.00	12	EACH	$36,000.00	12.00	EACH	$36,000.00		EACH	
154 02510	24" BUTTERFLY VALVE	$5,660.00	8	EACH	$45,280.00	8.00	EACH	$45,280.00		EACH	
155 02510	HYDRANT	$3,320.00	21	EACH	$69,720.00	21.00	EACH	$69,720.00		EACH	
156 02510	HYDRANT EXTENSION	$500.00	11	LF	$5,500.00	11.00	LF	$5,500.00		LF	
157 02510	VALVE BOX EXTENSION	$75.00	11	LF	$825.00	11.00	LF	$825.00		LF	
158 02705	ADJUST VALVE BOX	$250.00	74	EACH	$18,500.00	74.00	EACH	$18,500.00		EACH	
159 02510	GATE VALVE MARKER SIGN	$60.00	15	EACH	$900.00	15.00	EACH	$900.00		EACH	
160 02510	WATERMAIN FITTINGS	$9.00	16500	POUND	$148,500.00	16,500.00	POUND	$148,500.00		POUND	
161 02510	4" INSULATION	$3.70	1500	SF	$5,550.00	1,500.00	SF	$5,550.00		SF	
162 02510	HYDRANT & VALVE SUPPORT & FITING BLOCKING IN POOR SOILS	$41.00	180	LF	$7,380.00	180.00	LF	$7,380.00		LF	
163 02320	TRENCH CONSOLIDATION REPLACEMENT MATERIAL	$4.00	60000	TON	$240,000.00	24,350.00	TON	$97,400.00	35,650.00	TON	$142,600.00
164 02330	COMMON EXCAVATION (P)	$6.35	7000	CY	$44,450.00	4,323.33	CY	$27,453.17	2,676.67	CY	$16,996.83
165 02330	SUBGRADE EXCAVATION (EV)	$9.00	400	CY	$3,600.00	235.00	CY	$2,115.00	165.00	CY	$1,485.00
166 02330	SUBGRADE EXCAVATION REPLACMENT MATERIAL	$6.25	800	TON	$5,000.00	471.00	TON	$2,943.75	329.00	TON	$2,056.25
167 02335	SUBGRADE PREPARATION	$0.70	24370	SY	$17,059.00	14,513.00	SY	$10,159.10	9,857.00	SY	$6,899.90
168 02720	AGGREGATE BASE CLASS 5 - STREETS & PARKING LOT	$12.50	8750	TON	$109,375.00	5,212.00	TON	$65,150.00	3,538.00	TON	$44,225.00
169 02720	AGGREGATE BASE CLASS 5 - DRIVEWAYS	$16.00	275	TON	$4,400.00	228.59	TON	$3,657.37	46.41	TON	$742.63
170 02730	AGGREGATE SURFACE CLASS 5 - DRIVEWAY	$16.00	60	TON	$960.00	60.00	TON	$960.00		TON	
171 02740	2" TYPE LV3 NON WEARING COURSE MIXTURE B - STREETS	$55.80	2180	TON	$121,644.00	1,587.00	TON	$88,554.60	593.00	TON	$33,089.40
172 02740	2" TYPE LV3 NON WEARING COURSE MIXTURE B - P-LOT	$56.00	520	TON	$29,120.00		TON		520.00	TON	$29,120.00
173 02740	2" TYPE LV4 WEARING COURSE MIXTURE B - STREETS	$6.80	21250	SY	$144,500.00	16,120.33	SY	$109,618.27	5,129.67	SY	$34,881.73
174 02740	1 1/2" TYPE LV4 WEARING COURSE MIXTURE B - P-LOT	$5.30	4450	SY	$23,585.00		SY		4,450.00	SY	$23,585.00
175 02740	2" TYPE LV4 WEARING COURSE MIXTURE B - DRIVEWAY	$14.50	640	SY	$9,280.00	510.67	SY	$7,404.67	129.33	SY	$1,875.33
176 02740	2" OVERLAY	$7.00	2380	SY	$16,660.00	2,380.00	SY	$16,660.00		SY	
177 02740	BITUMINOUS TRAIL	$188.00	10	SY	$1,880.00	10.00	SY	$1,880.00		SY	
178 02740	BITUMINOUS PATCH	$52.50	200	SY	$10,500.00	200.00	SY	$10,500.00		SY	
179 02740	BITUMINOUS CURB	$1.65	7520	LF	$12,408.00	5,619.33	LF	$9,271.90	1,900.67	LF	$3,136.10
180 02770	6" CONCRETE DRIVEWAY	$5.20	1620	SF	$8,424.00	1,334.00	SF	$6,936.80	286.00	SF	$1,487.20
181 02770	B612 CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER	$16.50	600	LF	$9,900.00	489.33	LF	$8,074.00	110.67	LF	$1,826.00
182 02770	B618 CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER	$12.50	950	LF	$11,875.00	633.33	LF	$7,916.67	316.67	LF	$3,958.33
183 02770	CONCRETE VALLEY GUTTER	$30.00	120	LF	$3,600.00	80.00	LF	$2,400.00	40.00	LF	$1,200.00
184 02760	4" WHITE STRIPE - PAINT - TEMPORARY	$0.35	3250	LF	$1,137.50		LF		3,250.00	LF	$1,137.50
185 02760	4" WHITE STRIPE - PAINT - PERMANENT	$0.35	3250	LF	$1,137.50		LF		3,250.00	LF	$1,137.50
186 02610	48" RCP CL III CULVERT	$118.00	37	LF	$4,366.00		LF		37.00	LF	$4,366.00
187 02610	48" RCP CL III CULVERT FLARED END	$6,525.00	2	EACH	$13,050.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$13,050.00
188 02630	STORM SEWER STRUCTURE DESIGN H	$225.00	14	LF	$3,150.00	6.47	LF	$1,455.00	7.53	LF	$1,695.00
189 02630	72" STORM SEWER STRUCTURE	$560.00	12	LF	$6,720.00	12.00	LF	$6,720.00		LF	
190 02630	18" RCP CL V STORM SEWER	$34.00	88	LF	$2,992.00	48.67	LF	$1,654.67	39.33	LF	$1,337.33
191 02630	21" RCP CL V STORM SEWER	$39.00	21	LF	$819.00	7.00	LF	$273.00	14.00	LF	$546.00
192 02630	48" RCP CL III STORM SEWER	$113.00	50	LF	$5,650.00	50.00	LF	$5,650.00		LF	
193 02630	18" RCP CL V STORM SEWER FLARED END	$805.00	1	EACH	$805.00	1.00	EACH	$805.00		EACH	
194 02630	21" RCP CL V STORM SEWER FLARED END	$900.00	1	EACH	$900.00	1.00	EACH	$900.00		EACH	
195 02630	48" RCP CL III STORM SEWER FLARED END	$1,800.00	2	EACH	$3,600.00	2.00	EACH	$3,600.00		EACH	
196 02630	CATCH BASIN CASTING ASSEMBLY	$562.00	10	EACH	$5,620.00	6.67	EACH	$3,746.67	3.33	EACH	$1,873.33
197 02705	ADJUST CASTING	$300.00	10	EACH	$3,000.00	6.00	EACH	$1,800.00	4.00	EACH	$1,200.00
198 02377	RIPRAP CLASS III	$100.00	105	CY	$10,500.00	51.67	CY	$5,166.67	53.33	CY	$5,333.33
199 02370	SILT FENCE	$1.80	16500	LF	$29,700.00	8,082.83	LF	$14,549.10	8,417.17	LF	$15,150.90
200 02370	BIOROLL DITCH CHECK	$2.75	1150	LF	$3,162.50	230.00	LF	$632.50	920.00	LF	$2,530.00
201 02370	SILT CURTAIN	$13.00	900	LF	$11,700.00	166.67	LF	$2,166.67	733.33	LF	$9,533.33
202 02370	INLET PROTECTION	$205.00	15	EACH	$3,075.00	11.67	EACH	$2,391.67	3.33	EACH	$683.33
203 02370	ROCK CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE	$1,100.00	6	EACH	$6,600.00	3.00	EACH	$3,300.00	3.00	EACH	$3,300.00
204 02370	CABLE CONCRETE	$9.00	4900	SF	$44,100.00	2,152.83	SF	$19,375.50	2,747.17	SF	$24,724.50
205 02920	EROSION CONTROL BLANKET CAT 3	$1.25	550	SY	$687.50	402.67	SY	$503.33	147.33	SY	$184.17
206 02920	SEED AND MULCH - SEED MIX 240	$550.00	14.9	ACRE	$8,195.00	4.90	ACRE	$2,695.00	10.00	ACRE	$5,500.00
207 02920	SEED AND MULCH - SEED MIX 260	$640.00	2.4	ACRE	$1,536.00	2.00	ACRE	$1,280.00	0.40	ACRE	$256.00
208 02920	WETLAND SEED - SEED MIX 325	$1,775.00	28.9	ACRE	$51,297.50	14.00	ACRE	$24,850.00	14.90	ACRE	$26,447.50
209 02920	SOD FARM SEED	$700.00	3.8	ACRE	$2,660.00	1.90	ACRE	$1,330.00	1.90	ACRE	$1,330.00
210 02920	SOD	$2.50	9050	SY	$22,625.00	7,393.33	SY	$18,483.33	1,656.67	SY	$4,141.67
211 02310	TOPSOIL BORROW	$13.75	1425	TON	$19,593.75	1,126.67	TON	$15,491.67	298.33	TON	$4,102.08
212 02930	2" B&B RIVER BIRCH	$250.00	38	EACH	$9,500.00		EACH		38.00	EACH	$9,500.00
213 02930	2" B&BSWAMP WHITE OAK	$240.00	37	EACH	$8,880.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$8,880.00
214 02930	# 5 CONTAINER RED OSIER DOGWOOD	$40.00	37	EACH	$1,480.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$1,480.00
215 02930	#5 CONTAINER AMERICAN CRANBERRY BUSH	$45.00	37	EACH	$1,665.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$1,665.00
216 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING (9 5/8") DRIVEN - TYPE C BEDDING			LF			LF			LF	
217 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING (9 5/8") DELIVERED - TYPE C BEDDING			LF			LF			LF	
218 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING CONCRETE- PILE CAP, GRADE BEAM, MH BASE			CY			CY			CY	
219 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING STEEL- PILE CAP, GRADE BEAM, MH BASE			POUND			POUND			POUND	
220 02531	TEST PILE (9 5/8")			LF			LF			LF	
221 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING (12 3/4") DRIVEN - TYPE C BEDDING	$39.02	9860	LF	$384,737.20		LF		9,860.00	LF	$384,737.20
222 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING (12 3/4") DELIVERED - TYPE C BEDDING	$39.53	10060	LF	$397,671.80		LF		10,060.00	LF	$397,671.80
223 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING CONCRETE- PILE CAP, GRADE BEAM, MH BASE	$496.92	1185	CY	$588,850.20		CY		1,185.00	CY	$588,850.20
224 02530	GRAVITY SEWER PILING STEEL- PILE CAP, GRADE BEAM, MH BASE	$1.00	150255	POUND	$150,255.00		POUND		150,255.00	POUND	$150,255.00
225 02531	TEST PILE (12 3/4")	$132.60	200	LF	$26,520.00		LF		200.00	LF	$26,520.00
226	CO 1 - FUEL COSTS	$160,606.66	1	EACH	$160,606.66	1.00	EACH	$160,606.66		EACH	
227	CO 1 - 114 - 21.6" O.D. HDPE DR7	$13.80	2873	LF	$39,647.40	2,873.00	LF	$39,647.40		LF	
228	CO 1 - 137 - 19.5" O.D. HDPE DR 11	$7.63	790	LF	$6,027.70	790.00	LF	$6,027.70		LF	
229	CO 1 - 138 - 32" O.D. HDPE DR 11	$16.69	4040	LF	$67,427.60	4,040.00	LF	$67,427.60		LF	
230	CO 1 - 101 - Sanitary Sewer Casting	$16.18	13	EACH	$210.34	13.00	EACH	$210.34		EACH	
231	CO 1 - 102 - Watertight Casting	$90.84	32	EACH	$2,906.88	32.00	EACH	$2,906.88		EACH	
232	CO 1 - 196 - Catch Basin Casting	$26.13	10	EACH	$261.30	10.00	EACH	$261.30		EACH	
233	CO 1 - 223 - Gravity Sewer Piling Concrete	$15.03	1185	CY	$17,810.55	1,185.00	CY	$17,810.55		CY	
234	CO 1 - 224 - Gravity Sewer Piling Steel	$0.20	150255	POUND	$30,051.00	150,255.00	POUND	$30,051.00		POUND	
235 2770	CO 2 - B618 CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER - COST SPLITS (11.75 LF)	$6.61	8470	LF	$55,986.70	6,252.67	LF	$41,330.13	2,217.33	LF	$14,656.57
236 2770	CO 2 - B618 CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER - CITY PORTION (11.75 LF)	$5.14	8470	LF	$43,535.80	8,470.00	LF	$43,535.80		LF	
237 2740	CO 2 - 2" TYPE LV3 NON WEARING COURSE MIXTURE B - STREETS	$55.80	-283	TON	-$15,791.40	-206.02	TON	-$11,495.85	-76.98	TON	-$4,295.55
238 2740	CO 2 - 2" TYPE LV4 WEARING COURSE MIXTURE B - STREETS	$6.80	-2340	SY	-$15,912.00	-1,775.13	SY	-$12,070.91	-564.87	SY	-$3,841.09
239 2740	CO 2 - BITUMINOUS CURB	$1.65	-7520	LF	-$12,408.00	-5,619.33	LF	-$9,271.90	-1,900.67	LF	-$3,136.10
240 2770	CO 2 - B618 CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER	$12.50	-950	LF	-$11,875.00	-633.33	LF	-$7,916.67	-316.67	LF	-$3,958.33
241	EXTRA WORK - MH 500 & 501 Inverts	$1,012.00	1	LS	$1,012.00	1.00	LS	$1,012.00		LS	
242	EXTRA WORK - Modify Storm Structures on Ulysses & Buchannon	$1,480.00	1	LS	$1,480.00	1.00	LS	$1,480.00		LS	
243	CO 3 - Reduce MH 1 Height	$3,100.00	-1.5	LF	-$4,650.00		LF		-1.50	LF	-$4,650.00
244	CO 3 - Eliminate AR 2	$25,365.00	-1	EACH	-$25,365.00		EACH		-1.00	EACH	-$25,365.00
245	CO 3 - Eliminate 72" Bouyancy Collar	$1,520.00	-1	EACH	-$1,520.00		EACH		-1.00	EACH	-$1,520.00
246	CO 3 - MCES Buy MH Parts / Equip. not Used on Proj.	$17,420.92	1	LS	$17,420.92		LS		1.00	LS	$17,420.92
247	CO 3 - Install Cost for Purchased Parts Included in MH1 Hgt.	$3,286.00	1	LS	$3,286.00		LS		1.00	LS	$3,286.00
248	CO 3 - Reinstall Top Sections MH1	$1,750.00	1	LS	$1,750.00		LS		1.00	LS	$1,750.00
249	EXTRA WORK - 187th Interceptor Sewer Boring Cellular Grout in Casing	$2,562.00	1	LS	$2,562.00		LS		1.00	LS	$2,562.00
250	CO 6 - 24" Time & Materials Work	$47,276.55	1	LS	$47,276.55		LS		1.00	LS	$47,276.55
251	CO 6 - 42" Time & Materials Work	$117,723.21	1	LS	$117,723.21		LS		1.00	LS	$117,723.21
252	CO 6 - Extra Soil Handling Claim	$30,166.00	1	LS	$30,166.00		LS		1.00	LS	$30,166.00
253	CO 6 - Extra Dewatering Claim for 187th Ave tunnel	$37,550.14	1	LS	$37,550.14		LS		1.00	LS	$37,550.14
254	CO 6 - Additional Cost of Discharge Pipe Claim	$27,318.00	1	LS	$27,318.00		LS		1.00	LS	$27,318.00
255	CO 6 - 221 - 12.75" Piling Driven	$39.02	-3584	LF	-$139,847.68		LF		-3,584.00	LF	-$139,847.68
256	CO 6 - 223 - Piling Concrete	$496.92	-429	CY	-$213,178.68		CY		-429.00	CY	-$213,178.68

ITEM NO.	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE			PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - CITY			PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - MCES			PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - COUNTY		
	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT
129	3,732.00	POUND	$22,392.00		POUND		3,732.00	POUND	$22,392.00		POUND	
130	1.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH	
131	6.00	EACH	$1,416.00		EACH		6.00	EACH	$1,416.00		EACH	
132	20.00	LF	$820.00		LF		20.00	LF	$820.00		LF	
133	2,299.00	LF	$62,073.00	2,299.00	LF	$62,073.00		LF			LF	
134	849.00	LF	$31,413.00	849.00	LF	$31,413.00		LF			LF	
135	3,331.50	LF	$146,586.00	3,331.50	LF	$146,586.00		LF			LF	
136	1,412.50	LF	$105,937.50	1,412.50	LF	$105,937.50		LF			LF	
137	1,313.00	LF	$98,475.00	1,313.00	LF	$98,475.00		LF			LF	
138	3,939.50	LF	$590,925.00	3,939.50	LF	$590,925.00		LF			LF	
139	288.00	LF	$93,888.00	288.00	LF	$93,888.00		LF			LF	
140	430.00	LF	$187,910.00	430.00	LF	$187,910.00		LF			LF	
141	1.00	EACH	$10,400.00	1.00	EACH	$10,400.00		EACH			EACH	
142	2.00	EACH	$30,800.00	2.00	EACH	$30,800.00		EACH			EACH	
143	174.00	LF	$2,610.00	174.00	LF	$2,610.00		LF			LF	
144	406.00	LF	$6,090.00	406.00	LF	$6,090.00		LF			LF	
145	105.00	LF	$2,310.00	105.00	LF	$2,310.00		LF			LF	
146	119.00	LF	$2,142.00	119.00	LF	$2,142.00		LF			LF	
147	179.50	LF	$3,949.00	179.50	LF	$3,949.00		LF			LF	
148	80.00	LF	$1,760.00	80.00	LF	$1,760.00		LF			LF	
149	18.00	EACH	$18,000.00	18.00	EACH	$18,000.00		EACH			EACH	
150	27.00	EACH	$29,700.00	27.00	EACH	$29,700.00		EACH			EACH	
151	10.00	EACH	$15,200.00	10.00	EACH	$15,200.00		EACH			EACH	
152	2.00	EACH	$5,250.00	2.00	EACH	$5,250.00		EACH			EACH	
153	12.00	EACH	$36,000.00	12.00	EACH	$36,000.00		EACH			EACH	
154	8.00	EACH	$45,280.00	8.00	EACH	$45,280.00		EACH			EACH	
155	21.00	EACH	$69,720.00	21.00	EACH	$69,720.00		EACH			EACH	
156	19.50	LF	$9,750.00	19.50	LF	$9,750.00		LF			LF	
157	19.50	LF	$1,462.50	19.50	LF	$1,462.50		LF			LF	
158	29.00	EACH	$7,250.00	29.00	EACH	$7,250.00		EACH			EACH	
159	24.00	EACH	$1,440.00	24.00	EACH	$1,440.00		EACH			EACH	
160	19,330.00	POUND	$173,970.00	19,330.00	POUND	$173,970.00		POUND			POUND	
161	257.00	SF	$950.90	257.00	SF	$950.90		SF			SF	
162	117.00	LF	$4,797.00	117.00	LF	$4,797.00		LF			LF	
163	1,534.60	TON	$6,138.40	1,534.60	TON	$6,138.40		TON			TON	
164	7,000.00	CY	$44,450.00	4,323.33	CY	$27,453.17	2,676.67	CY	$16,996.83		CY	
165	507.99	CY	$4,571.91	338.66	CY	$3,047.94	169.33	CY	$1,523.97		CY	
166		TON			TON			TON			TON	
167	24,118.00	SY	$16,882.60	13,954.33	SY	$9,768.03	10,163.67	SY	$7,114.57		SY	
168	7,915.86	TON	$98,948.25	4,553.00	TON	$56,912.50	3,362.86	TON	$42,035.75		TON	
169	214.32	TON	$3,429.12	169.49	TON	$2,711.84	44.83	TON	$717.28		TON	
170	40.14	TON	$642.24	40.14	TON	$642.24		TON			TON	
171	2,031.82	TON	$113,375.55	1,454.88	TON	$81,182.30	576.94	TON	$32,193.25		TON	
172	552.52	TON	$30,941.12		TON		552.52	TON	$30,941.12		TON	
173	16,559.30	SY	$112,603.24	11,687.23	SY	$79,473.19	4,872.07	SY	$33,130.05		SY	
174	4,444.00	SY	$23,553.20		SY		4,444.00	SY	$23,553.20		SY	
175	656.88	SY	$9,524.76	519.48	SY	$7,532.51	137.40	SY	$1,992.25		SY	
176	2,385.80	SY	$16,700.60	2,385.80	SY	$16,700.60		SY			SY	
177		SY			SY			SY			SY	
178		SY			SY			SY			SY	
179		LF			LF			LF			LF	
180	2,438.50	SF	$12,680.20	2,130.00	SF	$11,076.00	308.50	SF	$1,604.20		SF	
181	487.50	LF	$8,043.75	405.67	LF	$6,693.50	81.83	LF	$1,350.25		LF	
182		LF			LF			LF			LF	
183	97.50	LF	$2,925.00	65.00	LF	$1,950.00	32.50	LF	$975.00		LF	
184		LF			LF			LF			LF	
185	3,113.00	LF	$1,089.55		LF		3,113.00	LF	$1,089.55		LF	
186	38.00	LF	$4,484.00		LF		38.00	LF	$4,484.00		LF	
187	2.00	EACH	$13,050.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$13,050.00		EACH	
188	14.25	LF	$3,206.25	6.72	LF	$1,511.25	7.53	LF	$1,695.00		LF	
189	11.84	LF	$6,630.40	11.84	LF	$6,630.40		LF			LF	
190	88.00	LF	$2,992.00	48.67	LF	$1,654.67	39.33	LF	$1,337.33		LF	
191	21.00	LF	$819.00	7.00	LF	$273.00	14.00	LF	$546.00		LF	
192	40.00	LF	$4,520.00	40.00	LF	$4,520.00		LF			LF	
193	1.00	EACH	$805.00	1.00	EACH	$805.00		EACH			EACH	
194	1.00	EACH	$900.00	1.00	EACH	$900.00		EACH			EACH	
195	2.00	EACH	$3,600.00	2.00	EACH	$3,600.00		EACH			EACH	
196	6.00	EACH	$3,372.00	4.00	EACH	$2,248.00	2.00	EACH	$1,124.00		EACH	
197	10.00	EACH	$3,000.00	6.67	EACH	$2,000.00	3.33	EACH	$1,000.00		EACH	
198	194.50	CY	$19,450.00	141.17	CY	$14,116.67	53.33	CY	$5,333.33		CY	
199	14,780.00	LF	$26,604.00	6,782.50	LF	$12,208.50	7,997.50	LF	$14,395.50		LF	
200	637.00	LF	$1,751.75	94.00	LF	$258.50	543.00	LF	$1,493.25		LF	
201	75.00	LF	$975.00	25.00	LF	$325.00	50.00	LF	$650.00		LF	
202	6.00	EACH	$1,230.00	4.00	EACH	$820.00	2.00	EACH	$410.00		EACH	
203	3.00	EACH	$3,300.00	1.50	EACH	$1,650.00	1.50	EACH	$1,650.00		EACH	
204	3,616.00	SF	$32,544.00	1,461.33	SF	$13,152.00	2,154.67	SF	$19,392.00		SF	
205	8,378.00	SY	$10,472.50	1,813.00	SY	$2,266.25	6,565.00	SY	$8,206.25		SY	
206	8.48	ACRE	$4,664.55	1.52	ACRE	$835.63	6.96	ACRE	$3,828.92		ACRE	
207	2.07	ACRE	$1,323.71	1.29	ACRE	$827.22	0.78	ACRE	$496.49		ACRE	
208	1.62	ACRE	$2,875.50	1.12	ACRE	$1,988.00	0.50	ACRE	$887.50		ACRE	
209		ACRE			ACRE			ACRE			ACRE	
210	6,218.67	SY	$15,546.67	4,525.95	SY	$11,314.87	1,692.72	SY	$4,231.81		SY	
211	4,076.90	TON	$56,057.37	1,843.87	TON	$25,353.17	2,233.03	TON	$30,704.21		TON	
212	38.00	EACH	$9,500.00		EACH		38.00	EACH	$9,500.00		EACH	
213	37.00	EACH	$8,880.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$8,880.00		EACH	
214	37.00	EACH	$1,480.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$1,480.00		EACH	
215	37.00	EACH	$1,665.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$1,665.00		EACH	
216		LF			LF			LF			LF	
217		LF			LF			LF			LF	
218		CY			CY			CY			CY	
219		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
220		LF			LF			LF			LF	
221		LF			LF			LF			LF	
222	10,060.00	LF	$397,671.80		LF		10,060.00	LF	$397,671.80		LF	
223		CY			CY			CY			CY	
224		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
225		LF			LF			LF			LF	
226	1.00	EACH	$160,606.66	1.00	EACH	$160,606.66		EACH			EACH	
227	3,278.00	LF	$45,236.40	3,278.00	LF	$45,236.40		LF			LF	
228	1,313.00	LF	$10,018.19	1,313.00	LF	$10,018.19		LF			LF	
229	3,939.50	LF	$65,750.26	3,939.50	LF	$65,750.26		LF			LF	
230	15.00	EACH	$242.70	15.00	EACH	$242.70		EACH			EACH	
231	32.00	EACH	$2,906.88	32.00	EACH	$2,906.88		EACH			EACH	
232	6.00	EACH	$156.78	6.00	EACH	$156.78		EACH			EACH	
233		CY			CY			CY			CY	
234		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
235	8,463.00	LF	$55,940.43	6,242.67	LF	$41,264.03	2,220.33	LF	$14,676.40		LF	
236	8,463.00	LF	$43,499.82	8,463.00	LF	$43,499.82		LF			LF	
237		TON			TON			TON			TON	
238		SY			SY			SY			SY	
239		LF			LF			LF			LF	
240		LF			LF			LF			LF	
241	1.00	LS	$1,012.00	1.00	LS	$1,012.00		LS			LS	
242	1.00	LS	$1,480.00	1.00	LS	$1,480.00		LS			LS	
243		LF			LF			LF			LF	
244		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
245		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
246		LS			LS			LS			LS	
247	1.00	LS	$3,286.00		LS		1.00	LS	$3,286.00		LS	
248	1.00	LS	$1,750.00		LS		1.00	LS	$1,750.00		LS	
249	1.00	LS	$2,562.00		LS		1.00	LS	$2,562.00		LS	
250	1.00	LS	$47,276.55		LS		1.00	LS	$47,276.55		LS	
251	1.00	LS	$117,723.21		LS		1.00	LS	$117,723.21		LS	
252	1.00	LS	$30,166.00		LS		1.00	LS	$30,166.00		LS	
253	1.00	LS	$37,550.14		LS		1.00	LS	$37,550.14		LS	
254	1.00	LS	$27,318.00		LS		1.00	LS	$27,318.00		LS	
255		LF			LF			LF			LF	
256		CY			CY			CY			CY	

ITEM NO.	CURRENT ESTIMATE			CURRENT ESTIMATE - CITY			CURRENT ESTIMATE - MCES			CURRENT ESTIMATE - COUNTY		
	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT
129		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
130		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
131		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
132		LF			LF			LF			LF	
133		LF			LF			LF			LF	
134		LF			LF			LF			LF	
135		LF			LF			LF			LF	
136		LF			LF			LF			LF	
137		LF			LF			LF			LF	
138		LF			LF			LF			LF	
139		LF			LF			LF			LF	
140		LF			LF			LF			LF	
141		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
142		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
143		LF			LF			LF			LF	
144		LF			LF			LF			LF	
145		LF			LF			LF			LF	
146		LF			LF			LF			LF	
147		LF			LF			LF			LF	
148		LF			LF			LF			LF	
149		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
150		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
151		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
152		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
153		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
154		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
155		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
156		LF			LF			LF			LF	
157		LF			LF			LF			LF	
158		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
159		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
160	85.00	POUND	$765.00	85.00	POUND	$765.00		POUND			POUND	
161		SF			SF			SF			SF	
162	62.00	LF	$2,542.00	62.00	LF	$2,542.00		LF			LF	
163		TON			TON			TON			TON	
164		CY			CY			CY			CY	
165		CY			CY			CY			CY	
166		TON			TON			TON			TON	
167		SY			SY			SY			SY	
168		TON			TON			TON			TON	
169		TON			TON			TON			TON	
170		TON			TON			TON			TON	
171		TON			TON			TON			TON	
172		TON			TON			TON			TON	
173		SY			SY			SY			SY	
174		SY			SY			SY			SY	
175		SY			SY			SY			SY	
176		SY			SY			SY			SY	
177		SY			SY			SY			SY	
178		SY			SY			SY			SY	
179		LF			LF			LF			LF	
180		SF			SF			SF			SF	
181		LF			LF			LF			LF	
182		LF			LF			LF			LF	
183		LF			LF			LF			LF	
184		LF			LF			LF			LF	
185		LF			LF			LF			LF	
186		LF			LF			LF			LF	
187		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
188		LF			LF			LF			LF	
189		LF			LF			LF			LF	
190		LF			LF			LF			LF	
191		LF			LF			LF			LF	
192		LF			LF			LF			LF	
193		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
194		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
195		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
196		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
197		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
198		CY			CY			CY			CY	
199		LF			LF			LF			LF	
200		LF			LF			LF			LF	
201		LF			LF			LF			LF	
202		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
203		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
204		SF			SF			SF			SF	
205		SY			SY			SY			SY	
206		ACRE			ACRE			ACRE			ACRE	
207		ACRE			ACRE			ACRE			ACRE	
208		ACRE			ACRE			ACRE			ACRE	
209		ACRE			ACRE			ACRE			ACRE	
210		SY			SY			SY			SY	
211		TON			TON			TON			TON	
212		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
213		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
214		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
215		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
216		LF			LF			LF			LF	
217		LF			LF			LF			LF	
218		CY			CY			CY			CY	
219		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
220		LF			LF			LF			LF	
221		LF			LF			LF			LF	
222		LF			LF			LF			LF	
223		CY			CY			CY			CY	
224		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
225		LF			LF			LF			LF	
226		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
227		LF			LF			LF			LF	
228		LF			LF			LF			LF	
229		LF			LF			LF			LF	
230		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
231		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
232		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
233		CY			CY			CY			CY	
234		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
235		LF			LF			LF			LF	
236		LF			LF			LF			LF	
237		TON			TON			TON			TON	
238		SY			SY			SY			SY	
239		LF			LF			LF			LF	
240		LF			LF			LF			LF	
241		LS			LS			LS			LS	
242		LS			LS			LS			LS	
243		LF			LF			LF			LF	
244		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
245		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
246	0.68	LS	$11,772.03		LS		0.68	LS	$11,772.03		LS	
247		LS			LS			LS			LS	
248		LS			LS			LS			LS	
249		LS			LS			LS			LS	
250		LS			LS			LS			LS	
251		LS			LS			LS			LS	
252		LS			LS			LS			LS	
253		LS			LS			LS			LS	
254		LS			LS			LS			LS	
255		LF			LF			LF			LF	
256		CY			CY			CY			CY	

ITEM NO.	COMPLETED TO DATE			COMPLETED TO DATE - CITY			COMPLETED TO DATE - MCES			COMPLETED TO DATE - COUNTY		
	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY		ESTIMATED AMOUNT
129	3,732.00	POUND	$22,392.00		POUND		3,732.00	POUND	$22,392.00		POUND	
130	1.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH		1.00	EACH	$300.00		EACH	
131	6.00	EACH	$1,416.00		EACH		6.00	EACH	$1,416.00		EACH	
132	20.00	LF	$820.00		LF		20.00	LF	$820.00		LF	
133	2,299.00	LF	$62,073.00	2,299.00	LF	$62,073.00		LF			LF	
134	849.00	LF	$31,413.00	849.00	LF	$31,413.00		LF			LF	
135	3,331.50	LF	$146,586.00	3,331.50	LF	$146,586.00		LF			LF	
136	1,412.50	LF	$105,937.50	1,412.50	LF	$105,937.50		LF			LF	
137	1,313.00	LF	$98,475.00	1,313.00	LF	$98,475.00		LF			LF	
138	3,939.50	LF	$590,925.00	3,939.50	LF	$590,925.00		LF			LF	
139	288.00	LF	$93,888.00	288.00	LF	$93,888.00		LF			LF	
140	430.00	LF	$187,910.00	430.00	LF	$187,910.00		LF			LF	
141	1.00	EACH	$10,400.00	1.00	EACH	$10,400.00		EACH			EACH	
142	2.00	EACH	$30,800.00	2.00	EACH	$30,800.00		EACH			EACH	
143	174.00	LF	$2,610.00	174.00	LF	$2,610.00		LF			LF	
144	406.00	LF	$6,090.00	406.00	LF	$6,090.00		LF			LF	
145	105.00	LF	$2,310.00	105.00	LF	$2,310.00		LF			LF	
146	119.00	LF	$2,142.00	119.00	LF	$2,142.00		LF			LF	
147	179.50	LF	$3,949.00	179.50	LF	$3,949.00		LF			LF	
148	80.00	LF	$1,760.00	80.00	LF	$1,760.00		LF			LF	
149	18.00	EACH	$18,000.00	18.00	EACH	$18,000.00		EACH			EACH	
150	27.00	EACH	$29,700.00	27.00	EACH	$29,700.00		EACH			EACH	
151	10.00	EACH	$15,200.00	10.00	EACH	$15,200.00		EACH			EACH	
152	2.00	EACH	$5,250.00	2.00	EACH	$5,250.00		EACH			EACH	
153	12.00	EACH	$36,000.00	12.00	EACH	$36,000.00		EACH			EACH	
154	8.00	EACH	$45,280.00	8.00	EACH	$45,280.00		EACH			EACH	
155	21.00	EACH	$69,720.00	21.00	EACH	$69,720.00		EACH			EACH	
156	19.50	LF	$9,750.00	19.50	LF	$9,750.00		LF			LF	
157	19.50	LF	$1,462.50	19.50	LF	$1,462.50		LF			LF	
158	29.00	EACH	$7,250.00	29.00	EACH	$7,250.00		EACH			EACH	
159	24.00	EACH	$1,440.00	24.00	EACH	$1,440.00		EACH			EACH	
160	19,415.00	POUND	$174,735.00	19,415.00	POUND	$174,735.00		POUND			POUND	
161	257.00	SF	$950.90	257.00	SF	$950.90		SF			SF	
162	179.00	LF	$7,339.00	179.00	LF	$7,339.00		LF			LF	
163	1,534.60	TON	$6,138.40	1,534.60	TON	$6,138.40		TON			TON	
164	7,000.00	CY	$44,450.00	4,323.33	CY	$27,453.17	2,676.67	CY	$16,996.83		CY	
165	507.99	CY	$4,571.91	338.66	CY	$3,047.94	169.33	CY	$1,523.97		CY	
166		TON			TON			TON			TON	
167	24,118.00	SY	$16,882.60	13,954.33	SY	$9,768.03	10,163.67	SY	$7,114.57		SY	
168	7,915.86	TON	$98,948.25	4,553.00	TON	$56,912.50	3,362.86	TON	$42,035.75		TON	
169	214.32	TON	$3,429.12	169.49	TON	$2,711.84	44.83	TON	$717.28		TON	
170	40.14	TON	$642.24	40.14	TON	$642.24		TON			TON	
171	2,031.82	TON	$113,375.55	1,454.88	TON	$81,182.30	576.94	TON	$32,193.25		TON	
172	552.52	TON	$30,941.12		TON		552.52	TON	$30,941.12		TON	
173	16,559.30	SY	$112,603.24	11,687.23	SY	$79,473.19	4,872.07	SY	$33,130.05		SY	
174	4,444.00	SY	$23,553.20		SY		4,444.00	SY	$23,553.20		SY	
175	656.88	SY	$9,524.76	519.48	SY	$7,532.51	137.40	SY	$1,992.25		SY	
176	2,385.80	SY	$16,700.60	2,385.80	SY	$16,700.60		SY			SY	
177		SY			SY			SY			SY	
178		SY			SY			SY			SY	
179		LF			LF			LF			LF	
180	2,438.50	SF	$12,680.20	2,130.00	SF	$11,076.00	308.50	SF	$1,604.20		SF	
181	487.50	LF	$8,043.75	405.67	LF	$6,693.50	81.83	LF	$1,350.25		LF	
182		LF			LF			LF			LF	
183	97.50	LF	$2,925.00	65.00	LF	$1,950.00	32.50	LF	$975.00		LF	
184		LF			LF			LF			LF	
185	3,113.00	LF	$1,089.55		LF		3,113.00	LF	$1,089.55		LF	
186	38.00	LF	$4,484.00		LF		38.00	LF	$4,484.00		LF	
187	2.00	EACH	$13,050.00		EACH		2.00	EACH	$13,050.00		EACH	
188	14.25	LF	$3,206.25	6.72	LF	$1,511.25	7.53	LF	$1,695.00		LF	
189	11.84	LF	$6,630.40	11.84	LF	$6,630.40		LF			LF	
190	88.00	LF	$2,992.00	48.67	LF	$1,654.67	39.33	LF	$1,337.33		LF	
191	21.00	LF	$819.00	7.00	LF	$273.00	14.00	LF	$546.00		LF	
192	40.00	LF	$4,520.00	40.00	LF	$4,520.00		LF			LF	
193	1.00	EACH	$805.00	1.00	EACH	$805.00		EACH			EACH	
194	1.00	EACH	$900.00	1.00	EACH	$900.00		EACH			EACH	
195	2.00	EACH	$3,600.00	2.00	EACH	$3,600.00		EACH			EACH	
196	6.00	EACH	$3,372.00	4.00	EACH	$2,248.00	2.00	EACH	$1,124.00		EACH	
197	10.00	EACH	$3,000.00	6.67	EACH	$2,000.00	3.33	EACH	$1,000.00		EACH	
198	194.50	CY	$19,450.00	141.17	CY	$14,116.67	53.33	CY	$5,333.33		CY	
199	14,780.00	LF	$26,604.00	6,782.50	LF	$12,208.50	7,997.50	LF	$14,395.50		LF	
200	637.00	LF	$1,751.75	94.00	LF	$258.50	543.00	LF	$1,493.25		LF	
201	75.00	LF	$975.00	25.00	LF	$325.00	50.00	LF	$650.00		LF	
202	6.00	EACH	$1,230.00	4.00	EACH	$820.00	2.00	EACH	$410.00		EACH	
203	3.00	EACH	$3,300.00	1.50	EACH	$1,650.00	1.50	EACH	$1,650.00		EACH	
204	3,616.00	SF	$32,544.00	1,461.33	SF	$13,152.00	2,154.67	SF	$19,392.00		SF	
205	8,378.00	SY	$10,472.50	1,813.00	SY	$2,266.25	6,565.00	SY	$8,206.25		SY	
206	8.48	ACRE	$4,664.55	1.52	ACRE	$835.63	6.96	ACRE	$3,828.92		ACRE	
207	2.07	ACRE	$1,323.71	1.29	ACRE	$827.22	0.78	ACRE	$496.49		ACRE	
208	1.62	ACRE	$2,875.50	1.12	ACRE	$1,988.00	0.50	ACRE	$887.50		ACRE	
209		ACRE			ACRE			ACRE			ACRE	
210	6,218.67	SY	$15,546.67	4,525.95	SY	$11,314.87	1,692.72	SY	$4,231.81		SY	
211	4,076.90	TON	$56,057.37	1,843.87	TON	$25,353.17	2,233.03	TON	$30,704.21		TON	
212	38.00	EACH	$9,500.00		EACH		38.00	EACH	$9,500.00		EACH	
213	37.00	EACH	$8,880.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$8,880.00		EACH	
214	37.00	EACH	$1,480.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$1,480.00		EACH	
215	37.00	EACH	$1,665.00		EACH		37.00	EACH	$1,665.00		EACH	
216		LF			LF			LF			LF	
217		LF			LF			LF			LF	
218		CY			CY			CY			CY	
219		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
220		LF			LF			LF			LF	
221		LF			LF			LF			LF	
222	10,060.00	LF	$397,671.80		LF		10,060.00	LF	$397,671.80		LF	
223		CY			CY			CY			CY	
224		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
225		LF			LF			LF			LF	
226	1.00	EACH	$160,606.66	1.00	EACH	$160,606.66		EACH			EACH	
227	3,278.00	LF	$45,236.40	3,278.00	LF	$45,236.40		LF			LF	
228	1,313.00	LF	$10,018.19	1,313.00	LF	$10,018.19		LF			LF	
229	3,939.50	LF	$65,750.26	3,939.50	LF	$65,750.26		LF			LF	
230	15.00	EACH	$242.70	15.00	EACH	$242.70		EACH			EACH	
231	32.00	EACH	$2,906.88	32.00	EACH	$2,906.88		EACH			EACH	
232	6.00	EACH	$156.78	6.00	EACH	$156.78		EACH			EACH	
233		CY			CY			CY			CY	
234		POUND			POUND			POUND			POUND	
235	8,463.00	LF	$55,940.43	6,242.67	LF	$41,264.03	2,220.33	LF	$14,676.40		LF	
236	8,463.00	LF	$43,499.82	8,463.00	LF	$43,499.82		LF			LF	
237		TON			TON			TON			TON	
238		SY			SY			SY			SY	
239		LF			LF			LF			LF	
240		LF			LF			LF			LF	
241	1.00	LS	$1,012.00	1.00	LS	$1,012.00		LS			LS	
242	1.00	LS	$1,480.00	1.00	LS	$1,480.00		LS			LS	
243		LF			LF			LF			LF	
244		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
245		EACH			EACH			EACH			EACH	
246	0.68	LS	$11,772.03		LS		0.68	LS	$11,772.03		LS	
247	1.00	LS	$3,286.00		LS		1.00	LS	$3,286.00		LS	
248	1.00	LS	$1,750.00		LS		1.00	LS	$1,750.00		LS	
249	1.00	LS	$2,562.00		LS		1.00	LS	$2,562.00		LS	
250	1.00	LS	$47,276.55		LS		1.00	LS	$47,276.55		LS	
251	1.00	LS	$117,723.21		LS		1.00	LS	$117,723.21		LS	
252	1.00	LS	$30,166.00		LS		1.00	LS	$30,166.00		LS	
253	1.00	LS	$37,550.14		LS		1.00	LS	$37,550.14		LS	
254	1.00	LS	$27,318.00		LS		1.00	LS	$27,318.00		LS	
255		LF			LF			LF			LF	
256		CY			CY			CY			CY	

Partial Pay Estimate No.: ***25-FINAL***

East Bethel Gravity Interceptor & Discharge & Utility Infrastructure Project

CITY OF EAST BETHEL, MN

PROJECT NO. C12.100028

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES Project No. 801602

WORK COMPLETED THROUGH OCTOBER 25, 2013

ITEM NO.	ITEM	UNIT PRICE	AS BID ESTIMATED QUANTITY	AS BID ESTIMATED AMOUNT	AS BID - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY	AS BID - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	AS BID - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY	AS BID - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE ESTIMATED AMOUNT	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED QUANTITY	PREVIOUS ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED AMOUNT
257	CO 6 - 224 - Piling Steel	$1.00	-31585	LBS -$31,585.00		LBS	-31,585.00	LBS -$31,585.00		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS
258	CO 6 - 223 - Gravity Sewer Piling Concrete Delay Claim Added Cost	$15.03	-429	CY -$6,447.87	-429.00	CY -$6,447.87				CY		CY		CY		CY
259	CO 6 - 224 - Gravity Sewer Piling Steel Delay Claim Added Cost	$0.20	-31585	LBS -$6,317.00	-31,585.00	LBS -$6,317.00		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS
260	EXTRA WORK - Relocate Jersey Barriers at Theater Parking Lot	$3,048.00	1	LS $3,048.00		LS	1.00	LS $3,048.00	1.00	LS $3,048.00	1.00	LS $3,048.00	1.00	LS $3,048.00		LS
261	EXTRA WORK - Repair Snow Plow Damaged Concrete Curb	$3,432.00	1	LS $3,432.00	1.00	LS $3,432.00		LS	1.00	LS $3,432.00	1.00	LS $3,432.00		LS		LS
262	EXTRA WORK - 187th Lane Low Point Leveling Course	$7.00	153.75	SY $1,076.25	153.75	SY $1,076.25		SY	153.75	SY $1,076.25	153.75	SY $1,076.25		SY		SY
263	CO 4 - 32 - 48" Diameter MH	$371.25	22.76	LF $8,449.65	22.76	LF $8,449.65		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
264	CO 4 - 42 - 8" Outside Drop	$220.00	6.9	LF $1,518.00	6.90	LF $1,518.00		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
265	CO 4 - 50 - 12" PVC SDR 26 Sewer Pipe	$58.00	72	LF $4,176.00	72.00	LF $4,176.00		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
266	CO 4 - 87 - Dewatering	$65.00	72	LF $4,680.00	72.00	LF $4,680.00		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
267	CO 5 - Completion Date Extension		1	LS	0.50	LS	0.50	LS		LS		LS		LS		LS
268	CO 7 - Muck Excavation and Backfill	$3,268,590.00	1	LS $3,268,590.00		LS	0.44	LS $1,443,822.00	1.00	LS $3,268,590.00		LS	0.44	LS $1,443,822.00	0.56	LS $1,824,768.00
269	CO 7 - 16" Discharge Pipe in Casing (Open Cut)	$254.37	95	LF $24,165.15		LF	95.00	LF $24,165.15	72.00	LF $18,314.64		LF	72.00	LF $18,314.64		LF
270	CO 7 - 12" Sanitary Sewer in Casing (Open Cut)	$173.93	95	LF $16,523.35	95.00	LF $16,523.35		LF	72.00	LF $12,522.96	72.00	LF $12,522.96		LF		LF
271	CO 7 - 16" Watermain in Casing (Open Cut)	$256.62	95	LF $24,378.90	95.00	LF $24,378.90		LF	72.00	LF $18,476.64	72.00	LF $18,476.64		LF		LF
272	CO 7 - Remove and Lower Watermain	$8.75	350	LF $3,062.50		LF	350.00	LF $3,062.50	150.00	LF $1,312.50		LF	150.00	LF $1,312.50		LF
273	CO 7 - Modify MH 119	$2,248.00	1	EACH $2,248.00		EACH	1.00	EACH $2,248.00	1.00	EACH $2,248.00		EACH	1.00	EACH $2,248.00		EACH
274	CO 7 - 221 - 12 3/4" Pile Driven	$39.02	-6276	LF -$244,889.52		LF	-6,276.00	LF -$244,889.52		LF		LF		LF		LF
275	CO 7 - 224 - Piling Steel	$1.20	-118670	LBS -$142,404.00	-98,891.67	LBS -$118,670.00	-19,778.33	LBS -$23,734.00		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS
276	CO 7 - 223 - Piling Concrete	$511.95	-756	CY -$387,034.20	-733.81	CY -$375,671.52	-22.19	CY -$11,362.68		CY		CY		CY		CY
277	CO 7 - 225 - Test Pile	$132.60	-200	LF -$26,520.00		LF	-200.00	LF -$26,520.00		LF		LF		LF		LF
278	CO 7 - 12" Sanitary Sewer Carrier Pipe in Boring	$218.00	-95	LF -$20,710.00	-95.00	LF -$20,710.00		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
279	CO 7 - Setup Boring Pit (12" Sanitary Boring)	$14,350.00	-1	EACH -$14,350.00	-1.00	EACH -$14,350.00		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
280	CO 7 - 16" Discharge Carrier Pipe Boring	$328.00	-95	LF -$31,160.00		LF	-95.00	LF -$31,160.00		LF		LF		LF		LF
281	CO 7 - Setup Boring Pit (16" Discharge Boring)	$16,850.00	-1	EACH -$16,850.00		EACH	-1.00	EACH -$16,850.00		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
282	CO 7 - 16" Watermain Carrier Pipe Boring	$326.00	-92	LF -$29,992.00	-92.00	LF -$29,992.00		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
283	CO 7 - Setup Boring Pit (16" Watermain Boring)	$10,400.00	-1	EACH -$10,400.00	-1.00	EACH -$10,400.00		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
284	CO 9 - Clear & Grub	$68.00	13	EACH $884.00		EACH		EACH	13.00	EACH $884.00		EACH		EACH	13.00	EACH $11,492.00
285	CO 9 - Remove 15" CMP Culvert	$8.54	32	LF $273.28		LF		LF	32.00	LF $273.28		LF		LF	32.00	LF $8,744.96
286	CO 9 - Remove 30" RCP Culvert	$10.68	116	LF $1,238.88		LF		LF	116.00	LF $1,238.88		LF		LF	116.00	LF $143,710.08
287	CO 9 - Remove Bituminous Pavement	$8.86	10669	SY $94,527.34		SY		SY	10,669.00	SY $94,527.34		SY		SY	10,669.00	SY $1,008,512,190.46
288	CO 9 - Remove Conduit	$1,407.77	1	EACH $1,407.77		EACH		EACH	1.00	EACH $1,407.77		EACH		EACH	1.00	EACH $1,407.77
289	CO 9 - Haul Salvaged Material	$400.00	1	LS $400.00		LS		LS	1.00	LS $400.00		LS		LS	1.00	LS $400.00
290	CO 9 - Common Excavation	$6.35	4515	CY $28,670.25		CY		CY	4,515.00	CY $28,670.25		CY		CY	4,515.00	CY $129,446,178.75
291	CO 9 - Traffic Control	$29,134.00	1	LS $29,134.00		LS		LS	1.00	LS $29,134.00		LS		LS	1.00	LS $29,134.00
292	CO 9 - 15" CS Pipe Culvert	$25.37	32	LF $811.84		LF		LF	32.00	LF $811.84		LF		LF	32.00	LF $25,978.88
293	CO 9 - 30" RC Pipe Culvert	$57.05	116	LF $6,617.80		LF		LF	112.00	LF $6,389.60		LF		LF	112.00	LF $741,193.60
294	CO 9 - 15" CS Pipe Apron	$167.96	2	EACH $335.92		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $335.92		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $671.84
295	CO 9 - 30" RC Pipe Apron	$921.47	2	EACH $1,842.94		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $1,842.94		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $3,685.88
296	CO 9 - Silt Fence Machine Sliced	$1.80	2500	LF $4,500.00		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
297	CO 9 - Culvert Protection	$2.00	54	SY $108.00		SY		SY		SY		SY		SY		SY
298	CO 9 - Biofilott Ditch Check	$2.75	12	LF $33.00		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
299	CO 9 - Wetland Seed - Seed Mix 325	$1,775.00	5	ACRE $8,875.00		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE
300	CO 9 - Rock Construction Entrance	$1,100.00	2	EACH $2,200.00		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
301	CO 9 - Rapid Stabilization Method 3	$360.00	31.2	MGAL $11,232.00		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL
302	CO 10 - Municipal Builders, Inc. Final Invoice	$10,826.04	1	LS $10,826.04		LS	1.00	LS $10,826.04	1.00	LS $10,826.04		LS	1.00	LS $10,826.04		LS
303	CO 8 - Viking Turning Lane	$54,245.25	1	LS $54,245.25	1.00	LS $54,245.25		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS
304	CO 10 - Delete Change Order 8	-$54,245.25	1	LS -$54,245.25		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS
305	EXTRA WORK - MCES Buys Unused 16" PVC C905 DR 14 DISCHARGE PIPIN	$44.46	380	LF $16,894.80		LF	380.00	LF $16,894.80	380.00	LF $16,894.80		LF	380.00	LF $16,894.80		LF
	TOTAL AMOUNT:			$14,575,438.26		$4,450,488.49		$8,161,335.00		$13,721,597.04		$4,438,112.15		$7,292,801.08		$1,140,749,556.22

ITEM NO.	ITEM	CURRENT ESTIMATE ESTIMATED QUANTITY	CURRENT ESTIMATE ESTIMATED AMOUNT	CURRENT ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY	CURRENT ESTIMATE - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	CURRENT ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY	CURRENT ESTIMATE - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT	CURRENT ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED QUANTITY	CURRENT ESTIMATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	COMPLETED TO DATE ESTIMATED QUANTITY	COMPLETED TO DATE ESTIMATED AMOUNT	COMPLETED TO DATE - CITY ESTIMATED QUANTITY	COMPLETED TO DATE - CITY ESTIMATED AMOUNT	COMPLETED TO DATE - MCES ESTIMATED QUANTITY	COMPLETED TO DATE - MCES ESTIMATED AMOUNT	COMPLETED TO DATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED QUANTITY	COMPLETED TO DATE - COUNTY ESTIMATED AMOUNT
257	CO 6 - 224 - Piling Steel		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS
258	CO 6 - 223 - Gravity Sewer Piling Concrete Delay Claim Added Cost		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY
259	CO 6 - 224 - Gravity Sewer Piling Steel Delay Claim Added Cost		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS
260	EXTRA WORK - Relocate Jersey Barriers at Theater Parking Lot		LS		LS		LS		LS	1.00	LS $3,048.00		LS	1.00	LS $3,048.00		LS
261	EXTRA WORK - Repair Snow Plow Damaged Concrete Curb		LS		LS		LS		LS	1.00	LS $3,432.00	1.00	LS $3,432.00		LS		LS
262	EXTRA WORK - 187th Lane Low Point Leveling Course		SY		SY		SY		SY	153.75	SY $1,076.25	153.75	SY $1,076.25		SY		SY
263	CO 4 - 32 - 48" Diameter MH		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
264	CO 4 - 42 - 8" Outside Drop		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
265	CO 4 - 50 - 12" PVC SDR 26 Sewer Pipe		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
266	CO 4 - 87 - Dewatering		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
267	CO 5 - Completion Date Extension		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS
268	CO 7 - Muck Excavation and Backfill		LS		LS		LS		LS	1.00	LS $3,268,590.00		LS	0.44	LS $1,443,822.00	0.56	LS $1,824,768.00
269	CO 7 - 16" Discharge Pipe in Casing (Open Cut)		LF		LF		LF		LF	72.00	LF $18,314.64		LF	72.00	LF $18,314.64		LF
270	CO 7 - 12" Sanitary Sewer in Casing (Open Cut)		LF		LF		LF		LF	72.00	LF $12,522.96	72.00	LF $12,522.96		LF		LF
271	CO 7 - 16" Watermain in Casing (Open Cut)		LF		LF		LF		LF	72.00	LF $18,476.64	72.00	LF $18,476.64		LF		LF
272	CO 7 - Remove and Lower Watermain		LF		LF		LF		LF	150.00	LF $1,312.50		LF	150.00	LF $1,312.50		LF
273	CO 7 - Modify MH 119		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH	1.00	EACH $2,248.00		EACH	1.00	EACH $2,248.00		EACH
274	CO 7 - 221 - 12 3/4" Pile Driven		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
275	CO 7 - 224 - Piling Steel		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS		LBS
276	CO 7 - 223 - Piling Concrete		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY		CY
277	CO 7 - 225 - Test Pile		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
278	CO 7 - 12" Sanitary Sewer Carrier Pipe in Boring		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
279	CO 7 - Setup Boring Pit (12" Sanitary Boring)		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
280	CO 7 - 16" Discharge Carrier Pipe Boring		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
281	CO 7 - Setup Boring Pit (16" Discharge Boring)		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
282	CO 7 - 16" Watermain Carrier Pipe Boring		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
283	CO 7 - Setup Boring Pit (16" Watermain Boring)		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
284	CO 9 - Clear & Grub		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH	13.00	EACH $884.00		EACH		EACH	13.00	EACH $884.00
285	CO 9 - Remove 15" CMP Culvert		LF		LF		LF		LF	32.00	LF $273.28		LF		LF	32.00	LF $273.28
286	CO 9 - Remove 30" RCP Culvert		LF		LF		LF		LF	116.00	LF $1,238.88		LF		LF	116.00	LF $1,238.88
287	CO 9 - Remove Bituminous Pavement		SY		SY		SY		SY	10,669.00	SY $94,527.34		SY		SY	10,669.00	SY $94,527.34
288	CO 9 - Remove Conduit		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH	1.00	EACH $1,407.77		EACH		EACH	1.00	EACH $1,407.77
289	CO 9 - Haul Salvaged Material		LS		LS		LS		LS	1.00	LS $400.00		LS		LS	1.00	LS $400.00
290	CO 9 - Common Excavation		CY		CY		CY		CY	4,515.00	CY $28,670.25		CY		CY	4,515.00	CY $28,670.25
291	CO 9 - Traffic Control	0.05	LS $1,551.90		LS		LS	0.05	LS $1,551.90	1.05	LS $30,685.90		LS		LS	1.05	LS $30,685.90
292	CO 9 - 15" CS Pipe Culvert		LF		LF		LF		LF	32.00	LF $811.84		LF		LF	32.00	LF $811.84
293	CO 9 - 30" RC Pipe Culvert		LF		LF		LF		LF	112.00	LF $6,389.60		LF		LF	112.00	LF $6,389.60
294	CO 9 - 15" CS Pipe Apron		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $335.92		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $335.92
295	CO 9 - 30" RC Pipe Apron		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $1,842.94		EACH		EACH	2.00	EACH $1,842.94
296	CO 9 - Silt Fence Machine Sliced		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
297	CO 9 - Culvert Protection		SY		SY		SY		SY		SY		SY		SY		SY
298	CO 9 - Biofilott Ditch Check		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF		LF
299	CO 9 - Wetland Seed - Seed Mix 325		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE		ACRE
300	CO 9 - Rock Construction Entrance		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH		EACH
301	CO 9 - Rapid Stabilization Method 3		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL		MGAL
302	CO 10 - Municipal Builders, Inc. Final Invoice		LS		LS		LS		LS	1.00	LS $10,826.04		LS	1.00	LS $10,826.04		LS
303	CO 8 - Viking Turning Lane		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS
304	CO 10 - Delete Change Order 8		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS		LS
305	EXTRA WORK - MCES Buys Unused 16" PVC C905 DR 14 DISCHARGE PIPIN		LF		LF		LF		LF	380.00	LF $16,894.80		LF	380.00	LF $16,894.80		LF
	TOTAL AMOUNT:		$19,135.93		$3,307.00		$14,277.03		$1,551.90		**$13,740,732.97**		**$4,441,419.15**		**$7,307,078.11**		**$1,992,235.72**

STORED MATERIALS

East Bethel Gravity Interceptor & Discharge & Utility Infrastructure Project
CITY OF EAST BETHEL, MN
PROJECT NO. C12.100028
PAY ESTIMATE NO. 25-FINAL

		MCES		CITY		MCES		CITY		CURRENT	MCES	CITY
	Invoice	TOTAL STORED MATERIALS		TOTAL STORED MATERIALS		MATERIALS USED IN PROJECT		MATERIALS USED IN PROJECT		STORED MATERIALS	STORED MATERIALS	STORED MATERIALS
SUMMARY OF STORED MATERIALS:	Unit Price	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	ON HAND	ON HAND	ON HAND
PAYMENT FOR APPROVED MATERIALS STORED ON SITE:												
8" PVC SEWER PIPE SDR 35	$ 2.84		$ -	1232 LF	$ 3,498.88		$ -	1232 LF	$ 3,498.88	$ -	$ -	$ -
8" PVC SEWER PIPE SDR 26	$ 3.79		$ -	2940 LF	$ 11,142.60		$ -	2940 LF	$ 11,142.60	$ -	$ -	$ -
12" PVC SEWER PIPE SDR 26	$ 8.74		$ -	672 LF	$ 5,873.28		$ -	672 LF	$ 5,873.28	$ -	$ -	$ -
15" PVC SEWER PIPE SDR 26	$ 12.92		$ -	168 LF	$ 2,170.56		$ -	168 LF	$ 2,170.56	$ -	$ -	$ -
15" PVC SEWER PIPE SDR 35	$ 9.53		$ -	1428 LF	$ 13,608.84		$ -	1428 LF	$ 13,608.84	$ -	$ -	$ -
24" PVC SEWER PIPE SDR 26	$ 34.77	3500 LF	$ 121,695.00	322 LF	$ 11,195.94	3500 LF	$ 121,695.00	322 LF	$ 11,195.94	$ -	$ -	$ -
24" PVC SEWER PIPE PS46	$ 25.22	560 LF	$ 14,123.20		$ -	560 LF	$ 14,123.20		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
6" PVC SEWER PIPE SDR 26	$ 2.42		$ -	854 LF	$ 2,066.68		$ -	854 LF	$ 2,066.68	$ -	$ -	$ -
60" SN72/PN25 GRAVITY SEWER PIPE WFWC	$ 255.00	1187.65 LF	$ 302,850.75		$ -	1187.65 LF	$ 302,850.75		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
24" PVC C905 DR 21 WM	$ 49.02		$ -	1780 LF	$ 87,255.60		$ -	1780 LF	$ 87,255.60	$ -	$ -	$ -
12" PVC C900 DR 18 WM	$ 13.17		$ -	820 LF	$ 10,799.40		$ -	820 LF	$ 10,799.40	$ -	$ -	$ -
8" PVC C900 DR 25 WM	$ 4.45		$ -	2400 LF	$ 10,680.00		$ -	2400 LF	$ 10,680.00	$ -	$ -	$ -
16" PVC C905 PIPE DR 21 WM	$ 19.61		$ -	4220 LF	$ 82,754.20		$ -	4220 LF	$ 82,754.20	$ -	$ -	$ -
4" GATE VALVE	$ 411.05		$ -	17 EA	$ 6,987.85		$ -	17 EA	$ 6,987.85	$ -	$ -	$ -
6" GATE VALVE	$ 524.88		$ -	23 EA	$ 12,072.24		$ -	23 EA	$ 12,072.24	$ -	$ -	$ -
8" GATE VALVE	$ 835.46		$ -	10 EA	$ 8,354.60		$ -	10 EA	$ 8,354.60	$ -	$ -	$ -
HYDRANT	$ 2,544.46		$ -	23 EA	$ 58,522.58		$ -	23 EA	$ 58,522.58	$ -	$ -	$ -
16" PVC C905 DR 14 DISCHARGE PIPING	$ 44.46	4060 LF	$ 180,507.60		$ -	4060 LF	$ 180,507.60		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
42" / 72 SN 25 PN GRAVITY SEWER PIPE WFWC	$ 113.00	2123.2 LF	$ 239,921.60		$ -	2123.2 LF	$ 239,921.60		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
48" / 72 SN 25 PN GRAVITY SEWER PIPE WFWC	$ 136.00	20.15 LF	$ 2,740.40		$ -	20.15 LF	$ 2,740.40		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
42" / 100 SN 25 PN GRAVITY SEWER PIPE WFWC	$ 125.00	481.8 LF	$ 60,225.00		$ -	481.8 LF	$ 60,225.00		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
42" / 46 SN 25 PN GRAVITY SEWER PIPE WFWC	$ 100.00	882.7 LF	$ 88,270.00		$ -	882.7 LF	$ 88,270.00		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
36" / 72 SN 25 PN GRAVITY SEWER PIPE WFWC	$ 96.00		$ -	400.5 LF	$ 38,448.00		$ -	400.5 LF	$ 38,448.00	$ -	$ -	$ -
21.6" OD HDPE DR 7 DIPS DISCHARGE PIPING	$ 84.97	3350 LF	$ 284,649.50		$ -	3350 LF	$ 284,649.50		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
19.5" OD HDPE DR 11 DIPS WATERMAIN	$ 46.75		$ -	1350 LF	$ 63,112.50		$ -	1350 LF	$ 63,112.50	$ -	$ -	$ -
32" OD HDPE DR 11 DIPS WATERMAIN	$ 128.29		$ -	4050 LF	$ 519,574.50		$ -	4050 LF	$ 519,574.50	$ -	$ -	$ -
			$ -		$ -		$ -		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
	TOTAL:		**$ 1,294,983.05**		**$ 948,118.25**		**$ 1,294,983.05**		**$ 948,118.25**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**
					$ 2,243,101.30				**$ 2,243,101.30**			**$ -**

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013

* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 8.0 D.1

* *

Agenda Item:
Speed Designation for Lincoln Drive

* *

Requested Action:
Consider designating the road segment of Lincoln Drive from Lakeshore Drive to Hawthorne Road as a 25 mph residential roadway

* *

Background Information:
In 2010 the City of East Bethel adopted a resolution that designated numerous city streets as being part of a Rural Residential District and therefore provided a speed limit posting of 35 mph. To be considered a Rural Residential District, the road must contain businesses, homes or other access points at intervals of less than 300 feet regardless of which side of the road they are on.

The other type of designation used on city streets in developed residential areas is an Urban District. To qualify as an Urban District, the road must contain businesses, homes or other access points at intervals less than 100 feet and will be posted with a speed limit of 30 mph.

In May of 2013, residents along Lincoln Drive had expressed concerns about the lack of a speed limit designation and requested that the road be posted. Staff and the Road Commission discussed the issue at their May 14th meeting and felt that the Urban District designation was appropriate at that location and had it posted at 30 mph.

Upon placing the 30 mph signs, staff has received calls with concerns that the speed limit is too high. The 30 mph is the lowest statutory limit that the City can post. It is possible to set up a special 25 mph zone on residential streets if adopted by the road authority having jurisdiction over the residential roadway. The road segment cannot exceed ½ mile in length. The road segment on Lincoln Drive under discussion is .39 miles.

Due to the narrow streets and high density of homes and cross streets in the Coon Lake Beach development, the Road Commission and staff felt that the 25 mph residential roadway designation was warranted.

Attachment(s):

1. Minnesota Speed Statute
2. Map of Lincoln Drive

* *

Fiscal Impact:

If approved, the existing 30 mph signs would be reused and two new 25 mph signs would cost $26.00 each.

* * * *

169.14 SPEED LIMITS, ZONES; RADAR.

Subdivision 1.**Duty to drive with due care.**

No person shall drive a vehicle on a highway at a speed greater than is reasonable and prudent under the conditions. Every driver is responsible for becoming and remaining aware of the actual and potential hazards then existing on the highway and must use due care in operating a vehicle. In every event speed shall be so restricted as may be necessary to avoid colliding with any person, vehicle or other conveyance on or entering the highway in compliance with legal requirements and the duty of all persons to use due care.

Subd. 1a.**License revocation for extreme speed.**

The driver's license of a person who violates any speed limit established in this section, by driving in excess of 100 miles per hour, is revoked for six months under section 171.17, or for a longer minimum period of time applicable under section 169A.53, 169A.54, or 171.174.

Subd. 2.**Speed limits.**

(a) Where no special hazard exists the following speeds shall be lawful, but any speeds in excess of such limits shall be prima facie evidence that the speed is not reasonable or prudent and that it is unlawful; except that the speed limit within any municipality shall be a maximum limit and any speed in excess thereof shall be unlawful:

(1) 30 miles per hour in an urban district;

(2) 65 miles per hour on noninterstate expressways, as defined in section 160.02, subdivision 18b, and noninterstate freeways, as defined in section 160.02, subdivision 19;

(3) 55 miles per hour in locations other than those specified in this section;

(4) 70 miles per hour on interstate highways outside the limits of any urbanized area with a population of greater than 50,000 as defined by order of the commissioner of transportation;

(5) 65 miles per hour on interstate highways inside the limits of any urbanized area with a population of greater than 50,000 as defined by order of the commissioner of transportation;

(6) ten miles per hour in alleys;

(7) 25 miles per hour in residential roadways if adopted by the road authority having jurisdiction over the residential roadway; and

(8) 35 miles per hour in a rural residential district if adopted by the road authority having jurisdiction over the rural residential district.

(b) A speed limit adopted under paragraph (a), clause (7), is not effective unless the road authority has erected signs designating the speed limit and indicating the beginning and end of the residential roadway on which the speed limit applies.

(c) A speed limit adopted under paragraph (a), clause (8), is not effective unless the road authority has erected signs designating the speed limit and indicating the beginning and end of the rural residential district for the roadway on which the speed limit applies.

(d) Notwithstanding section 609.0331 or 609.101 or other law to the contrary, a person who violates a speed limit established in this subdivision, or a speed limit designated on an appropriate sign under subdivision 4, 5, 5b, 5c, or 5e, by driving 20 miles per hour or more in excess of the applicable speed limit, is assessed an additional surcharge equal to the amount of the fine imposed for the speed violation, but not less than $25.

Definitions

Subd. 69a.**Rural residential district.**

(a) "Rural residential district" means the territory contiguous to and including any city street or town road that is built up with visible dwelling houses situated at intervals averaging 300 feet or less for a distance of a quarter of a mile or more.

(b) For purposes of this subdivision, "interval" means the distance, measured along the centerline of the roadway, between the primary access points for adjacent dwelling houses, regardless of whether the dwelling houses are located on the same side of the road.

Subd. 90.**Urban district.**

"Urban district" means the territory contiguous to and including any city street or town road that is built up with structures devoted to business, industry, or dwelling houses situated at intervals of less than 100 feet for a distance of a quarter of a mile or more.

Lincoln Drive

Aerial Photo: Flown Spring 2011

Prepared by Anoka County GIS Department

This is a compilation of records as they appear in the Anoka County Offices affecting the area shown. This drawing is to be used only for reference purposes and the County is not responsible for any inaccuracies herein contained.

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
September 18, 2013

* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 9.0 B.1

* *

Agenda Item:
Lift Station No. 1 Reconstruction Project Award

* *

Requested Action:
Consider Approval of Resolution 2013-64 Accepting Bids for the Lift Station No. 1 Reconstruction Project

* *

Background Information:
Staff received and opened bids for Lift Station No. 1 which services the Castle Tower Mobile Home Park. This project consists of the complete reconstruction of the lift station.

Two bids were received and are summarized as follows:

LaTour Construction, Inc.	$441,311.09
Gieslinger & Sons, Inc.	$541,606.00

Several optional bid items were added to this project which included a fiber connection from the lift station to the well house, an on-site maintenance crane, and the programming and controls required to link this lift station to the City monitoring system. The total optional bid items were $38,787.54.

If this bid was awarded other work items which are currently accounted for in the Castle Tower/Whispering Aspen forcemain bid would be deleted. These items total $67,694.40.

This project also included the addition of an overlay on Pierce Path. The overlay cost is $14,482.

As you may recall this project was bid as an alternate for the Castle Tower/Whispering Aspen forcemain project. LaTour Construction's bid for this Lift Station for the alternate bid was $351,107.29. To compare the current bid to the previous bid the items discussed above, which total $120,963.94, need to be subtracted from the current bid. The total bid for comparison would be $320,347.15.

As discussed at the September 18, 2013 council meeting staff reviewed the project with the Contractor to evaluate changes that could further lower the cost of this project. It was determined that using the bottom portion of the existing wet well in lieu of constructing a completely new one will save an additional $46,500.40.

Of the optional items discussed above staff is recommending that the on-site maintenance crane and the programming and controls required to link this lift station to the City monitoring system be included in the contract. The total cost of these two optional items is $14,555.

In summary staff is recommending that Lift Station No. 1 be awarded to LaTour Construction, Inc. in the amount of $370,578.15. The award amount is summarized as follows:

Original Construction Bid	$ 441,311.09
Deleted Optional Items	- $ 24,232.54
Use Existing Wet Well	- $ 46,500.40
Revised Contract Amount	$ 370,578.15

* *

Fiscal Impact:

The total contract amount for this project as recommended is $370,578.15. Also as discussed above if this contract is awarded other work items which are currently accounted for in the Castle Tower/Whispering Aspen forcemain bid would be deleted. These items total $67,694.40. Therefore the total net cost of this project is $302,883.75. This project would be funded by the excess bond funds from the Phase 1 Project 1 Utility Project.

* *

Recommendation(s):

Staff recommends approval of Resolution 2013-64 Accepting Bids and awarding the contract for the proposed the Lift Station No. 1 Reconstruction Project to LaTour Construction, Inc. in the amount of $370,578.15.

* *

City Council Action

Motion by:_____ Second by:_____

_____

_____

_____

Vote Yes:_____ Vote No:_____

No Action Required:_____

CITY OF EAST BETHEL
EAST BETHEL, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-64

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING BIDS FOR THE LIFT STATION NO. 1 RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT

WHEREAS, pursuant to the advertisement for bids for the Lift Station No. 1 Reconstruction Project, bids were received, opened and tabulated according to law, and the following Base Bids were received complying with the advertisement:

LaTour Construction, Inc.	$441,311.09
Gieslinger & Sons, Inc.	$541,606.00

AND WHEREAS, the City reserved the right to delete certain optional bid items from the contract;

AND WHEREAS, the City will eliminate bid items 26-29 from the contract in the amount of $24,232.54;

AND WHEREAS, cost savings in the amount of $46,500.40 will be realized by using the existing wet well;

AND WHEREAS, Staff recommends that Council accept the total bid less bid items 26-29 and less the cost savings associated with the reuse of the existing wet well;

AND WHEREAS, it appears that LaTour Construction, Inc. of Maple Plain, Minnesota is the lowest responsible bidder;

AND WHEREAS, the City accepts the bid proposal in the amount of $370,578.15.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF EAST BETHEL, MINNESOTA THAT:

1. The Mayor and City Administrator are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a contract with LaTour Construction, Inc. of Maple Plain, Minnesota in the name of the City of East Bethel for the Lift Station No. 1 Reconstruction Project, according to the plans and specifications therefore approved by the City Council and on file in the office of the City Clerk.

2. The City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to return forthwith to all bidders the deposits made with their bids, except that the deposits of the successful bidder and the next lowest bidder shall be retained until a contract has been signed.

Adopted this 6th day of November, 2013 by the City Council of the City of East Bethel.

CITY OF EAST BETHEL

_____

Richard Lawrence, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____

Jack Davis, City Administrator

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013

* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 9.0 C.1

* *

Agenda Item:
Traffic Education Program

* *

Requested Action:
Information Item

* *

Background Information:
Mark Vierling will provide an update on the Traffic Education Program that has been previously presented to Council.

* *

Fiscal Impact:
None at this time

* *

Recommendation(s):

* *

City Council Action

Motion by:_____ Second by:_____

_____

_____

_____

Vote Yes:_____ Vote No:_____

No Action Required:_____

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013

* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 9.0 D.1

* *

Agenda Item:
Renewal of Land Lease for Cell Tower at the Ice Arena

* *

Requested Action:
Consider renewal contract for Land Lease of Communications Tower

* *

Background Information:
The City of East Bethel entered into a contract with Nextel Corporation on November 24, 1998 for a lease of approximately 0.11 acres at the rear of the East Bethel Ice Arena which allowed the construction and use of a communications tower on the premises. The original agreement was for the lessee to pay the City $1,000 per month subject to the greater of a 3% or the CPI increase annually. The current lease expires December 31st, 2018 and is currently held by American Tower. The 2013 monthly income is $2,771.89 lease and $400 for carrier rent or $38,062.68 for the current year. Other quotes, offering essentially only a lump sum payment for the lease, were obtained and discussed with City Council but none were comparable. The payments offered by American Tower are the most attractive proposal at this time.

Per Council direction and review by the City Attorney, Staff negotiated an amended renewal proposal with American Tower that included the following changes as recommended by the City Attorney:

- Removal of the 50 year lease clause and extension of the lease in five- five year increments and provision of termination rights to both parties
- A one-time renewal bonus of $30,000
- Removing the right of first refusal language
- Removing the termination language that is no longer applicable as requested.

An amended contract is attached for your review.

Attachments:
Renewal Lease

* *

Fiscal Impact:
In addition to the annual lease payments, the City would receive a one- time $30,000 payment as a signing bonus to renew the lease. This amount is over and above the lease revenues that would be collected for the term of the lease. Currently, all income from the cell tower lease is assigned to the Ice Arena account.

* * * *

LEASE AMENDMENT

This **LEASE AMENDMENT** ("***Amendment***") is made effective as of the latter signature date hereof ("***Effective Date***") by and between City of East Bethel, a Minnesota municipal corporation, ("***Landlord***") and American Tower Asset Sub, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, ("***Tenant***").

R E C I T A L S

WHEREAS, Landlord, or its predecessor in interest, and Tenant, or its predecessor in interest, entered into that certain ground lease (as amended, the "***Lease***"), whereby Tenant leases a portion of the real property owned by Landlord (the "***Parent Parcel***") such portion being defined and/or described in the Lease and including access and utilities easements (collectively the "***Leased Premises***").

WHEREAS, Landlord and Tenant desire to amend the terms of the Lease to extend the term thereof and as otherwise provided herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing recitals and mutual covenants set forth herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. **One-time Payment**: **Tenant shall pay to Landlord a one-time payment in the amount of $30,000.00, payable within ten (10) business days of the last to occur of the following; a)Tenant's receipt of this Amendment, Memorandum of Lease (a copy of which is attached hereto) by Landlord and any applicable forms needed to record the Memorandum of Lease (such forms to be supplied by Tenant) executed by Landlord by October 28, 2013 (b) Tenant's confirmation that Landlord is the sole owner of the Parent Parcel, that the entire Leased Premises is located on the Parent Parcel and that Landlord has the sole authority to execute this Amendment; and (c) Tenant's receipt of any other documents required by Tenant to confirm ownership and/or sole authority of Landlord to execute this Amendment and to facilitate the payment under this paragraph.**

2. **Lease Term Extended:** Tenant shall have the option to extend the Lease for each of five (5) additional five (5) year renewal terms (each a "***New Renewal Term***" and collectively the "***New Renewal Terms***"). The first New Renewal Term shall commence simultaneously with the expiration of the Lease taking into account all existing renewal term(s) (each an "***Existing Renewal Term***" and collectively the "***Existing Renewal Terms***") available under the Lease. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Lease, all Existing Renewal Terms and New Renewal Terms shall automatically renew unless Tenant notifies Landlord that Tenant elects not to renew the Lease no less than sixty (60) days prior to the expiration of the then current term. Landlord may elect not to renew the Lease by giving Tenant written notification at least one hundred (180) days prior to the end of any New Renewal Term. Landlord shall have the right to terminate the Lease in the event of an uncured material default of the Lease by Tenant if such cure is not accomplished within (60) days of notice thereof unless Tenant has diligently commenced cure during such 60 day period and requires additional reasonable time thereafter to complete the cure. The rent and all scheduled increases thereto shall continue and remain in effect through each New Renewal Term. The Landlord and Tenant hereby acknowledge and agree that section (ii) under paragraph 10 of the Lease is hereby deleted.

3. **Landlord and Tenant Acknowledgments**. Except as modified herein, the Lease and all provisions therein, including all amendments thereto, remain in full force and effect and are ratified and affirmed. The parties agree that no defaults exist under the Lease. Landlord agrees to sign building permit applications and other forms required for Tenant's use of the Leased Premises. This Amendment may be executed in multiple counterparts and an electronically reproduced fully executed copy of this Amendment shall be considered an original. Tenant shall have the right to replace the descriptions of the Leased Premises with descriptions obtained from an as-built survey conducted by Tenant.

4. **Landlord Statements.** Landlord hereby represents and acknowledges that: (i) Landlord (and/or the persons signing this Amendment on behalf of Landlord) has the authority to enter into this Amendment; (ii) Landlord is the sole owner of the Parent Parcel; (iii) there are no other agreements, liens or encumbrances on the Parent Parcel that may conflict with or prohibit Landlord from entering into this Amendment; and (iv) the square footage of the Leased Premises is the greater of Tenant's existing improvements on the Parent Parcel or the land area conveyed to Tenant under the Lease.

5. **Notices.** All notices must be in writing and shall be valid upon receipt when delivered by hand, by nationally recognized courier service, or by First Class United States Mail, certified, return receipt requested to the addresses set forth herein. To Landlord at: City of East Bethel, MN, Attn: City Clerk, 2241 221st St., East Bethel, MN 55011, to Tenant at: American Tower, Attn: Land Management, 10 Presidential Way, Woburn, MA. 01801 with copy to 116 Huntington Avenue, Boston MA 02116.

LANDLORD:

City of East Bethel,
a Minnesota municipal corporation

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

TENANT

American Tower Asset Sub, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

EXHIBIT A

This Exhibit A may be replaced at Tenant's option as described below

PARENT PARCEL

Tenant shall have the right to replace this description with a description obtained from Landlord's deed (or deeds) that include the land area encompassed by the Lease and Tenant's improvements thereon

The Parent Parcel consists of the entire legal taxable lot owned by Landlord as described in a deed (or deeds) to Landlord of which the Leased Premises is a part thereof with such Parent Parcel being described below.

Real property in Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

The East 200 feet of the North 467.00 feet of that part of the Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Section 17, Township 33, page 23, which lies West of the East 444.34 feet of said SW 1/4 of the SE 1/4.

Subject to Minnesota State Trunk Highway No. 65 and other easements of record.

LEASED PREMISES

Tenant shall have the right to replace this description with a description obtained from the Lease or from a description obtained from an as-built survey conducted by Tenant.

The Leased Premises consists of that portion of the Parent Parcel as defined in the Lease which shall include access and utilities easements. The square footage of the Leased Premises shall be the greater of; (i) the land area conveyed to Tenant in the Lease, (ii) Tenant's existing improvements on the Parent Parcel or (iii) the legal description or depiction below (if any).

Leased Premises is comprised of approximately 4,898 square feet, more or less, located within the property.

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

ACCESS AND UTILITY EASEMENT

The Access and Utilities Easements include all easements of record as well as existing access and utilities currently servicing the Leased Premises to and from a public right of way, including but not limited to the following;

A 20 foot wide easement for access & utility purposes over, under and across a part of the North 467.00 feet of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 17, Township 33, Range 23, ANoka County, MN which hes west of the East 444.34 feet thereof and which lies to the right of and adjacent to the following described line

Commencing at the Northeast corner of the East 200 feet of the North 467.00 feet of that part of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 17, Township 33, Range 23, ANoka County, MN which lies west of the East 444.34 feet of said Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter,

Thence South 00°33'24" West, assumed bearing, along the west line of the East 444.34 feet of said Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter a distance of 65.86 feet,

Thence North 88°35'57" West, 91.88 feet to the northeast corner of the Lease Area,

Thence continuing on the last described course of North 88°35'57" West along the north line of said Lease Area, a distance 39.91 feet to the point of beginning of the line to be described,

Thence North 02°26'55" West, 16.91 feet,

Thence northwesterly on a tangential curve, concave to the southwest, having a radius of 8.00 feet and a delta angle of 86°42'18", for an arc length 12.11 feet,

Thence North 89°09'13" West, 399.70 feet,

Thence North 80°15'20" West, 126.50 feet to the east right-of-way line of State Truck Highway No. 65 and there terminating

The sideline of said easement is to be shortened and/or extended to terminate on the east right-of-way line of said State Trunk Highway No. 65 and on the north line of said Lease Area.

Prepared by and Return to:
American Tower
10 Presidential Way
Woburn, MA 01801
Attn: Land Management
ATC Site: 305783

State of Minnesota
County of Anoka

Assessor Parcel No(s): 17-33-23-43-0005
17-33-23-43-0003

MEMORANDUM OF LEASE

This **MEMORANDUM OF LEASE** (this "***Memorandum***") is entered into as of the latter signature date hereof by and between City of East Bethel, a Minnesota municipal corporation, ("***Landlord***") and American Tower Asset Sub, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, ("***Tenant***") having an address of 116 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02116 with copies of notices to 10 Presidential Way, Woburn, MA. 01801 Attn: Land Management.

NOTICE is hereby given of the following described Lease as amended, for the purpose of recording and giving notice of the existence of said Lease. To the extent that notice of such Lease has previously been recorded, then this Memorandum shall constitute an amendment of any such prior recorded notice(s).

1. **Parent Parcel and Lease.** Landlord is the owner of certain real property being described in **Exhibit A** hereto (the "***Parent Parcel***"). Landlord (or its predecessor in interest) and Tenant (or its predecessor in interest) entered into that certain ground lease (as amended from time to time, the "***Lease***"), whereby the Tenant leases a portion of the Parent Parcel, together with certain easements for access and public utilities (collectively, the "***Leased Premises***" and also being described on Exhibit A hereto).

2. **Lease Term Extended.** Tenant shall have the option to extend the Lease for each of five (5) additional five (5) year renewal terms (each a "***New Renewal Term***" and collectively the "***New Renewal Terms***"). The first New Renewal Term shall commence on the day following December 31, 2018, which date represents the last day of the last remaining existing renewal term in the Lease.

3. **Leased Premises.** The Leased Premises is set forth in Exhibit A which may be replaced by an as-built survey at Tenant's option, depicting and/or describing the Parent Parcel, Leased Premises and all applicable easements.

4. **Effect/Miscellaneous.** This Memorandum is not a complete summary of the terms in the Lease.. In the event of a conflict between this Memorandum and the Lease, the Lease will control. Landlord hereby grants the right to Tenant to fill out and execute on behalf of Landlord any government or transfer tax forms necessary for recording this Memorandum. This right shall terminate upon recording of this Memorandum.

[SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOWS]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Landlord and Tenant have each executed this Memorandum as of the day written below.

LANDLORD

City of East Bethel,
a Minnesota municipal corporation

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

WITNESS

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____

WITNESS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

State/Commonwealth of _____

County of _____

On this ____ day of _____, 201___, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared _____, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument, the person(s) or the entity upon which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

_____
Notary Public
Print Name: _____
My commission expires: _____ [SEAL]

TENANT:

American Tower Asset Sub, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

WITNESSES:

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____

Signature: _____
Print Name: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

County of Middlesex

On _____, 201___, before me, _____(here insert name), a Notary Public, personally appeared _____, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

_____
Notary Public
Print Name: _____
My commission expires: _____

EXHIBIT A

This Exhibit A may be replaced at Tenant's option as described below

PARENT PARCEL

Tenant shall have the right to replace this description with a description obtained from Landlord's deed (or deeds) that include the land area encompassed by the Lease and Tenant's improvements thereon

The Parent Parcel consists of the entire legal taxable lot owned by Landlord as described in a deed (or deeds) to Landlord of which the Leased Premises is a part thereof with such Parent Parcel being described below.

Real property in Anoka County, Minnesota, described as follows:

The East 200 feet of the North 467.00 feet of that part of the Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Section 17, Township 33, page 23, which lies West of the East 444.34 feet of said SW 1/4 of the SE 1/4.

Subject to Minnesota State Trunk Highway No. 65 and other easements of record.

LEASED PREMISES

Tenant shall have the right to replace this description with a description obtained from the Lease or from a description obtained from an as-built survey conducted by Tenant.

The Leased Premises consists of that portion of the Parent Parcel as defined in the Lease which shall include access and utilities easements. The square footage of the Leased Premises shall be the greater of; (i) the land area conveyed to Tenant in the Lease, (ii) Tenant's existing improvements on the Parent Parcel or (iii) the legal description or depiction below (if any).

Leased Premises is comprised of approximately 4,898 square feet, more or less, located within the property.

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

ACCESS AND UTILITY EASEMENT

The Access and Utilities Easements include all easements of record as well as existing access and utilities currently servicing the Leased Premises to and from a public right of way, including but not limited to the following;

A 20 foot wide easement for access & utility purposes over, under and across a part of the North 467.00 feet of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 17, Township 33, Range 23, ANoka County, MN which hes west of the East 444.34 feet thereof and which lies to the right of and adjacent to the following described line

Commencing at the Northeast corner of the East 200 feet of the North 467.00 feet of that part of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 17, Township 33, Range 23, ANoka County, MN which lies west of the East 444.34 feet of said Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter,

Thence South 00°33'24" West, assumed bearing, along the west line of the East 444.34 feet of said Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter a distance of 65.86 feet,

Thence North 88°35'57" West, 91.88 feet to the northeast corner of the Lease Area,

Thence continuing on the last described course of North 88°35'57" West along the north line of said Lease Area, a distance 39.91 feet to the point of beginning of the line to be described,

Thence North 02°26'55" West, 16.91 feet,

Thence northwesterly on a tangential curve, concave to the southwest, having a radius of 8.00 feet and a delta angle of 86°42'18", for an arc length 12.11 feet,

Thence North 89°09'13" West, 399.70 feet,

Thence North 80°15'20" West, 126.50 feet to the east right-of-way line of State Truck Highway No. 65 and there terminating

The sideline of said easement is to be shortened and/or extended to terminate on the east right-of-way line of said State Trunk Highway No. 65 and on the north line of said Lease Area.

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013

* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 9.0 E.1

* *

Agenda Item:
Fleet Safety Program

* *

Requested Action:
Consider the adoption of a Fleet Safety Program

* *

Background Information:
The League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust (LMCIT) meets with City representatives annually to assess areas of need pertaining to worker safety, insurance incentives, workers compensation history, and other items relating to loss control.

The LMCIT has recommended that the City adopt a written Fleet Safety Program to guide the use of city vehicles and equipment. Staff has developed the attached program based on the recommendations from the LMCIT and although the majority of the information in the program has been standard operating procedure for some time, an all inclusive written program has not been formally adopted.

Attachment(s):

1. Fleet Safety Program

* *

Fiscal Impact:
None

* *

Recommendation(s):
Staff recommends adoption of the attached Fleet Safety Program

* *

City Council Action

Motion by:_____ Second by:_____

_____

_____

_____

Vote Yes:_____ Vote No:_____

No Action Required:_____

FLEET SAFETY PROGRAM

October 15, 2013

2241 221st Avenue NE East Bethel, Minnesota 55011
(763) 367-7840 Fax (763) 434-9578
www.ci.east-bethel.mn.us

Section 1: References

A. ANSI Z15.1-2006

Section 2: Definitions

A. **Accident** - An unplanned or unintended event or series of events that may: (a) result in death, injury, loss of or damage to a system or service; (b) cause environmental damage; (c) adversely affect an activity or function.
B. **Aggressive Driving** - Driving in a selfish, bold, or pushy manner, without regard for the rights or safety of other users of the roadway.
C. **At-Fault Accident** – Any accident where the driver is designated as having caused the accident or negligently contributed to its occurrence.
D. **Collision** - An incident in which the first harmful event involves a motor vehicle in motion coming in contact with another vehicle, other property, person(s), or animal(s).
E. **Crash -** An incident involving one or more motor vehicles in motion.
F. **Defensive Driving** - Driving to save lives, time, and money, in spite of the conditions around you and the actions of others.
G. **Distracted Driving** - Diversion of the driver's attention from the task of operating a motor vehicle by activities, objects, or events inside or outside the vehicle, or by factors such as emotional stress or preoccupation.
H. **Incident** - An undesired event that did or could have resulted in personal harm or property damage, or in any undesirable loss of resources. Includes minor citation, at-fault accidents, moderate convictions, and major convictions.
I. **Incident Rate** - The number of incidents per some unit of measurement, for the purpose of assessing safety performance over time or comparing performance with other organizations.
J. **Injury** - Physical harm or damage to a person resulting in the marring of appearance, personal discomfort, and/or bodily hurt, impairment or death.
K. **Major Conviction** – Any citation that involves (1) Driving while intoxicated or while under the influence of drugs or alcohol; (2) Failure to stop and report and accident; (3) Homicide, manslaughter, or assault arising out of the operation of a motor vehicle; (4) Driving while license is suspended or revoked; (5) Reckless driving; (6) Speed contest, drag racing, or attempting to elude an officer of the law.
L. **Minor Citation** – Any moving traffic citation unless it qualifies as a moderate conviction or a major conviction. This category does not include cases involving (1) Motor vehicle equipment, load or size requirements; (2) Improper display, or failure to display license plates; (3) Failure to sign or display registration; (4) Failure to have in possession a driver's license.
M. **Moderate Conviction** – Citations that involve: (1) possession of opened container or alcoholic beverages.
N. **Motor Vehicle** - Any licensed mechanically or electrically powered device (except one moved by human power), not operated on rails, designed to be operated primarily on public streets and roads. Cargo and/or attachments (trailers, etc.) to a motor vehicle are considered part of that vehicle.
O. **Passenger** - A person, other than the driver of the vehicle, who is in or on a motor vehicle.
P. **Preventable Collision** - One in which the driver failed to do everything that reasonably could have been done to avoid the collision.
Q. **Remedial Training** - Training required following an incident to upgrade and renew skills

and demonstrate proficiency.

R. **Road Rage -** A criminal offense in which a vehicle is used as a weapon with intent to do harm, or the physical assault of a driver or vehicle.

S. **Shall -** The term "shall" is used throughout Z15.1 in accord with ANSI conventions to indicate a mandatory or required practice in terms of this standard.

T. **Should -** The term "should" is used throughout Z15.1 in accord with ANSI conventions to indicate a recommended practice.

Section 3: Program Requirements

A. **Scope.** The City of East Bethel will set forth practices for the safe operation of motor vehicles owned or operated by the City of East Bethel. These practices are designed for use by those having the responsibility for the administration and operation of motor vehicles as a part of organizational operations.

B. **Purpose.** The purpose of this Fleet Safety Program is to provide our employees and managers with tools and materials to ensure the safety of all employees who drive vehicles for the City of East Bethel. Vehicle accidents are costly to the City of East Bethel but more importantly, they may result in injury to our employees, volunteers and occupants of other vehicles or pedestrians. It is the driver's responsibility to operate the vehicle in a safe manner and to drive defensively to prevent injuries and property damage. As such, the City of East Bethel endorses all applicable state motor vehicle regulations relating to driver responsibility. The City of East Bethel expects each driver to drive in a safe and courteous manner. The attitude our drivers take when behind the wheel is the single most important factor in driving safely.

C. **Application.** This policy applies to the operation of City owned or leased vehicles, whether the vehicle is being driven on organizational business or for personal use; and the operation of rental or driver-owned vehicles for organizational purposes. It applies to persons working on behalf of the City of East Bethel whose job performance requires the use of a motor vehicle.

D. **Written Program.** The City of East Bethel will review and evaluate this policy on an annual basis, when changes occur that prompt revision of this document, or when facility operational changes occur that require a revision of this document. This written program will be communicated to all personnel. It is designed to establish clear goals, and objectives.

E. **Responsibilities and Accountabilities.**
All levels of management will be involved in and held accountable for the program's development, management, and implementation.

1. <u>Top Management</u> has the responsibility to implement this fleet safety policy by:
   a. Directing all supervisors and employees to endorse and comply with this policy.
   b. Identifying and training existing and newly selected fleet operators to comply with this policy.
   a. Ensuring the safe operation of fleet vehicles, in compliance with this policy.
   b. Enforcing compliance with this policy. All presently employed and new employees, who drive a City owned or leased vehicle in the normal course of their employment, must be trained and in compliance with this policy.
2. <u>Supervisors</u> have the responsibility to:
   a. Identify and train existing and newly selected fleet operators to comply with this policy.
   b. Ensure that all vehicles can be operated safely or are taken out of service for

repairs.

c. Require and enforce compliance with this policy.
d. Track and document all reported vehicle accidents.
e. Assist with the identification of preventable and non-preventable vehicle accidents, as requested.

3. Employees have the responsibility to:
   a. Understand their assigned tasks relating to fleet safety.
   b. Apply the proper training and equipment to safely operate a motor vehicle.
   c. Assist with the identification of vehicle operational problems.
   d. Comply with the directives of this policy.
   e. Act in compliance with vehicle insurance requirements.

F. **Driver Recruitment, Selection and Assessment**

The City of East Bethel shall implement a system that recruits and selects drivers to ensure safe operation and management of the motor vehicle safety program.

1. *Motor Vehicle Record Annual Review*

   All full time and part time employees of the City of East Bethel who operate a City owned or leased vehicle should have their motor vehicle record checked annually. All Applicants hired as vehicle operators will have their motor vehicle record checked for patterns of violations or recent violations of DWI or DUI prior to operating a City vehicle.

2. *New Driver Selection*
   a. Overview

      Employee selection procedures should be designed to evaluate an applicant's experience and potential. All applicants hired as vehicle operators shall provide proof of the proper classification of vehicle license. Any new applicant hired as an operator shall receive a road test on the designated equipment and, if applicable, receive a DOT Physical Examination. Departments who have a promotional process shall follow their departmental process when promoting an existing employee into a vehicle operator position.

   b. New Employee Training

      Basic training for all new drivers shall consist of on the job instruction and training with senior drivers or driver trainers. A defensive attitude is an important aspect of all new hire training. Drivers should believe that vehicle accidents are preventable if they take the initiative. Finally, drivers should be alert to hazards on the roadway that could cause an accident. New drivers should be introduced to an unfamiliar vehicle's general mechanical operation, safety equipment, emergency kit and accessory application, as well as a review of braking, backing, and trailer operation (if applicable). Drivers who transport hazardous materials shall be instructed in the details of accident prevention and hazardous materials spill prevention and response.

3. *Monitoring Existing Drivers*

   Driver abuse of equipment is another issue to review with existing drivers. If repair problems show a pattern, the driver should receive training in the areas in question, such as braking, etc.

G. **Driver Rules**

1. All employees operating City equipment shall comply with the State Motor Vehicle Regulations.

2. Drivers shall carry their State drivers license at all times while operating motor vehicles. Licenses must be the proper classification for the vehicle driven.
3. Safety belts shall be worn at all times by all passengers and by all employees where seat belts are provided. The only exception is when a suspect in a police vehicle is unable to be belted in due to unique arrest circumstances.
4. Drivers shall not consume alcoholic beverages, illegal drugs or medication that may affect driving ability, within 8 hours prior to, or at any time while on duty. If an employee is under the influence of drugs or alcohol taken more than eight hours prior to their shift, it is the responsibility of the employee to call in to work to report an inability to perform their function for that shift.
5. When backing vehicles, there must be a clear view of the area immediately to the rear.
6. Tailgates shall be up and locked when vehicles so equipped are in motion. If a vehicle's function requires that the tailgate remain down to carry a load, red flags shall be attached to the outer portion of the load.
7. Employees who operate motor vehicles, either regularly or occasionally are required to report any license revocations or suspensions immediately to their supervisors.
8. Reckless or unsafe operation of City vehicles is not permitted. This rule shall also apply to private vehicles operated on the City of East Bethel property.
9. The maximum speed on the City of East Bethel property is 10 MPH, unless otherwise posted.
10. Vehicle engines shall not be operating when adding any fuel or engine oil to the vehicle.
11. Employees shall not board or alight from any moving vehicle.
12. Employees shall not ride on the running boards of any vehicle.
13. Riding on the side, tool box, tailgate or roof of any vehicle, or in the back of a truck bed, when a vehicle is in motion, is not allowed. Exception: Firefighters may ride on the hose bed of an apparatus (Fire Truck) while loading hose only.
14. In pickup trucks, riders shall always sit in the front cab.
15. During periods of limited visibility, or any time that windshield wipers are in use, headlights shall be turned on. The exception to this is any law enforcement vehicle under specific circumstances.
16. Trailers shall be fastened to hitches, and safety chains shall be secured, as required by state law, before moving vehicles.
17. All items to be transported by truck or trailer, which has the potential to move around during transport, shall be secured.
18. No more than three persons shall ride in the front seat of any vehicle. Where there are only two single seats, there shall be only one person per seat.
19. No City vehicle shall be left unattended with the key in the ignition. This does not include Fire apparatus and Police cruisers.
20. All City of East Bethel vehicles parked on the street, except for emergency vehicles, shall be locked when not in use.
21. Employees are responsible for any traffic citations they receive while operating City vehicles.
22. City of East Bethel vehicles without a handicapped permit shall not be parked in handicapped parking spaces, with the exception of emergency vehicles responding to an emergency situation.

23. Headphones are not allowed to be worn while operating a motor vehicle, except for emergency two way radios. This also does not pertain to situations where protective muffs or plugs are required for hearing protection.
24. Before leaving the operator's seat, the vehicle shift selector shall be placed in park, and if needed, the parking brake applied. If the vehicle does not have a park position, the shift selector shall be placed in neutral and the parking brake applied.
25. Smoking is not allowed in any City vehicles.

H. **Orientation and Training**

A process of orientation and training shall be established in order to ensure safe and effective operation of motor vehicles. All new drivers will be trained on a vehicle similar in size, power, configuration and operation as the vehicle they will be using prior to being assigned the vehicle/unit, including any special equipment specific to their intended vehicle assignment. Training will include behind-the-wheel observation.

1. *Special Equipment*

   Special equipment such as tractors, forklifts, graders, plows, snowmobiles, or equipment with special devices or usage, require instructions prior to use by the operator. Training should include the following:

   a. Familiarization with the owner's/operator's manual.
   b. Explanation and demonstration of control devices.
   c. Explanation and demonstration of safety equipment.
   d. Knowledge of maintenance items such as fuel, water, oil, and other minimum operating needs of the equipment.
   e. Demonstration of operation.
   f. New driver operation with supervision and testing.
   g. Training of new operators by the supervisor, or an experienced operator.

Section 4: Operational Environment

A. **Vehicle Maintenance and Safety Inspections**

The City Administrator and/or Department Head should be consulted regarding the selection, purchase and maintenance of City vehicles. Vehicles must be kept in a safe condition and provided with necessary safety or emergency equipment. When taking out any fleet vehicle, drivers should complete an initial pre-trip inspection; provided however, that the pre-trip inspection of vehicles shall not be required of police and fire department personnel in emergency situations.

1. Repairs will be done by qualified automotive service personnel
2. Vehicles will be maintained based upon miles driven, hours of operation, or calendar time.
3. Vehicles will be maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations

B. **Impaired Driving**

Drivers shall not consume alcoholic beverages, illegal drugs or medication that may affect driving ability, within eight hours prior to, or at any time while on duty. If an employee is under the influence of drugs or alcohol taken more than eight hours prior to their shift, it is the responsibility of the employee to call in to work to report an inability to perform their function for that shift.

C. **Distracted Driving**

Drivers shall not be distracted while driving. This includes refraining from the following activities:

1. Cell Phone Use

2. Eating or Drinking
3. Grooming
4. Smoking
5. Passengers such as children or pets
6. Reading
7. Use of Technology (GPS, computer, MP3, etc.)

D. **Aggressive Driving**

Drivers shall not resort to aggressive driving or road rage under any circumstances. Examples of aggressive driving include:

1. Speeding
2. Tailgating
3. Failure to signal a lane change
4. Running red lights and stop signs
5. Weaving in traffic
6. Yelling
7. Making obscene gestures
8. Excessive use of horn

E. **Vehicle Emergency Procedures**

When it's absolutely necessary to stop on a highway or city street in case of an emergency, use extreme caution:

1. Warning signals and lights shall be used.
2. Rotating beacon(s) shall be used, if the vehicle is so equipped.
3. Emergency flashers shall be used.
4. Flares, fuses, warning flags, reflector triangles or other emergency equipment shall be used to give adequate advance warning, where applicable for commercial vehicles.

Section 5: Accident Reporting

A. What to do at the Scene of an Accident

Report all vehicle accidents. Collect any necessary information before leaving the accident site. The City of East Bethel will investigate all accidents involving City vehicles. The following shall be considered:

1. It is unlawful to leave the scene of any accident if you are involved in the accident, without furnishing your name, address and vehicle information to the other driver. Any hit and run accident, on private or public property, should be reported to the local police, sheriff or state patrol office with jurisdiction.
2. Accidents involving a pedestrian and a City vehicle, or an accident involving a City employee who is struck by a vehicle, should also be reported to the local police, sheriff or state patrol office with jurisdiction.

B. **Employee Retraining**

It may be necessary to retrain an employee if they are involved in a severe accident or repeated accidents. Retraining with a co-worker, training officer, supervisor or driver trainer should review the actions leading up to the vehicle accident and how to prevent future accidents from occurring.

During training, if vision, hearing, or other health conditions indicate a possible deficiency that may affect the safe operation of the vehicle, then an examination and evaluation by a medical specialist may be coordinated by the employee's department.

Section 6: Disciplinary Action

A. **Point System**

In order to provide a uniform method to evaluate driving records, the City of East Bethel has adopted a point-based measuring system which relates to each driver's motor vehicle record. The point values correspond to various types of driving convictions, similar to systems used by many states for determining when a license should be revoked or suspended.

Special reviews will be held in cases where too many points have been accumulated within the stated time period. A good driving record is defined as less than 6 points using the State Department of Motor Vehicle point system. The normal time frame that is considered is three years. However, major convictions, as defined below, are counted back as far as five years.

The evaluation will be completed by the City Administrator and the driver's supervisor. The point system will be as follows:

Moving Violation	**Points Assessed**
Minor Violation, no accident involved	1
At Fault, no accident	2
Major Citation or Conviction (within 3 years)	6
Major Citation or Conviction (>3 years, <5 years)	3
Additional Points	
2 incidents within the most recent 18 months adds	1
3 incidents within the most recent 18 months adds	2

Disciplinary action, based on an accumulation of points over a specified period of time, may include all or any of the following:

- Verbal Warning
- Written Warning
- Suspension
- Termination

The appropriate signature and date on the Driver Acknowledgement confirms that the driver fully understands the need for an acceptable driving record, based on the point system. The signature and date also acknowledges that the driver is aware that points will be assigned for moving violations and that accumulation of points over a prescribed threshold will result in disciplinary action.

Enforcement of clear, quantitative rules regarding the consequences of unsafe driving behaviors is remarkably successful in changing those unsafe behaviors and in reducing the number of moving violations and preventable crashes.

The City of East Bethel

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF VEHICLE FLEET SAFETY MANUAL

This is to acknowledge that I have received a copy of the Vehicle Fleet Safety Manual and understand that it contains important information on many of the City of East Bethel general driving safety policies and on my driving privileges and obligations as an employee. I acknowledge that I am expected to read, understand, and adhere to these policies and will familiarize myself with the material in the manual. Additionally, I agree to abide by any new or revised policy.

I understand that I am governed by the contents of the manual and that, the City of East Bethel may change, rescind or add to any policies or practices described in this manual from time to time in its sole and absolute discretion with or without prior notice. The City of East Bethel will advise employees of material changes within a reasonable time.

I further acknowledge and agree that employment with the City of East Bethel may be terminated for not abiding by the rules and policies set forth in the Vehicle Fleet Safety Manual.

_____ _____

Employee Signature **Date**

_____

Print or Type Name

IMPORTANT NOTE: I understand it is my responsibility to read, understand, and comply with the provisions contained in the City of East Bethel Vehicle Fleet Safety Manual. If I am unable to understand any part of this manual, I will arrange to have it translated or explained to me. I further understand that if I am unable to arrange such help, I will immediately notify my supervisor who will make arrangements for needed assistance.

(Please place in employee's personnel file.)

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013

* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 9.0 E.2

* *

Agenda Item:
Sewer Maintenance and Emergency Response Policy

* *

Requested Action:
Consider the adoption of a Sewer Maintenance Policy and Sewer Emergency Response Policy

* *

Background Information:
The League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust (LMCIT) meets with City representatives annually to assess areas of need pertaining to worker safety, insurance incentives, workers compensation history, and other items relating to loss control.

The LMCIT has recommended that the City adopt a written Sewer Maintenance Policy to guide the cleaning and servicing of the City's sewer system and to provide written documentation of all maintenance activities. These include flushing and jetting the system every three years and televising the system every ten years as well as any maintenance activities relating to lift stations, lift station pumps and other system appurtenances .

The LMCIT has also recommended that the City adopt a written Sewer Emergency Response Policy to guide employees and residents in how sewer system back-ups will be handled.

Having the written policies in place and following the guidelines will allow the city to qualify for reduced insurance deductibles in cases of sewer back-ups and help protect the City from claims.

Attachment(s):

1. Sewer Maintenance Policy
2. Sewer Emergency Response Policy

* *

Fiscal Impact:
None at this time

* *

Recommendation(s):
Staff recommends adoption of the attached Sewer Maintenance Policy and Sewer Emergency Response Policy

* *

City Council Action

Motion by:_____ Second by:_____

_____

_____

_____

Vote Yes:_____ Vote No:_____

No Action Required:_____

Sanitary Sewer Maintenance Policy

1. Purpose

It is the policy of the City of East Bethel to comply with all applicable state and federal regulatory requirements.

The City intends to provide effective and efficient maintenance to its sanitary sewer system by evaluating political, social, safety, and economic concerns, among others. Procedures identified in this policy are intended to maintain the sanitary sewer system to prevent sewer backups. These procedures, when implemented, may also extend the service life of various components of the sanitary sewer system.

The City has 7353 feet of public sanitary sewer mains, 49 manholes and 2 lift stations within the Castle Towers Waste Water Treatment service area. The City also has 6897 feet of public sanitary sewer mains, 24 manholes and 32,276 feet of force main within the Metropolitan Council Environmental Services Waste Water Treatment Plant service area.

Procedures identified in this policy are intended to maintain the City's sanitary sewer system to prevent sewer backups and to extend the life of the system. The City has developed and implemented this policy that takes into consideration public safety, the City's budget and personnel, environmental concerns, and the cost of implementation versus the benefit to be achieved. The City will use its employees, equipment and/or private contractors to provide this service.

While the City fully intends to meet the guidelines established in this policy, there may be times when this is not feasible. Issues including, but not limited to, budget constraints, critical equipment failure, or weather and other emergencies may prevent the City from meeting the guidelines established herein. The Public Works Manager may override provisions established within this policy. Deviations from the goals established in this policy will be documented.

The City will use this policy to guide any sanitary sewer maintenance activities to be provided by a contractor or a party other than the City.

2. Routine Maintenance and Inspection Goals

A. Sanitary Sewer Mains

Scope of City's Responsibility - The City will maintain the components of the public sanitary sewer system. This includes sanitary sewer mains, manholes, lift stations, waste water treatment plants, and other components. Private property owners are responsible for the maintenance of sanitary sewer components from their property up to and including the connection to the public system.

Schedule – The City's goal is to inspect and maintain the components of its sanitary sewer system on a regular basis. Jetting/rodding of sewer mains will take place at least every three years.

Equipment – The equipment used to perform maintenance will depend upon the equipment available and its effectiveness as determined by qualified staff.

Television Inspection – The City's sanitary sewer mains will be inspected by television at least every ten years. Sanitary sewer mains in a new development must be televised before said mains are turned over to the City. Television inspection may also be used to inspect the system where there are possible problems. In addition, the City may require any main near a construction site to be televised before and after the construction (i.e., near blasting, digging, street maintenance or reconstruction, other activities that might disrupt the main, etc.)

Visual recordings of sewer main televising will be required of any vendor performing this service for the City. A written report summarizing and interpreting the findings of the televising will also be required. These records will be kept by the City for a minimum of 12 years.

B. Sanitary Sewer Lift Stations

The City maintains lift stations using specific maintenance that is reasonable and recommended. The number of lift stations, location, date of installation, and capacity of each lift station is kept on record. Maintenance for each lift station is reflected in Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) and Standard Maintenance Procedures (SMP).

Components of SOP and SMP include:

- Easy availability of original manuals with manufacturers' recommended maintenance schedules for all lift station equipment
- Operating procedures for manipulating pump operations (manually or automatically) during wet weather to increase in-line storage of wet weather flows
- Setting wet well operating levels to limit pump start/stops
- Cleaning wet well
- Calibrating flow meters or conducting draw down tests
- Regular rotation of lead, lag, and backup pumps
- Regular inspections of lift station, alarm systems and electrical components
- Maintenance of operation logs and general records for all lift station activities, including inspections
- Clean force mains
- Identify problem areas/components

3. Inflow and Infiltration

Inflow and infiltration occur when clear water gets into the sanitary sewer system. This may occur through cracks or leaks in the sewer pipes and manholes or through sump pumps incorrectly connected to the sanitary sewer system. Inflow and infiltration can lead to backups, overflows and unnecessary and expensive treatment of clear water.

City employees will periodically inspect manholes to identify any that contribute to this problem. Sanitary sewer mains will be maintained and inspected pursuant to the City's Sanitary Sewer Maintenance Policy.

4. Personnel Responsibilities and Requirements

A. Exercise of Professional Judgment

It is expected that City employees, in accordance with their job duties and responsibilities, will exercise their professional judgment in the implementation of this policy. Further, it is expected that in emergency situations (see Emergency Response Policy) City employees will be required to exercise their discretion and weigh political, social, and economic considerations including but not limited to public and employee safety, the potential for damage to private property and the City sanitary sewer system, and environmental concerns.

B. Training and Education

The City will provide training to employees responsible for maintenance of and emergency response to issues with the sanitary sewer system. Training of employees will include education necessary to earn and maintain appropriate operator certifications. Training will also address standard operating procedures, proper use of equipment, emergency response and other topics required by state and federal regulatory agencies.

C. Work Schedule

Full-time City employees in the Public Works Department will be expected to work eight-hour shifts. In emergencies, employees may be required to work in excess of eight hours. Budget and safety concerns may limit the length of time an employee is permitted to work.

D. Weather Conditions

Regular sewer maintenance operations will be conducted only when weather conditions do not endanger the City employees and equipment. Factors that may delay sewer maintenance operations include, but are not limited to: severe cold, severe heat, flooding, rain, snow and other severe weather events.

5. Documentation

The City will document all of its inspection and maintenance activities and emergency responses for its sanitary sewer system. The City will also document circumstances that limit its ability to comply with this policy. A report should be prepared periodically for the purpose of evaluating maintenance activities and for determining goals for the future. These records will be kept in accordance with the City's records retention schedule.

6. Public Education

Periodically, the City will inform residents of their responsibilities related to sanitary sewer service from the City of East Bethel.

7. Other Sanitary Sewer System Policies

The City has a number of other policies and/or ordinances that are important to the ongoing operation of the City's sanitary sewer system. The following documents are available on the City's web site and in the public works department.

- Sanitary Sewer Emergency Response Policy
- Sanitary Sewer Ordinance

SANITARY SEWER EMERGENCY RESPONSE POLICY

1. Procedure

It is the City's policy to respond to sewer backups, lift station problems or failures, or other system problems or failures 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. During normal business hours, all calls and reported problems will be routed to and employees dispatched by Public Works Department. Normal business hours are from7 a.m. to3 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. At all times other than normal business hours, emergency calls will be routed to the Public Works Manager. The Public Works Manager will designate one or more employees as "on call" during non-business hours and will develop an on-call schedule and on-call response procedures.

2. Response

It is the goal of the department to provide an initial response within 2 hours, or as soon as possible under the circumstances, of receiving report of a problem or an emergency call. The time necessary to remedy a problem will vary depending on the number of calls, the nature and seriousness of the problem, weather, and other factors that may impact the department's ability to respond, find and correct a reported problem.

When appropriate, a City employee will check the City's sanitary sewer main at the point of the problem. Corrective action will be taken if the City's sanitary sewer main is found to be blocked or obstructed. When a blockage found in a sanitary sewer main is causing a backup into a private portion of the system, the first priority will be to address the problem in the City's sanitary sewer main. After a sanitary sewer backup is remedied, efforts to determine the cause of the blockage or backup will be undertaken by those responding to the emergency. Written records of emergency response will include information and documentation concerning the cause(s) or possible cause(s) of the blockage or backup. When investigation of a backup determines that the problem is within the private portion of the sanitary sewer system, the sewer customer will be informed of possible corrective action they may have to perform on their portion of the system.

3. Reporting

The State Duty Officer (1-800-422-0798 or 651-649-5451 in the metro) must be notified when bypassing the City's sanitary sewer system or otherwise discharging sewage anywhere other than to the City's sanitary sewer system. The State Duty Officer must be notified within one hour of discovery of sewage being discharged anywhere other than to the City's sanitary sewer system.

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013
* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 9.0 G.1
* *

Agenda Item:
Municipal Utility Project Assessments
* *

Requested Action:
Consider Approval of an Assessment Roll for the Municipal Utilities Project
* *

Background Information:
The East Bethel City Council conducted a Public Hearing for the proposed assessments for the benefitting property owners served by the Municipal Utilities Project on October 16, 2013. Eight property owners filed letters of objection to the maximum assessment that was presented at the hearing. As a result of the potential for modification of the maximum assessment, objections and the fiscal impact to property owners, City Council tabled a decision on the matter and directed Staff to provide other assessment options.

The final assignment of costs, terms and interest can be reduced to whatever Council deems appropriate. Within this material there are several different options to consider for modifications to the maximum assessment. The key question in this process is how much is Council seeking in terms of assessments to apply to the project costs and what impact will the assessments have on the existing businesses, the marketability of the undeveloped property in this area and the impact on the 2014 and subsequent Budgets.

The concern for the assessment is the impact on the property owners and on taxpayers as a whole The City Council conducted a Work Meeting on October 23, 2013 to consider options to the maximum assessment for the project. There was clearly an indication that Council is committed to reducing the assessment to the greatest possible extent and as a result, some Council members had an inclination as to a preference for Option 5. Option 5 would assess vacant properties at $15, 408.66 per ERU and developed properties at $7,704.33 per ERU. While there was no decision as to direction of the preferred option, the matter was left open and Staff requested that Council members submit any other modifications for consideration. Options 6, 7 and 8 are presented in your attachments as additions to those previously discussed at the Work Meeting.

Since that the Work Meeting the City Attorney has provided the following opinion concerning the different options:

"If challenged, the city must defend itself under proof that the improvement added benefit/value to the property in issue. Most appraisers that I have worked with us on those have repeatedly stated that the existence or non-existence of buildings on the site has nothing to do with their opinion as to the value of

the improvements to the property. As such a council option that distinguishes assessment options based on whether the lands are improved or vacant may find itself not being able to be sustained by professional opinion from the experts in the appraisal business.

The benefits flow to the land not to the building, consequently distinguishing vacant from improved lands wouldn't be a good idea".

Based on Mr. Vierling opinion, Options 1, 2, 3 and 6 would be more defensible if challenged in court.

****

Attachments:

Attachment 1- Proposed Assessment Options
Attachment 2- Proposed Assessment Option Summary and Detail
Attachment 3- Letters of Objection

* *

Fiscal Impact:

To be determined

* *

Recommendation(s):

It is recommended that Council approve an Assessment Option for the Assessment Roll. An approved Assessment Roll must be submitted to the County Auditor by November 15, 2013 to be included in the pay 2014 assessments.

* *

City Council Action

Motion by:_____ Second by:_____

_____

_____

_____

Vote Yes:_____ Vote No:_____

No Action Required:_____

Attachment 1-Assessment Options

Option 1- Maximum Assessment

Property Owner Impact- $1,014,030.49

Annual Amount to be received by the City…..$92,385

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt**…….2.7%

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt…….4.5%.*

*Assumes all assessments are financed for 20 years at 5.5%

**Total Bond Debt is $40,991,000 (principle and interest)

Option 2 –No Assessment

Property Owner Impact- $ 0.00 (See Attached Assessment Roll, Option 2)

City Impact- The City would be required to fund the maximum allowable assessment of $1,104,030.79 through SAC and WAC charges and or other means

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt…….0.0%

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..0.0%

Option 3- Assign 1 ERU per Parcel for Valuation

Property owner impact…..all parcels would be assessed $7,704.33

Assessment- $169,495

Annual Amount to be received by the City……$14,183*

Annual Amount to be received by the City……$11,662**

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt…….0.4%*

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..0.7%*

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..0.9%**

*Assumes all assessments are financed for 20 years at 5.5%

***Assumes all assessments are financed for 30 years at 5.5%

Option 4-Assign 1 ERU Assessment per Parcel for Vacant Properties and 2 ERU's per parcel for Developed Property for Valuation

The following owners of Vacant Properties would pay $7,704.33 per parcel (See Attached Assessment Roll, Option 4)

1.) CD Properties North, LLC- (2 parcels X $7,704.33 = $15,409)
2.) Muller Properties of East Bethel, LLC -(6 parcels X 7,704.33 = $46,226)
3.) Debbie Landwehr-$7,704.33

All owners of developed property would pay $ 15, 409*

Annual Amount to be received by the City-$22,564

Assessment--$261,947

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt…….0.6%

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..1.1%*

Breakdown of Vacant and Developed Property ERU Assignments

1.) Vacant Property ERU's (9 @ $7,704)--$69,336
2.) Developed Property ERU's (26 @ $7,704)--$200,304

*Assumes all assessments are financed for 20 years at 5.5%

Option 5 Assign 2 ERU'S Assessment per Parcel for Vacant Properties and 1 ERU per parcel for Developed Property for Valuation

The following owners of Vacant Properties would pay $7,704.33 per parcel

4.) CD Properties North, LLC- (2 parcels X $15,408.66 = $30,817)
5.) Muller Properties of East Bethel, LLC -(6 parcels X $15,408.66 = $92,451.96)

6.) Debbie Landwehr-$15,408.66

All owners of developed property would pay $ 7,704.33.

Annual Amount to be received by the City-$ 19,985*

Assessment--$238,834

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt…….0.6%

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..1.0%

Breakdown of Vacant and Developed Property ERU Assignments

3.) Vacant Property ERU's (9 @ $15,409)--$138,681
4.) Developed Property ERU's (13 @ $7,704)--$100,152

*Assumes all assessments are financed for 20 years at 5.5%

Option 6- Assign 2 ERU per Parcel for Valuation

(One property, 18600 Ulysses Avenue, is the only assessable property that received a 1 ERU designation and it is recommended that this assignment remain the same for assessment purposes)

Property owner impact…..all parcels would be assessed $15,408.66

Assessment- $331,286

Annual Amount to be received by the City……$27,721*

Annual Amount to be received by the City……$22,794**

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt…….0.8%*

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..1.4%*

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..1.7%**

*Assumes all assessments are financed for 20 years at 5.5%

***Assumes all assessments are financed for 30 years at 5.5%

Option 7, Average Assessment

This option is formulated by taking the average ($552,015.20) of the Maximum Assessment and No Assessment Options and assigning assessments on this amount to 1/3 of the developed properties and 2/3 to the vacant properties:

1.) Developed property assessment = $183,821.06
2.) Vacant property assessment = $368,194.14

Based on this proposal the following would be options for assessments to be selected by City Council for developed property:

Per Parcel -13	PerAcre-45.07A	Per Bldg. SF-168,223	PerERU-62
$14,140.08/parcel	$4,078.57/Acre	$1.09/SF	$2,964.86/ERU

Based on this proposal the following would be options for vacant property:

Assessment/Parcel-9	Assessment/Acre-29.3 Acres	Assessment/ERU-79
$40,910.46/parcel	$12,566.35//Acre	$4,660.69/ERU

Property owner impact…..dependent on the unit considered for the assessment.

Assessment- $552,015.20*

Annual Amount to be received by the City……$46,192.27 (based on $552,015.20)

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt…….1.3%

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..2.3%

*Assumes all assessments are financed for 20 years at 5.5%

Option 8, Deferred Assessment

This option would allow those developed properties with functioning septic systems to defer assessment and connection to the system until their systems became non-compliant, non-functioning or the property was sold.

Annual Amount to be received by the City……To be determined based on assessments of vacant and non-qualifying property and other requirements of this type of Option

Percentage of Assessment to Total 2010 A, B and C Bond Debt…….to be determined

Percentage of Assessment Revenue (Principle and Interest) to Bond Debt……..to be determined

Other Considerations

Mark Vierling has reviewed the options for assessment and has provided the following opinion:

" As you know if challenged, the city must defend itself under proof that the improvement added benefit/value to the property in issue. Most appraisers that I have worked with us on those have repeatedly stated that the existence or non-existence of buildings on the site has nothing to do with their opinion as to the value of the improvements to the property. As such a council option that distinguishes assessment options based on whether the lands are improved or vacant may find itself not being able to be sustained by professional opinion from the experts in the appraisal business. The benefits flow to the land not to the buildings….consequently distinguishing vacant from improved lands wouldn't be a good idea".

Based on Mark's opinion it would appear that Option 1, 2, 3 or 6 would be the most defensible if challenged.

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 1	Option 2 0 ERU per parcel	Option 3 1 ERU per parcel	Option 4 1 ERU Vacant 2 ERU Developed	Option 5 2 ERU Vacant 1 ERU Developed	Option 6 2 ERU Vacant 2 ERU Developed (unless DL is less than 2)	Option 7 Per Parcel	Option 8
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	7,704.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC		Vacant Commercial	102,159.42	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
VILLAGE BANK	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	30,817.32	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Parking Lot	-	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	130,973.61	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	50,155.19	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	50,155.19	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	50,617.45	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	38,521.65	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	7,704.33	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	7,704.33	7,704.33	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	46,919.37	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	46,688.24	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
LANDWEHR DEBBIE		Vacant Commercial	48,999.54	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
AHI Investments LLC	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	123,269.28	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC		Vacant Commercial	207,554.65	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	30,817.32	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	PENDING	WWRF Site	15,408.66	-	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending	
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	23,112.99	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
		Total	1,104,030.49	-	169,495.26	261,947.22	238,834.23	331,286.19	552,015.20	-

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 1	Option 1 Annual Payment 5.5% 20 yrs
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	$7,704	644.69
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	102,159.42	8,548.63
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	30,817.32	2,578.77
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	15,408.66	1,289.39
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot	-	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	130,973.61	10,959.78
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	50,155.19	4,196.95
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	50,155.19	4,196.95
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	50,617.45	4,235.63
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	38,521.65	3,223.47
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	46,919.37	3,926.18
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	46,688.24	3,906.84
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial	48,999.54	4,100.25
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	123,269.28	10,315.09
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	207,554.65	17,368.03
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	1,289.39
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	30,817.32	2,578.77
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	1,289.39
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site	15,408.66	1,289.39
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	23,112.99	1,934.08
			Total	1,104,030.49	92,384.53

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 2 0 ERU per parcel
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	-
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	-
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	-
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	-
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	-
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	-
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	-
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial	-
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	-
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	-
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	-
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	-
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	-
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	-
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	-
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site	-
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	-
			Total	-

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 3 1 ERU per parcel	Option 3 Annual Payment 5.5% 20 yrs	Option 3 Annual Payment 5.5% 30 yrs
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	-		
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site	Pending		
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
			Total	169,495.26	14,183.25	11,662.19

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 4 1 ERU Vacant 2 ERU Developed	Option 4 Annual Payment 5.5% 20 yrs
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	-	
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	15,408.66	1,289.39
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	15,408.66	1,289.39
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	15,408.66	1,289.39
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	15,408.66	1,289.39
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	1,289.39
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	1,289.39
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site	Pending	
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39
			Total	261,947.22	21,919.57

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 5 2 ERU Vacant 1 ERU Developed	Option 5 Annual Payment 5.5% 20 yrs
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	-	
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	7,704.33	644.69
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot	15,408.66	1,289.39
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	7,704.33	644.69
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	7,704.33	644.69
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	7,704.33	644.69
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	7,704.33	644.69
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	7,704.33	644.69
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	7,704.33	644.69
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	7,704.33	644.69
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	7,704.33	644.69
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	7,704.33	644.69
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site	Pending	
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	7,704.33	644.69
			Total	238,834.23	19,985.49

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 6 2 ERU Vacant 2 ERU Developed (unless DL is less than 2	Option 6 Annual Payment 5.5% 20 yrs	Option 6 Annual Payment 5.5% 30 yrs
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	-		
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	7,704.33	644.69	530.10
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site	Pending		
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	15,408.66	1,289.39	1,060.20
			Total	331,286.19	27,721.81	22,794.28

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 7 Average 552,015.20	Option 7
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	-	
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	13,143.22	1,099.82
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	13,143.22	1,099.82
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot	13,143.22	1,099.82
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	13,143.22	1,099.82
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	13,143.22	1,099.82
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	13,143.22	1,099.82
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	13,143.22	1,099.82
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	13,143.22	1,099.82
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial	46,001.27	3,849.36
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	13,143.22	1,099.82
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	13,143.22	1,099.82
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	13,143.22	1,099.82
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	13,143.22	1,099.82
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	13,143.22	1,099.82
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site	Pending	
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	13,143.22	1,099.82
			Total	552,015.20	46,192.26

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	OWNER ADDRESS	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 8 ???	Option 8 Annual Payment 5.5% 20 yrs
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	2241 221ST AVE NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site		
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial		$0.00
VILLAGE BANK	9298 CENTRAL AVE NE BLAINE, MN 55434	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank		-
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	425 CLINTON AVE SOUTH SAINT PAUL, MN 55075	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash		-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Parking Lot		-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater		-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial		-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial		-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial		-
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial		-
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	2221 FAWN LAKE DR NE BETHEL, MN 55005	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop		-
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse		-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial		-
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	4940 54TH ST NW MAPLE LAKE, MN 55358		Vacant Commercial		-
LANDWEHR DEBBIE	72 170TH AVE NW ANDOVER, MN 55304		Vacant Commercial		-
AHI Investments LLC	PO Box 187 Cedar, MN 55011	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing		-
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE EAST BETHEL MN 55011		Vacant Commercial		-
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 NE BUCHANAN STREET EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service		-
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop		-
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	22491 LINNET ST NW BETHEL, MN 55005	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop		-
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service		-
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE EAST BETHEL, MN 55011	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop		-
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	390 N ROBERT ST ST PAUL, MN 55101	PENDING	WWRF Site		
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	3844 149TH AVENUE NE HAM LAKE MN 55304	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop		-
			Total	-	-

City of East Bethel
Proposed Assessment Roll
Municipal Utility Project

OWNER	PROPERTY ADDRESS	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	Option 1	Option 2 0 ERU per parcel	Option 3 1 ERU per parcel	Option 4 1 ERU Vacant 2 ERU Developed	Option 5 2 ERU Vacant 1 ERU Developed	Option 6 2 ERU Vacant 2 ERU Developed (unless DL is less than 2)	Option 7 Per Parcel	Option 8
CITY OF EAST BETHEL	19458 Taylor St NE	WTF Site	7,704.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC		Vacant Commercial	102,159.42	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
VILLAGE BANK	18765 NE ULYSSES ST	Bank	30,817.32	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
RIVER COUNTRY COOPERATIVE	1341 187TH LN NE	Gas Station/Car Wash	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Parking Lot	-	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC	18635 ULYSSES ST NE	Theater	130,973.61	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	50,155.19	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	50,155.19	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	50,617.45	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
EBERTOWSKI DAVID	18530 ULYSSES ST NE	Tour Bus Commercial	38,521.65	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
CLASSIC HOLDINGS LLC	18542 ULYSSES ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
LANDWEHR MARK & DEBBIE	18600 ULYSSES ST NE	Office/Warehouse	7,704.33	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	7,704.33	7,704.33	13,143.22	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	46,919.37	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
MULLER PROP OF E BETHEL LLC		Vacant Commercial	46,688.24	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
LANDWEHR DEBBIE		Vacant Commercial	48,999.54	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
AHI Investments LLC	18800 Ulyssess ST NE	Manufacturing	123,269.28	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
CD PROPERTIES NORTH LLC		Vacant Commercial	207,554.65	-	7,704.33	7,704.33	15,408.66	15,408.66	46,001.27	
RICKEY PROPERTIES LLC	18689 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
JSN Properties, LLC	18651 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
NORTH BOUND WOODWORKS LLC	18627 BUCHANAN ST NE	Wood Working Shop	30,817.32	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
TRUCK BODY SPECIALISTS LLC	18581 BUCHANAN ST NE	Vehicle Body/Service	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
JP INVESTMENTS LLC	18533 BUCHANAN ST NE	Contractor Shop	15,408.66	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	PENDING	WWRF Site	15,408.66	-	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending	Pending	
HEARTLAND LAND DEVELOPERS, LLC	18530 BUCHANAN ST NE	Truck Shop	23,112.99	-	7,704.33	15,408.66	7,704.33	15,408.66	13,143.22	
		Total	1,104,030.49	-	169,495.26	261,947.22	238,834.23	331,286.19	552,015.20	-

RIVER COUNTRY
COOPERATIVE

Elements for life

October 16, 2013

To: East Bethel City Council

Re: Municipal Utility Project Assessment

We object to the $15,409 proposed assessment for our property at 1341 187th Lane North. We have two bathrooms and a sink that we are being told to hook up to the water and sewer line. We don't use much water at our sight; far less than many residences must use. We don't have showers, baths, washing machines, sprinklers etc. What are the assessment rates for residences in the area?

We are already being hit with large SAC charges, whether we hook up this year or not. Does the city add an upcharge to these SAC charges?

Other than having to now pay for water that we have not had to in the past, our business won't operate any differently being hooked up to the water and sewer lines. $15,409 is an excessive cost for the limited use we'll get from the project.

Thomas Boland

Tom Boland
Operations Manager
River Country Co-op

425 Clinton South St. Paul, MN 55075 Phone: 651-451-1151 Fax: 651-451-8582 rivercountry.coop

10-16-13

TO: Attention of City Clerk

We would like to object to the amount of assessments being placed on our property. The assement would be a significant hardship to our business.

We ask the Council to review assesment being placed against Bogeis Food + Customs / Ricky Properties.

Thank You!
Lauett Ricky
Vice President

TO: CITY CLERK

1/2 OF THE VILLAGE BANK LOT IS WETLAND. WHY IS IT THAT OUR ASSESSMENT IS $30,817.00. IN COMPARISON THE THEATRE PARKING LOT IS ASSESSED AT 0?

THANK-YOU

Don

CLASSIC
CONSTRUCTION
CURT STRANDLUND, OWNER
CONCRETE & MASONRY WORK • COMMERCIAL & RESIDENTIAL

October 16, 2013

City of East Bethel
Mayor Lawrence

RE: Appeal of assessments to property ID #'s for the Municipal Utility Project

This is notice that we are appealing to the assessments to our property ID # 323323210012.

Sincerely,

Curt Strandlund
CD Properties North, LLC

18542 ULYSSES ST. N.E. EAST BETHEL MN 55011 (763) 434-8870 FAX: (763) 434-7120

CLASSIC
CONSTRUCTION
CURT STRANDLUND, OWNER
CONCRETE & MASONRY WORK • COMMERCIAL & RESIDENTIAL

October 16, 2013

City of East Bethel
Mayor Lawrence

RE: Appeal of assessments to property ID #'s for the Municipal Utility Project

This is notice that we are appealing to the assessments to our property ID # 323323240008.

Sincerely,

Curt Strandlund
Classic Holdings LLC

18542 ULYSSES ST. N.E. EAST BETHEL MN 55011 (763) 434-8870 FAX: (763) 434-7120

CLASSIC
CONSTRUCTION
CURT STRANDLUND, OWNER
CONCRETE & MASONRY WORK • COMMERCIAL & RESIDENTIAL

October 16, 2013

City of East Bethel
Mayor Lawrence

RE: Appeal of assessments to property ID #'s for the Municipal Utility Project

This is notice that we are appealing to the assessments to our property ID # 323323210014.

Sincerely,

Curt Strandlund
CD Properties North, LLC

18542 ULYSSES ST. N.E. EAST BETHEL MN 55011 (763) 434-8870 FAX: (763) 434-7120

October 9, 2013

City Clerk
City of East Bethel
2241 221st Avenue NE
East Bethel, MN 55011

RE: Notice of Public Hearing for Proposed Assessment at PID 323323320003

To whom it may concern:

Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES) received the notice of the public hearing for the proposed assessment for the subject property.

Please consider this an objection and be advised that MN State Statute 473.545 has two special provisions in regard to special assessment payments for MCES wastewater real estate: 1) only the value of the improvements as used as part of the metropolitan wastewater system must pay, and 2) Metropolitan Council's determination of the value of the benefit is conclusive.

It is our understanding there will be no local sewer for the site, and so MCES will not be able to pay toward the proposed assessment for sewer. Please let us know if there is local drinking water available to the site, and the portion of the overall assessment that reflects water only, as MCES may be able to pay toward that.

Please feel free to contact me at 651-602-1196 to discuss this.

Sincerely,

Jason Willett
Director, MCES Finance & Energy

cc: Jack Davis, City Administrator, City of East Bethel
Ia Xiong, Project Manager, Metropolitan Council Real Estate Division
Jason Peterson, Principal Engineer, MCES Technical Services

390 Robert Street North | St. Paul, MN 55101-1805
Phone 651.602.1000 | Fax 651.602.1550 | TTY 651.291.0904 | metrocouncil.org
An Equal Opportunity Employer

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL

On Behalf of Muller Family Theatres we would Like to object to the ~~Assessments~~ assement Fees Based on the use of ERU units verses Property Footage.

Dale Harder
Muller Family Theatres

- Vacant Lots Being Assessed for 3 units when there is only 1 water Stub
-

AHI INVESTMENTS
18800 ULYSSES ST NE
CEDAR, MN 55011

OBJECT TO OUR AMOUNT
@ $ ~ $123K = ~ $.80/FT
WHEN APPRAISAL IS $2.20/FT
AFTER BUILDING.

10-16-13

City of East Bethel
City Council
Agenda Information

* *

Date:
November 6, 2013

* *

Agenda Item Number:
Item 9.0 G.2

* *

Agenda Item:
2014 Budget Discussion

* *

Requested Action:
Continue 2014 Budget Discussions

* *

Background Information:
In addition to attempting to mitigate the impact of the proposed 17.5% preliminary tax levy increase, of equal or more importance is the development of a policy as to the management of future debt due to our obligations for the repayment of the bonds for the water and sewer system. The development of a plan to address this matter will enable Council and Staff to manage the severity and impact of future tax increases that will be an issue in 2016, 2017 and 2018 due to 2010 C Bond payment and the commencement of principal payments on the 2010 A and B Bonds in 2018.

Attachments:

2014 Budget Recommendations

2014 Preliminary Budget Attachments 1 & 2

* *

Fiscal Impact:
As noted in the attachments

* *

Recommendation(s):
Staff requests that Council consider the proposed 2014 Budget with additional cuts as presented, any other line item reductions as may be appropriate for the 2014 Budget and continue the discussion as to the fund balances as noted in the attachments and their application for further budget reductions in either 2014 or subsequent years.

* *

City Council Action

Motion by:_____ Second by:_____

_____

_____

_____

Vote Yes:_____ Vote No:_____

No Action Required:_____

2014 Budget Discussion

There are still opportunities to reduce the impact of the bond deficit on the 2014 levy and these include but are not limited to the following:

1.) Transfer of General Fund balances at an amount to be determined to subsidize the deficit;
2.) Assignment of special assessments for properties in the sewer to the debt service;
3.) Assignment of other rents, revenues and royalties to the debt service; and/or
4.) Additional reductions to the City Budget which could include the following:

Budget Reductions for Consideration	Proposed	Recommended Minimum Reduction
Conferences - Mayor and Council	$ 2,000	
Equipment Replacement- Mayor & Council	$ 800	$ 800
Conferences-City Administrator	$ 500	$ 500
Equipment Replacement-Planning	$ 500	$ 500
Fire Department Outreach Programs	$ 1,500	
Portable Toilets for Parks	$ 2,000	$ 1,000
City Administrator- Travel	$ 600	$ 300
Seasonal Employees for Parks and Roads	$11,766	
Professional Service Fees-Planning	$ 3,000	$ 3,000
Relief Association Pension Contribution	$17,500	$ 3,500
Public Works Overtime	$ 6,000	
Booster Day Fireworks	$ 2,500	
Parks Capital Transfer	$25,000	$25,000
City newsletter reduction to 2x per year	$ 4,500	
EDA	$10,000	$10,000

Proposed Budget Reductions for Consideration……$ 88,166
Recommended Minimum Reductions* …… $44,600

***Recommended Reductions are only the minimum from the above list and additional cuts can be added as Council deems appropriate**.

If the minimum reductions ($44,600), as indicated above, were approved the final levy would result in a 16.1% increase over the 2013 levy. If all of the proposed reductions ($88,166) were approved the final levy would result in a 15.2% increase over the 2014 levy.

Other One-Time Reductions

2005B Bond Fund Balance $120,000*

General Fund Transfer (excess over 50% balance)	$200,000
Trail Capital Fund	$144,000
	$464,000

Note: the above funds could be applied on the 2014 debt, over a period of years or reserved to address the additional increase that will occur in 2016 due to the 2010 C Bond

*Lower limit of the fund balance

Total potential additional budget cuts = $0 to $552,166.

If the line item budget reductions ($88,166) and the one time reductions ($464,000) were approved the final levy would result in a 4.8% increase over the 2013 levy. If this option was approved, we would have a minimum deficit of $464,000 that would need to be addressed for the 2015 budget. The adoption of this alternative would only postpone the necessity for a double digit levy percentage increase for one year and in the process would exhaust funds to deal with the bond fund debt long term.

LEVY INCREASE OPTIONS FOR 2014

Preliminary Levy	Adjusted Preliminary Levy	Preliminary Levy Option A	Preliminary Levy Option B	Preliminary Levy Option C
17.5%	17.2%	16.4%	15.2%	4.8%

Note:
1.) The adjusted preliminary levy reflects the salary savings in the Community Development Department and Receptionist Position changes.
2.) Option A includes an additional $44,600 reduction in the preliminary budget.
3.) Option B includes an additional $88,166 reduction in the preliminary budget
4.) Option C includes an additional $552,166 reduction in the preliminary budget

Other Funds for potential transfers and their respective cash balances (These funds are not recommended for consideration of application to the bond debt at this time)

Street Capital Fund	$ 886,417
Equipment Replacement Fund	$1,398,583
Parks Capital Fund	$ 111,940
Parks Acquisition and Development Fund	$ 26,057
Building Capital Fund	$ 132,096

The following Departments were evaluated but could not be recommended for reductions due to contractual agreements or other factors that would not affect the budget.

Elections

City Clerk
Finance
Assessing
Legal
Human Resources
General Government Buildings
Building Inspection
Engineering
Risk Management
HRA

An outline recommendation for additional reduction in the 2014 Budget and budget considerations in subsequent years could be as follows:

- Consider approving, at a minimum, line item budget reductions that total $44,600 for the 2014 Budget
- Postpone any Parks Capital Improvement Projects for 2014
- Escrow the 2005B Bond Fund balance and the General Fund Budget surplus in excess of 50% for use to address the 2016 2010C Bond payment
- Postpone any Trails Capital Improvements until 2016 to determine if these funds will need to be used to address 2016 Budget deficits
- Escrow all City SAC and WAC and assessment fees that will be collected in 2014 and 2015 to address the projected 2016 Budget deficit.
- Continue efforts to refinance the 2010A & B Bonds
- Potential defeasance of the 2010 A & B Bond Fund balance should this amount not be spent on additional infrastructure

- Continue working on political and administrative options that could provide assistance to address our bond payment issue and MCES obligations and enhance our prospects for future development.

This type of plan would offer only a marginal amount of relief from the proposed levy increase for 2014 but is designed to keep levy increases level through 2017 and hopefully beyond.

City of East Bethel
2014 Preliminary General Fund Budget
(Summary)

back General Fund	Account Description	2011 Actual	2012 Actual	Actual - 9/30/13	FY 2013 Budget	FY 2014 Budget	% Change
Revenues							
	Property Tax	4,428,762.00	4,225,662.00	2,158,497.40	4,123,317.00	4,065,850.00	-1%
	Franchise Taxes	37,875.00	40,227.00	31,686.97	37,000.00	41,000.00	11%
	Licenses and Fees	39,103.00	38,325.00	29,226.60	37,250.00	35,900.00	-4%
	Building Inspection Permits	107,181.00	152,980.00	117,215.33	95,700.00	116,000.00	21%
	Building Inspection Permits (Bethel / Oak Grove)	-	-	115,236.73	60,000.00	100,000.00	67%
	State Aid	223,929.00	222,965.00	186,825.50	216,506.00	254,000.00	17%
	Fines and Forfeits	49,292.00	52,470.00	39,041.34	50,000.00	55,000.00	10%
	Intergovernmental Charges	37,548.00	97,809.00	86,923.55	93,000.00	73,000.00	-22%
	Other Fees	7,529.00	11,419.00	3,308.25	6,360.00	6,450.00	1%
	Cemetery Revenue	8,775.00	6,200.00	5,650.00	5,000.00	6,000.00	20%
	Other / Gambling Proceeds	40,707.00	49,384.00	28,510.61	38,000.00	41,500.00	9%
	Interest Earnings	1,715.00	2,100.00	852.83	2,000.00	2,000.00	0%
Total Revenues - General Fund		**4,982,416.00**	**4,899,541.00**	**2,802,975.11**	**4,764,133.00**	**4,796,700.00**	**0.7%**
Expenditures							
General Government							
	Council	76,911.00	76,008.00	60,540.13	87,059.00	83,800.00	-4%
	City Administration	242,927.00	206,887.00	151,161.30	210,061.00	214,600.00	2%
	Elections	-	8,709.00	-	2,170.00	13,400.00	518%
	City Clerk	102,205.00	102,918.00	73,308.95	103,331.00	102,200.00	-1%
	Finance	224,841.00	225,500.00	189,237.65	226,086.00	228,250.00	1%
	Assessing	45,456.00	45,804.00	25,640.76	51,700.00	51,700.00	0%
	Legal	154,469.00	157,727.00	103,352.93	150,500.00	150,500.00	0%
	Human Resources	26,166.00	-	3,164.00	2,975.00	3,250.00	9%
	Government Buildings	34,063.00	47,106.00	26,440.09	44,750.00	43,800.00	-2%
	Risk Management	97,629.00	96,210.00	103,140.00	99,800.00	105,150.00	5%
	Central Services	79,330.00	77,758.00	58,521.92	99,405.00	97,950.00	-1%
Total General Government		**1,083,997.00**	**1,044,627.00**	**794,507.73**	**1,077,837.00**	**1,094,600.00**	**2%**
Community Development							
	Planning and Zoning	201,518.00	169,260.00	137,068.14	208,391.00	167,600.00	-20%
	Building Inspection	232,508.00	139,412.00	119,317.12	186,940.00	233,000.00	25%
Total Community Development		**434,026.00**	**308,672.00**	**256,385.26**	**395,331.00**	**400,600.00**	**5%**
Public Safety							
	Police Protection	1,036,087.00	959,924.00	753,304.26	961,144.00	990,000.00	3%
	Fire Protection	513,332.00	511,145.00	362,652.45	537,783.00	555,100.00	3%
Total Public Safety		**1,549,419.00**	**1,471,069.00**	**1,115,956.71**	**1,498,927.00**	**1,545,100.00**	**3%**
Engineering							
	Engineering	35,406.00	29,196.00	11,299.62	46,000.00	40,000.00	-13%
Total Engineering		**35,406.00**	**29,196.00**	**11,299.62**	**46,000.00**	**40,000.00**	**-13%**
Public Works							
	Public Works - Parks Maintenance	372,692.00	376,067.00	273,610.58	397,567.00	397,100.00	0%
	Public Works - Streets	679,882.00	719,920.00	537,321.50	755,971.00	791,800.00	5%
Total Public Works		**1,052,574.00**	**1,095,987.00**	**810,932.08**	**1,153,538.00**	**1,188,900.00**	**3%**
Civic Events							
	Civic Events	4,737.00	2,501.00	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,500.00	0%
Total Culture and Recreation		**4,737.00**	**2,501.00**	**2,500.00**	**2,500.00**	**2,500.00**	**0%**
Other							
	Transfer to Building Capital	-	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	0%
	Transfer to Street Capital	400,000.00	425,000.00	425,000.00	425,000.00	425,000.00	0%
	Transfer to Parks Capital	94,120.00	100,000.00	75,000.00	75,000.00	50,000.00	-33%
	Transfer to Trail Capital	58,484.00	5,000.00	-	-	-	N/A
	Contingency	-	-	21,600.00	40,000.00	-	-100%
Total Other		**552,604.00**	**580,000.00**	**571,600.00**	**590,000.00**	**525,000.00**	**-11%**
Total Expenditures - General Fund		**4,712,763.00**	**4,532,052.00**	**3,563,181.40**	**4,764,133.00**	**4,796,700.00**	**0.7%**
Excess of Revenues over Expenditures - General Fund		**269,653.00**	**367,489.00**	**(760,206.29)**	**-**	**-**	
Tax Levies - City							
	General Fund Tax Levy	4,681,345.00	4,191,470.00		4,123,317.00	4,065,850.00	-1.4%
	2005 A	144,756.00	147,328.00		149,638.00	146,425.00	-2%
	2008 A	109,500.00	158,000.00		180,000.00	180,000.00	0%
	2010 A	-	-		-	490,000.00	N/A
	2010 B	-	-		-	300,000.00	N/A
	2010 C	-	-		-	-	N/A
Total Levy - City		**4,935,601.00**	**4,496,798.00**		**4,452,955.00**	**5,182,275.00**	**16.4%**
Tax Levies - Special Levies							
	City HRA	126,058.00	-		-	-	
	County HRA	187,920.00	-		-	-	
	City EDA	-	163,428.00		144,670.00	133,022.00	
Total Levy - Special		**313,978.00**	**163,428.00**		**144,670.00**	**133,022.00**	**-8.1%**

2014 Budget Discussion

There are still opportunities to reduce the impact of the bond deficit on the 2014 levy and these include but are not limited to the following:

1.) Transfer of General Fund balances at an amount to be determined to subsidize the deficit;
2.) Assignment of special assessments for properties in the sewer to the debt service;
3.) Assignment of other rents, revenues and royalties to the debt service; and/or
4.) Additional reductions to the City Budget which could include the following:

Budget Reductions for Consideration	Proposed	Recommended Minimum Reduction
Conferences - Mayor and Council	$ 2,000	
Equipment Replacement- Mayor & Council	$ 800	$ 800
Conferences-City Administrator	$ 500	$ 500
Equipment Replacement-Planning	$ 500	$ 500
Fire Department Outreach Programs	$ 1,500	
Portable Toilets for Parks	$ 2,000	$ 1,000
City Administrator- Travel	$ 600	$ 300
Seasonal Employees for Parks and Roads	$11,766	
Professional Service Fees-Planning	$ 3,000	$ 3,000
Relief Association Pension Contribution	$17,500	$ 3,500
Public Works Overtime	$ 6,000	
Booster Day Fireworks	$ 2,500	
Parks Capital Transfer	$25,000	$25,000
City newsletter reduction to 2x per year	$ 4,500	
EDA	$10,000	$10,000
Proposed Budget Reductions for Consideration……	$ 88,166	
Recommended Minimum Reductions* ……		$44,600

***Recommended Reductions are only the minimum from the above list and additional cuts can be added as Council deems appropriate**.

If the minimum reductions ($44,600), as indicated above, were approved the final levy would result in a 16.4% increase over the 2013 levy. If all of the proposed reductions ($88,166) were approved the final levy would result in a 15.4% increase over the 2014 levy.